

A LEARNED  
TREATISE  
OF  
TRADITIONS,  
LATELY SET FORTH  
in French by PETER  
DU MOULIN,  
And faithfully done into  
English by G. C.

---

Εἰς τὸ ἔλεγε ἀνδρῶν τῶν μαγνέλων λαμ.  
ἀδελφ., Ιωη. 5. 34.

---

*Si aut Evangelia precipitur, aut in Apostolorum Evi-  
stolis, aut Actibus continetur, &c. Observerur divina hæc  
& sancta Traditio, Cyr. Epist. 74. ad Pomp.*

---

LONDON,  
Printed by Aug. Mathewes for Humphrey  
Robinson, at the signe of the three Pi-  
geons in Pauls Church-yard.

1631.



7451A 217 OT

1/2 cup of oil - 1/2 cup of oil

1904

6

1891-1892

1. 1911 1912 1913 1914 1915 1916 1917 1918 1919 1920 1921 1922 1923 1924 1925 1926 1927 1928 1929 1930 1931 1932 1933 1934 1935 1936 1937 1938 1939 1940 1941 1942 1943 1944 1945 1946 1947 1948 1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1955 1956 1957 1958 1959 1960 1961 1962 1963 1964 1965 1966 1967 1968 1969 1970 1971 1972 1973 1974 1975 1976 1977 1978 1979 1980 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986 1987 1988 1989 1990 1991 1992 1993 1994 1995 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 2003 2004 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2022 2023 2024 2025 2026 2027 2028 2029 2030 2031 2032 2033 2034 2035 2036 2037 2038 2039 2040 2041 2042 2043 2044 2045 2046 2047 2048 2049 2050 2051 2052 2053 2054 2055 2056 2057 2058 2059 2060 2061 2062 2063 2064 2065 2066 2067 2068 2069 2070 2071 2072 2073 2074 2075 2076 2077 2078 2079 2080 2081 2082 2083 2084 2085 2086 2087 2088 2089 2090 2091 2092 2093 2094 2095 2096 2097 2098 2099 2100 2101 2102 2103 2104 2105 2106 2107 2108 2109 2110 2111 2112 2113 2114 2115 2116 2117 2118 2119 2120 2121 2122 2123 2124 2125 2126 2127 2128 2129 2130 2131 2132 2133 2134 2135 2136 2137 2138 2139 2140 2141 2142 2143 2144 2145 2146 2147 2148 2149 2150 2151 2152 2153 2154 2155 2156 2157 2158 2159 2160 2161 2162 2163 2164 2165 2166 2167 2168 2169 2170 2171 2172 2173 2174 2175 2176 2177 2178 2179 2180 2181 2182 2183 2184 2185 2186 2187 2188 2189 2190 2191 2192 2193 2194 2195 2196 2197 2198 2199 2200 2201 2202 2203 2204 2205 2206 2207 2208 2209 2210 2211 2212 2213 2214 2215 2216 2217 2218 2219 2220 2221 2222 2223 2224 2225 2226 2227 2228 2229 2230 2231 2232 2233 2234 2235 2236 2237 2238 2239 2240 2241 2242 2243 2244 2245 2246 2247 2248 2249 2250 2251 2252 2253 2254 2255 2256 2257 2258 2259 2260 2261 2262 2263 2264 2265 2266 2267 2268 2269 2270 2271 2272 2273 2274 2275 2276 2277 2278 2279 2280 2281 2282 2283 2284 2285 2286 2287 2288 2289 2290 2291 2292 2293 2294 2295 2296 2297 2298 2299 2300 2301 2302 2303 2304 2305 2306 2307 2308 2309 2310 2311 2312 2313 2314 2315 2316 2317 2318 2319



100

1. The first step is to identify the problem or question that needs to be answered. This involves understanding the context and the specific requirements of the task.

100

1940

1912-13-14-15-16-17-18-19-20-21-22-23-24-25-26-27-28-29-30-31-32-33-34-35-36-37-38-39-40-41-42-43-44-45-46-47-48-49-50-51-52-53-54-55-56-57-58-59-60-61-62-63-64-65-66-67-68-69-70-71-72-73-74-75-76-77-78-79-80-81-82-83-84-85-86-87-88-89-90-91-92-93-94-95-96-97-98-99-100-101-102-103-104-105-106-107-108-109-110-111-112-113-114-115-116-117-118-119-120-121-122-123-124-125-126-127-128-129-130-131-132-133-134-135-136-137-138-139-140-141-142-143-144-145-146-147-148-149-150-151-152-153-154-155-156-157-158-159-160-161-162-163-164-165-166-167-168-169-170-171-172-173-174-175-176-177-178-179-180-181-182-183-184-185-186-187-188-189-190-191-192-193-194-195-196-197-198-199-200-201-202-203-204-205-206-207-208-209-210-211-212-213-214-215-216-217-218-219-220-221-222-223-224-225-226-227-228-229-230-231-232-233-234-235-236-237-238-239-240-241-242-243-244-245-246-247-248-249-250-251-252-253-254-255-256-257-258-259-260-261-262-263-264-265-266-267-268-269-270-271-272-273-274-275-276-277-278-279-280-281-282-283-284-285-286-287-288-289-290-291-292-293-294-295-296-297-298-299-300-301-302-303-304-305-306-307-308-309-310-311-312-313-314-315-316-317-318-319-320-321-322-323-324-325-326-327-328-329-330-331-332-333-334-335-336-337-338-339-340-341-342-343-344-345-346-347-348-349-350-351-352-353-354-355-356-357-358-359-360-361-362-363-364-365-366-367-368-369-370-371-372-373-374-375-376-377-378-379-380-381-382-383-384-385-386-387-388-389-390-391-392-393-394-395-396-397-398-399-400-401-402-403-404-405-406-407-408-409-410-411-412-413-414-415-416-417-418-419-420-421-422-423-424-425-426-427-428-429-430-431-432-433-434-435-436-437-438-439-440-441-442-443-444-445-446-447-448-449-450-451-452-453-454-455-456-457-458-459-460-461-462-463-464-465-466-467-468-469-470-471-472-473-474-475-476-477-478-479-480-481-482-483-484-485-486-487-488-489-490-491-492-493-494-495-496-497-498-499-500-501-502-503-504-505-506-507-508-509-510-511-512-513-514-515-516-517-518-519-520-521-522-523-524-525-526-527-528-529-530-531-532-533-534-535-536-537-538-539-540-541-542-543-544-545-546-547-548-549-550-551-552-553-554-555-556-557-558-559-560-561-562-563-564-565-566-567-568-569-570-571-572-573-574-575-576-577-578-579-580-581-582-583-584-585-586-587-588-589-590-591-592-593-594-595-596-597-598-599-600-601-602-603-604-605-606-607-608-609-610-611-612-613-614-615-616-617-618-619-620-621-622-623-624-625-626-627-628-629-630-631-632-633-634-635-636-637-638-639-640-641-642-643-644-645-646-647-648-649-650-651-652-653-654-655-656-657-658-659-660-661-662-663-664-665-666-667-668-669-670-671-672-673-674-675-676-677-678-679-680-681-682-683-684-685-686-687-688-689-690-691-692-693-694-695-696-697-698-699-700-701-702-703-704-705-706-707-708-709-710-711-712-713-714-715-716-717-718-719-720-721-722-723-724-725-726-727-728-729-730-731-732-733-734-735-736-737-738-739-740-741-742-743-744-745-746-747-748-749-750-751-752-753-754-755-756-757-758-759-760-761-762-763-764-765-766-767-768-769-770-771-772-773-774-775-776-777-778-779-780-781-782-783-784-785-786-787-788-789-790-791-792-793-794-795-796-797-798-799-800-801-802-803-804-805-806-807-808-809-810-811-812-813-814-815-816-817-818-819-820-821-822-823-824-825-826-827-828-829-830-831-832-833-834-835-836-837-838-839-840-841-842-843-844-845-846-847-848-849-850-851-852-853-854-855-856-857-858-859-860-861-862-863-864-865-866-867-868-869-870-871-872-873-874-875-876-877-878-879-880-881-882-883-884-885-886-887-888-889-890-891-892-893-894-895-896-897-898-899-900-901-902-903-904-905-906-907-908-909-910-911-912-913-914-915-916-917-918-919-920-921-922-923-924-925-926-927-928-929-930-931-932-933-934-935-936-937-938-939-940-941-942-943-944-945-946-947-948-949-950-951-952-953-954-955-956-957-958-959-960-961-962-963-964-965-966-967-968-969-970-971-972-973-974-975-976-977-978-979-980-981-982-983-984-985-986-987-988-989-990-991-992-993-994-995-996-997-998-999-1000-1001-1002-1003-1004-1005-1006-1007-1008-1009-1010-1011-1012-1013-1014-1015-1016-1017-1018-1019-1020-1021-1022-1023-1024-1025-1026-1027-1028-1029-1030-1031-1032-1033-1034-1035-1036-1037-1038-1039-1040-1041-1042-1043-1044-104



TO THE RIGHT

Honorable my singular good

Lord, ROBERT Earle of *Lincolne*,

Baron of *Willoughby, Brake, & Erskye*,

Lord great *Chamberlaine* of *Englond*,

and Lord high-*Constable* for this

time being: Lord Lieutenant of

*Lincolne-Shire*. and *Vice-Admirall*

for the Coasts of that County;

Lord Warden of the Forest of

*Waltham*, Knight of the most

noble Order of the *Garter*, and

of his Majesties most

Honorable privie

*Counsell.*

My most honoured LORD,

It is well knowne  
that your Lord  
sh p can as readily  
interpret my Author in his

A 2

ow.e

---

## THE EPISTLE

---

owne language & iaiome,  
as being thus changed into  
our native and most fami-  
liar tongue. Neverthe-  
lesse I have adventured  
(asking pardon if my  
boldnesse give distaste) to  
style your Lordship the  
Mæcenas of this my han-  
diworke. My weakenesse  
and want of skill in every  
respect, together with my  
forwardnesse and pre-  
sumption to intermeddle  
out of my element, have  
promp-

---

DEDICATORY.

---

prompted me, to flye to the  
sanctuary of your Lord-  
ships protection. Such as  
expect that I should ra-  
ther dedicate some Tac-  
ticks or booke of Cheval-  
ry to your Lordship may  
take this for satisfaction,  
that I have well observed  
your true devotion to Re-  
ligion, which is the best or-  
nament and addition to  
your Honour; and great is  
the happinesse when Reli-  
gion and Military pro-  
A 3 fession

---

## THE EPISTLE

---

feſſion are met in ſo Heroique a Center. The variety ariſing from this copious ſubject of Traditions, will invite your Lordſhip to read Du Mou-  
LIN with delight ; but their modern incroachment (I meane the Romiſh) upon the Church, & their preſumptuous compariſon with the ſacred Scripture, will force your Lordſhip to reject them with ſcorne and greateſt loathing.

Caſt

---

## DEDICATORY

---

Cast your eye upon this little volume, and vouchsafe it your favourable opinion, such countenance will give it life; receive it into your Lordship's patronage, for to that end I have presented it, and in that security I humbly leave it; recommending your Lordship to Gods holy safeguard.

Your Lordship


most humble and  
faithfull Servant,

G. C.





## To the Reader.

 Ourteous Reader, When you set apart some houres for serious studies, imploy a few to the reading of this short *Enchiridium*; a most exact survey of *Romish Traditions*. You will finde them here arraigned, by divine testimonies of Scripture, by solid interpretations of the Fathers, by effectuall perswasions of reason, by the ridiculous impossibilities of their owne sufficiency, and by the selfe-contradictions and confessions of all Projectors and Founders of them. The Frontispice doth shew my Authour to bee French, and I have copied out his sense into our mother Tongue, as neere to life, as my running pen would give mee leave. If any man  
object,

*To the Reader.*

obj. 2. What need of Translations  
amidst so many unparallel'd *Originals*,  
compiled by the *Codifiers*  
of our Church at home? I answer  
with a question, Is it not pity for  
learned a booke (amongst us re-  
formed Christian-) should be guilty  
of that *Antichristian Tradition*  
cast upon the *Scripture*, *Not to be*  
*published in a knowne tongue?* will  
me not wade over deepe, into the  
commendation of this Treatise left  
a censure of *Tractus fabrilis*, or some  
*Quæ supra nos*, &c. recoile upon me.  
Ken eab' au' n' d' e' i' m' u' s' o' e' a' v' u' e' E. Every  
man ought to guide himselfe by  
the measure of his owne ability.  
It is true that I was never worthy  
to make this holy Knowledge my  
Profession, yet my zeale to it is  
such, that if I may not take the part  
of an *Excomunicates* in the merit of  
DOM MOULIN and his Work,  
I must take leave to gaze on him  
with

---

To the Reader.

---

with silent admiration, and (passing  
over particularities) with this  
briefe Character, onely to point at  
him: For generall and profound scho-  
le ship, hee is, *Extra virid: alearn  
doctus*. What can bee said more?  
Let it suffice that I have named  
him; *Qui cognoscit son nom affecte en-  
send son renom*, His meere name is  
the individuall cognizance of his  
fame. Pardon me, if I yet stretch  
a note higher in praise of him;  
it is his due, it cannot bee omitted  
without a nationall ingratitude.  
And what should it be, but his in-  
genuous perseverance to this very  
day, in vindicating the sacred ho-  
nour of his late Majestie (the lear-  
ned King JAMES of most happy  
and immortall memory) from the  
unjust redargution of *Cardinall  
Perron* in a booke which hee hath  
written against the said late King;  
as by those often quotations in  
this

---

## To the Reader.

---

this Treatise expressly made, may plainly appear? In the last place (my friendly Reader) if you afford mee a favourable construction of this my undertaking, and connive at such errors as you meet with, you have done to my wishes; and in requitall I passe my word, that whatsoever is lame and defective, or verbally mistaken at the Presse in this translated forme, you shall finde supplied in the reall goodnesse of the Authors matter. Reade and profit.

G. C.

---

## Errata.

Page 136. line 24. themselves never appeare, ~~made~~ themselves appeare not. p. 183. l. 1. as, & us. p. 194. l. 11. word, & world. p. 195. l. 13. containe, & continue. p. 196. l. 3. incist, & inist. p. 221. l. 13. stromatae, & stromara. l. 20. book of history, & of his history. p. 230. l. 3. asleepe, & a sleepe. p. 245. l. 23. as for that, & for example, that. p. 294. l. 2. contractions & contradictions. p. 298. l. 4. arguments, & arguments. p. 312. l. 19. hath determined, & hath bene determined. l. 22. ex-  
eminations, & determinations. p. 314. l. 4. passe, & passage. p. 319. l. 11. non plus, to, & non plus, is to. l. 22. touch, & touch p. 320. l. 12. for used, & for, be used. p. 336. l. 9. Dotanists, & Dontanists. p. 348. l. 4. barge, & barre.

U T T

## A Table of the Chapters in this Treatise of TRADITION.

- Chap. 1. **C** Concerning the nature of  
this Controversie. p. 1.
- Chap. 2. Of the word Tradition. p. 22.
- Chap. 3. The beliefe of our Churches,  
The Calumny of Regourd. p. 24.
- Chap. 4. The opinion of the Romish  
Church. That our Adversaries with  
one consent accuse the Scripture of  
insufficiency, &c. pag. 31.
- Chap. 5. That our adversaries say there  
are Doctrines and Articles of Chri-  
stian Faith, yea in the very essentiall  
things, which the Apostles have  
neither taught by mouth nor wri-  
ting. pag. 45.
- Chap. 6. A prooffe of the same, because  
our Adversaries doe affirme that the  
Pope and the Church of Rome may  
change that which God commandeth  
in the Scriptures, and infringe the  
Apostles Commandments. p. 60.
- Chap. 7. Passages extracted out of the  
wri-



## THE TABLE.

- writings of our Adversaries, which prove that in the Church of Rome Traditions are without comparison more esteemed then the holy Scripture, and the Scripture charged with Injuries. Regards boldnesse to defame the same. pag. 76.
- Cha. 8. A prooſe of the ſame by the practice of the Primitive Church. p. 110.
- Chap. 9. Three reaſons wherefore Tradition is preferred before the Scripture, &c. pag. 121.
- Chap. 10. That in this Queſtion. by the word Church, our Adverſaries underſtand the Pope alone. pag. 129.
- Chap. 11. Of what ſort, how weak, and how uncertaine the Foundations are whereon the Traditions of the Romiſh Church are built, &c. pag. 139.
- Chap. 12. That our Adverſaries alledgeing the Scripture, doe contradict themſelves, and alledge Scripture for Tradition in generall without touching the particulars, wherein they finde the Scripture contrary. pag. 165.
- Chap. 13. That our Adverſaries to diſtinguiſh the good Traditions from bad, doe give us a plea wherein they wholly

## THE TABLE.

wholly consist in ourselves. p. 175.

Chap. 14. A praise of the same, by the Traditions which our Adversaries doe suppose to be the most ancient and best grounded in Antiquity. p. 195.

Chap. 15. The second markes set by our Adversaries, to distinguish the good Traditions from the bad, viz. Succession. p. 205.

Chap. 16. That the Pharisees and ancient Hereticks had recourse to Tradition; that Clemens Alexandrinus suffered himselfe to be too much carried away in the same. p. 217.

Chap. 17. An examination of the passages of Scripture, whereon they found Traditions. p. 223.

Chap. 18. An answer to that which is objected unto us, that the Church hath bin sometime without the Scripture. pag. 231.

Chap. 19. That the Church of the old Testament, after the Law given by Moses untill Iesus Christ, hath had no unwritten Traditions. p. 236.

Chap. 20. An answer to our adversaries affirming, that we receive many Traditions contained in Scripture. p. 254  
Chap.

## THE TABLE.

Chap. 21. A prooffe of the Sufficiency and perfection of the Scriptures, by the testimony of God himselfe, speaking in the Scriptures. p. 267.

Chap. 22. Whether to ground a Doctrine, it be lawfull to use words equivalent to those that are found in the Scripture, or to use consequences and Arguments. pag. 298.

Chap. 23. Testimonies of the Fathers, touching the perfection of the Scripture. pag. 322.

Cha. 24. How the Texts and passages of the Fathers, which our Adversaries alledge for the unwritten Traditions, ought to be understood. p. 346.

Chap. 25. A prooffe of that which went before. pag. 349.

Cha. 26. Three ancient Customes which we are blamed to have forsaken. p. 393

Chap. 27. That the Traditions of the Romish Church of this time have nothing in common with the unwritten Traditions mentioned by the Fathers. pag. 398.


Chap. 28. Of the multitude of Traditions in the Church of Rome. p. 403.



A LEARNED  
TREATISE OF  
TRADITIONS.

OF THE SACRED  
*Scriptures perfection against  
the Traditions of the  
Romish Church.*

CHAP. I.  
*Concerning the nature of this Con-  
troversie.*

 Vr Adversaries were ac-  
customed a great while  
to dispute by way of  
Scripture; but at length  
perceiving themselves weake in the  
B cause

cause, and being much disquieted that the Scripture doth locke them vp into so narrow a roome, they spurne against it, labouring to make it appeare doubtfull, and without authoritie. By this meanes our Controversies change their nature; for instead of disputing by ground of Scripture, we are now led backe to dispute of the Scripture it selfe, and to defend the Authoritie and Perfection of it. This is now the field wherein our Adversaries doe sport, and display the mettall of their conceits. They accuse the Scripture of imperfection and insufficiencie, of obscuritie and vnca-  
pablenesse to determine any difference, calling it a dumbe and imperfect rule, a nose of wax, a rocke of scandall, a scabbard, that receiveth as well a leaden, as a steele blade. And though the Church of Rome bee partie in the cause, yet  
will

will it bee Iudge supream, and infallible. If the Church bee Iudge, shee of Rome will bee Iudge, and will haue it appertaine to her to prescribe her taske vnto her selfe, and to bee soveraigne Iudge of her owne proper dutie. Our Adversaries make the Church of Rome the Iudge infallible of her owne proper infallibilitie, and that shee shall bee soveraigne Iudge of the interpretation of the same Lawes, whereby God doth judge her sinnes. They sticke not to say, that the Church of Rome is no way subject to the Scripture, that is to say, to God speaking by his Prophets and Apostles. So on the other side they maintaine, that the Scripture is subject to the Church of Rome, and ought to be regulated by the Faith of that Church. They avow that to bee the singular and onely Church which giueth authoritie to the



Scripture, and will haue the Scripture inferiour to the Church in Dignity, in Stability, in Certainty, in Antiquitie, and in Amplitude: Yea, so farre they proceed, that the Pope may adde to the Creed, dispense contrary to the Apostles, alter that which God hath ordained in the holy Scriptures, and dispose of his Commandements. They hold, that the sacred Scripture bee therefore entertained and received amongst men, because the Pope doth approoue and ordaine it so to be: as if the Pope were more to be credited then God, speaking in his holy Scriptures; or that he were no whit subject to the Law of God contained in the Scripture.

In all this controversie betweene the Scripture and the Church, concerning the preheminance, by this word *Church*, our adversaries alwayes understand the Romish, althopgh

though there be many other more ancient and more pure; namely, the Greeke, the Syrian, the Affrican, &c. and by the Romish church they understand the Pope alone, in whom resideth the soveraigne authoritie, & who judgeth all things without possibility to erre; yea, then especially when hee judgeth alone *motu proprio*, of his owne meere motion, and speaking in the Chayre Apostolique; and when it is his pleasure to joyne unto him some Prelates for his assistance in Decreeing, hee reinvesteth them with infallible knowledge and vnderstanding, yea in the poynts which he himselfe understandeth not.

Whosoeuer will heere open his eyes, and not forbid himselfe the use of reason, shall easily perceiue, that Satan by this proceeding indeavoureth slyly to bring in Atheisme,

theisme, and to vndermine the foundations of Christian Religion. For by this meanes the Christian Faith is not founded vpon the Word of God contained in the holy Scriptures, but vpon humane and uncertaine evidence, yea the most uncertaine that can bee conceived; they justifying the authoritie of the Church of Rome to be onely established vpon the testimony of the Romish Church, making her Iudge, witnesse, and party in the same cause, and endeavouring to make men beleeeue, that the Church of Rome hath more authoritie then the Scriptures, for she her selfe doth say it.

If it be so, that the authoritie of the Scripture bee grounded vpon the authoritie of the Church of Rome, why doe they alledge unto vs passages of Scripture to support the authoritie of the Church of Rome? And

And when instead of directing the Faith of a Christian by the Word of God, speaking in the Scriptures, they send him to the Church, the simple people are perplexed and hindered from choosing the best Church amongst many contrary. How shall they choose? how shall they discern the true Church from the false? shall they know it in examining her doctrine by the Scripture? that may not be; for the Scripture is a Booke that the people are not permitted to reade: and our adversaries affirme, that the Church is not subject or bound to the Scripture, and that the Church may change the same which God commaunded in the Scripture.

Shall they discern the true Church by antiquity and succession? nor that; for the Syrian and Greeke Churches (contrary to the

Romish) are more ancient then that of Rome, deriving their succession from the Apostles; and punctually to judge in this succession and antiquitie, infinite Histories both Greeke and Latine ought to be read, wherein the people vnderstand little or nothing: And amongst the Clergie it selfe, scarce one of an hundred is found, that hath but ordinary or competent knowledge therein. Hee that but entred into the view thereof, shall discover the seat of the Romane Pontifies defiled with heresies and enormous crimes, yea mangled and rent with Schismes that are decided by stroke of sword; and according to the power of Emperours and Kings doeth the scale weigh downe.

Shall they haue respect to the generalitie and multitude? no, Iesus Christ calleth his Church a little

little flocke, *Luke* 12. 32. and signifieth vnto vs, that the multitude and broad way leade to perdition, *Math* 7. 13, 14.

Shal they giue heed to miracles? no, the Apostle hath foretold us, that the sonne of perdition, who is Antichrist, shall come with signes and miracles, *2. Thess.* 2. 9. And Iesus Christ admonisheth vs, that false prophets shall arise, and shall make signes & wonders to seduce, *Math.* 24. 24. Now seeing that so many false miracles are wrought, and the most predominant Courts of Iustice haue made many Decrees against the workers of them, how and by what marks shall the poore people distinguish the true miracles from the false, seeing there is no knowledge of the true doctrine declaring Gods will that we should discern the miracles? *Dent.* 13. v. 1, 2, & 3. Briefly, it is certaine,



certaine, that the Scriptures authoritie being no more the foundation and direction of the beleeuers faith, all Religion vanisheth, and turneth into smoke, and there remaines nothing but to beleue at adventure, to follow the generalitie, and like blind men to lay hands on him that marcheth next before vs.

It is answered, that in this perplexitie the people are to follow their Doctors and Pastors, for they are the men that vndertake with God for the people. What? must euery man beleue the Pastors of his owne countrey? must they follow the Church wherein they are borne? shall man owe his Religion to his birth, or the custome of his countrey, or the successe of affaires? If it bee answered, that by the Pastors and Doctors, those of the Church of Rome are to be vnderstood,

derstood, therein lies the poynt  
of difficultie. For the question  
is, if those Doctors bee sound and  
good, teaching the true way of  
health, conformably to the Word  
of God, which they conceale from  
the people, in denying them to  
reade the holy Scriptures; then are  
the people bound to belecue, that  
these are good Doctors, before  
they know the good doctrine; and  
that this church is the true church,  
before they know or apprehend  
the truth. They are also bound to  
belecue what the church of Rome  
beleeveth, not knowing what that  
Church ought to belecue. But if  
it be their tenent, that every parti-  
cular person bee assisted with the  
Spirit of God, to bee able to dis-  
cerne the true Church; why hold  
they not that hee bee assisted with  
the same spirit to discern the true  
doctrine, and to examine it by the  
holy

holy scriptures, seeing that the true Church cannot bee distinguished but by the true doctrine ? for the true faith is first to be knowne before the true faithfull can be distinguished ; and the true rule is to be well vnderstood, before those that follow it can be knowne. Christ must first be knowne, before there is possibility of knowing undoubtedly , what Church is truly his flocke.

Adde likewise heereunto , that the faith of the Romish Doctors dependeth entirely upon the *Popes* faith ; neverthelesse they (for the greatest part) doe beleue that the Pope may erre ; and the Popes themselves doe confesse the same, as wee haue elsewhere prooved. Yea , their errors are condemned by those Councils , which the Church of Rome did allow. And it is hard to beleue that he cannot erre,

erre, who boasteth of his authority and power, to change that which God hath ordained, & to dispense with his commandements.

Add moreover, that the greatest flatterers of the Popes, that have written their histories and liues, doe lament the corruption of that seat, and complaine of the traffique it exerciseth, and of the infamous living of many Popes, and their intolerable pride: for what doth the Pope? hee is advanced so far as to call himselfe God, and the diuine Maiestie, to cause himselfe to bee adored, to reach forth to Emperours a pantable to be kissed, to dispose the crownes and liues of Kings, and to release soules out of Purgatory: insomuch as from a poore Bishop of a citie, who in the Primitiue time appeared not but in the martyrdomes, is by degrees become a great Monarch of the earth,

earth, that surpasserth in riches and treasure the greatest Kings of the world.

These things considered may we fix in our minds a just cause to suppose, that the Pope is the same man that the Scripture hath foretold to

\* 2 Thess. 2.

7. & 8.

Apoc. 13. 11

2. Thess. 2.

v. 4. & 9.

Apocal. 17.

3. 4. 9. 18.

Apocal. 17.

2.

Apocal. 13.

15.

come into the world, to \* *lift himselfe up into the throne of Roman Emperours, stile himselfe God, vaunt of signes and miracles; he that should be cloathed in scarlet, should possesse his seat in a towne of seven mountaines, (which is Romes description), should seduce Kings, wage war against the Church, and vanquish it, and all this vnder the name of Christian, assuming to himselfe the title and authoritie of Iesus Christ. For so saith the Spirit of God in the 13 of the Apocalipse: Hee shall haue the hornes of a Lambe, but shall speake like a dragon. These things having beene foretold aboue fifteene hundred*

yeeres

yeares past, no man since that time hath so swelled himselfe to so high a pitch, as to haue these recited things appropriated vnto him, but the Pope of Rome. Is it by chance or adventure, that such prerogatiues haue met in one man? Surely these considerations are sufficient to cause a suspicion in vs, that this is the man who should haue more authoritie then the Scripture, that would haue himselfe beleeeved, when hee saith that the Scripture is subject vnto him, and that he hath power to change it, that is to say, to contradict it. For no man can extoll himselfe aboue the Scripture, vnlesse it bee meerely to impugne it.

Now though man fearing God, and touched with the zeale of his house, cannot see the Scriptures, (that are diuinely inspired) to bee so injuriously despighted, without extreme



extreme horroure and grieve; and though it bee a very prodigie or wonder of men, that call themselves Christians, but so powre out their hearts in invectives against the Scripture, (whereof neither *Porphyry*, nor *Lucian*, nor the most capitall enemies of the Christian name were ever advised): yet to us is it a subject of joy, and no little consolation in the midst of reproaches cast upon us, to be employed to speake in Gods behalfe, and to defend the honour of his word, against men perversly ingenious to defame it. For it is better to suffer for him, then to triumph without him. There is not a more honourable blemish, nor more honest disgrace, then to bee defamed, and oppressed for his name.

True it is, that the staine and disreputation exceed our strength, and it is no easie matter to speake worthily



worthily of the condigne honour  
belonging to holy Scripture, and  
with imperfect mindes to defend  
her perfection, it were in some  
sort to light the day with a can-  
dle, and to demonstrate the Sunne  
with the finger, as to endeavour  
to arriue at the bright evidence  
of the Scripture: for at all times  
all that wee can performe is lesse  
cleare then her perfection. I hold  
it therefore expedient to pub-  
lish to the light the scandalls and  
accusations which our aduersaries  
doe raise against the Scripture, and  
to shew how God hath stricken  
them with the spirit of amazement:  
as also to compare the wickednes  
and vanitie of the Romish Tradi-  
tions, with the perfection and san-  
ctitie of the holy Scripture. And  
wee hope that in this so holy and  
just quarrell God will assist vs, and  
that he will vouchsafe vs the grace

to maintaine the honour of his Word, by such meanes as are most agreeable to his Word: and that he who hath confounded the tongues of the builders of Babel, will confound the thoughts and spirits of those that labor daily to rebuild it.

In my three former Treatises, entituled, *The Iudge of Controversies*, I have defended the authoritie of the Scripture, and shewen, that our adversaries in this cause have not onely the Scripture contradicting them, but also themselves, common sense, antiquitie, and experience; and that they are not onely at variance among themselves, but every one particularly thwarteth himselfe. It remaines now to speak of the perfection of the Scripture, and to shew that our Adversaries wrongfully find fault therein, and most injuriously accuse it of insufficiencie.

These

These two Questions, the one touching *the authority* of the Scripture, the other as concerning *her perfection*, are linked together inseparably. These two properties of Scripture reciprocally embrace one the other, and afford to themselves mutuall succour. For the Scripture it selfe by her authoritie maintaineth her sufficiencie, and her sufficiencie giveth her authoritie. And whosoever withstandeth the authoritie of the Scripture, fighteth also against her perfection: for if the Scripture be soveraigne Iudge, it is deficient in nothing to judge well. And it is certaine that shee cannot bee Iudge of poynts whereof shee speaketh not. If shee bee wanting in any thing, some superiour authoritie must supply her default. And if our Adversaries haue reason to say that the Church of Rome is the rule of Scripture,

for a certaine it is of that Church wherein we ought to learne, whether there bee any imperfection in the Scripture: but the decision of the question touching the Scriptures authoritie, levelleth the way for us to the question concerning her perfection: which shall bee (if God permit) this last Treatise, wherein wee defend the absolute perfection of the Scripture, against the Appendixes and Additions of the Romish Church, which men call *Traditions*; yea against men that with a depraved subtiltie search and hunt after defects in Scripture: like vnto Holland spectacles, that discover spots and staines in the shining sunne.

When we compare the Romish Traditions with the doctrine of holy Scripture, they will be found not onely infinitely beneath the sanctitie and excellencie of the Scrip-

Scriptures, and as coales mingled amongst Diamonds: but also contrary to them, and meere insurrections against Gods commandments, vnder colour of addition. It will bee found, that these Traditions, which they deriue and make to descend from the Apostles, are forged *de novo*, and resemble the Gibeonites who being very neere, spake as if they were come from farre. It will appeare, that these Traditions which men exalt in generall, when they come to a particular scanning, they are but a frivolous bundle of human Inventions, contrived for gaine, and of malicious deceits, to subdue the people under the Ecclesiastiques, and to retaine them in blind ignorance:

## CHAP. II.

*Of the word Tradition.*

**I**T will be necessary to expound the word before wee speake of the matter. This word *Tradition* signifieth a doctrine giuen by succession from hand to hand. From whence we conclude, that the holy Scripture, the Law of God, and the Gospell are Traditions. The Apostle *S<sup>t</sup>. Paul*, in his first chapter to the *Galat. v. 14.* affirmeth himselfe to be *exceedingly zealous of the Traditions of his Fathers*; calling so the Law of *Moses*, whereof he had been very zealous, or at least comprehending it in these Traditions. The same Apostle in the second to the *Thessal. chap 2. v. 15.* exhorteth them to *preserue the Traditions which they had learned either from his mouth or by his Epistle*, calling the doctrine which

ζηλωτης  
 υπαρχον  
 των πα-  
 τερων  
 μου  
 ο υς  
 ον.







that by this word *Tradition*, some Document, Rule, Recitall, or Ceremonie in matter of Gods Service, not contained in the holy Scriptures bee observed. And so shall the word bee taken in all this Treatise.

---

CHAP. III.

*The beleefe of our Churches. The calumny of Regourd a Iesuite.*

**T**He fift article of our confession expresseth, that *the holy Scripture is the rule of all veritie, containing all that is necessary for the service of God and our owne salvation, whereunto it is not lawfull to adde, diminish, or change.*

Hereby we intend not absolutely to reject all Tradition: for if there bee a Tradition that addeth nothing to the Scripture, but serveth onely to maintaine her authority

ritie and perfection, wee imbrace that most willingly. Such a Tradition is *that the Books of the old and new Testament are sacred and Canonically*. This Tradition is so far from adding to the Scripture, that on the contrary it sayeth, that nothing ought to be added thereunto. Neither is it without the compasse of the Scripture, seeing that it springeth and results from the perfection of the Scripture it selfe, and the credit or testimony that a Church (bee it true or false) conferreth upon these Bookes, is but a probable and humane testimony, vntill God (giving efficacie to this Scripture to touch and stirre vp devotion) imprinteth in it a more effectuall perswasion. For it is not the Church that giveth faith, but the spirit of God that worketh in our hearts by his powerfull word.

As a river that passeth through a  
towne,

towne, is sufficient to refresh and water it throughout, yet notwithstanding is it behoouefull that some Pipe or channell should conduct it from the source into the place: so the holy Scripture is sufficient to instruct vs to salvation, neverthelesse it must come to vs as it were by the course of successiue Tradition. Such a Tradition addeth no more to the Scripture, then the channell addeth to the water of the River.

Also when wee reject unwritten Traditions, we intend not to reject all the words that are not found in the Scripture, in regard that wee may there finde the matter in substance and equivalent termes, and that these words doe add nothing to the doctrine of salvation contained in the Scriptures. Such are the termes of *Gods providence*, and of the *Immortalitie of the soule*. Likewise

wise the words of *Trinitie*, *Consubstantiall*, and the *Proceſſion of the holy Ghost*, words profitably imployed by our forefathers, to make that perspicuous which is contained in the Scriptures, and to shut vp heretikes into a more narrow strait.

Also wee willingly admit of unwritten Traditions which concern not the doctrine, but onely the Ecclesiasticall pollicie, and outward order, in regard that such Lawes and Customes are not given for absolutely necessary, and equalled with the doctrine of salvation: as also, because they serue not the Pastors use for traffique, avarice, or ambition; and that in this order and outward pollicie there is nothing dishonest, and contrary to good morality, or that may expose the Christian Religion to ridiculousnesse; and lastly, because that with these Ceremonies and observations

vations the multitude is not excessive, neither doe they divert the piety by postures of the countenance, or the spirituall service by corporall exercise. For as the Romans having conquered a Province, did amuse the people with Sports and pompous Triumphes, feasting them with their spoyles, whilst they were then busie in plotting and aggravating the peoples servitude: so doth the enemy of our salvation amuse the people by the splendour of Ceremonies, whilst hee then inthralleth consciences, and tacitely insinuateth idolatry; to which, the very inclination of the people doth much contribute. For a man naturally loveth rather to recreate his sense, then to instruct his understanding; to behold publike spectacles, then heare wholesome doctrines; to admire pictures, then edifie by  
good

good precepts; and findeth lesse difficultie to shape stones to the image of man, then to unshape or reforme man to the image of God.

Our confession then rejecteth onely the Traditions, that adde something to the doctrine of faith & manners contained in the Scripture, and which are given forth to supply that which is thought to be wanting in the doctrine of the holy Scriptures.

The Iesuite *Regourd* in his booke Pag. 786.  
& 787. intituled, *Catholike Demonstrations*, in the sixt Demonstration, proposeth falsely our Beliefe. Hee alledgeth the wordes of the fift Article of our confession of the faith, where hee makes vs say, that *the Word of God contained in the Bookes received by vs, is guided with all veritie, and containeth all that is necessary for the service of God, and for our owne salvation, and that by it all things ought to*  
bee



be examined and squared; Antiquity, Customs, the Multitude, humane Wisdom, Iudgements, Sentences, Edicts, Decrees, Councells, Visions, Miracles. But he changeth the words of our Confession by a most notorious falsification: for we say only that these things must not bee opposed against the Scripture. Marke our very words: *It is not lawfull for men nor Angels to adde thereunto, nor diminish, nor change. Whence it followeth, that neither Antiquitie, nor Customs, nor the Multitude, &c. ought to be opposed against the holy Scripture.* We condemne not Antiquitie, nor Councels, as *Regourd* imposeth upon vs; but wee say, that hee that would oppose these things against the Scripture, ought not to bee beleaved. Wee affirme this, because our Adversaries say, that the Romish Church may change that which God hath commanded in the

the Scripture, dispense Gods word contrary to the Apostle, and establish new Articles of Faith: whereof wee haue set downe multitudes of proofes, in the forepart of our first Booke, and will produce more here following.

---

CHAP. IV.

*The opinion of the Romish Church.*

*That our Adversaries with one consent accuse the Scripture of insufficiency, and of not containing all the doctrines necessary to salvation.*

**W**Hen our Adversaries dispute against Pagans, and compare the holy Scripture with humane wisdom, they exalt the sanctitie, perfection, authoritie, perspicuity, and divine efficacy of the holy Scripture; yea, you would imagine they accorded with vs, and borrowed our termes. But when

when the question is of comparing the Scripture with the church of Rome, then alter they their language, debasing the dignitie of the Scripture, to the end to magnifie the authoritie of the Pope. They vphold, that the Scripture is not Iudge, & that this title appertaines unto the Pope, and to the Prelates which he authoriseth; then (I say) they make all authoritie of the Scripture to depend upon the power and testimonie of the Romish Church. They accuse the Scripture of incertitude, of being depraved, of obscuritie, of insufficiencie, and imperfection.

But if one represent vnto them their owne proper words, wherein they commend the perfection of the Scripture, and acknowledge that it containeth all that is necessary to salvation, they haue an evasion ready at hand: for they say  
that

that the Scripture may bee called perfect, because she referreth to the Church, which supplyeth all her defects. Wherein they apparantly contradict themselves. For if the Scriptures send back to the church to learne of her wherein they are defectiue, by the same message and sending backe they confesse their owne imperfection. The Merchant that sendeth away his Chapman to another shop, to finde that which hee hath not in his owne, by this dismission hee confesseth that his owne shop is ill furnished. And if it be sufficient for the Scripture to be called perfect, when as she sends us to the Church, it is most certaine, that instead of all the Scripture, one sole line might suffice, speaking thus; *Goe but to the Church of Rome, and shee will teach you all things infallibly.*

Now to vnderstand what is the

D

imper-

imperfection whereof our adversaries accuse the Scripture, let us obserue what they discourse vpon the same.

The Councell of *Trent* in the fourth Session pronounceth that the Church shall receiue and honour the vnwritten Traditions with equall affection of piety and reverence as the holy Scripture. *The hallowed Synod* (say these Fathers) receaues and honoureth with like affection of godlinesse and reverence all Bookes as well of the Old as the New Testament, and the Traditions appertaining to faith and manners, as dictated onely by the mouth of Christ, or by his holy spirit. Yea by this decree the commandements of the Church of Rome are equall to the Law of God, and the doctrine of the Gospel contained in the New Testament. By this rule the Invocation of Saints commanded by Tradition,

*Omnes libros  
tam veteris  
quam noui  
Testamenti  
nec non Tra-  
ditiones ipsas  
tum ad fidei  
tum ad mores  
pertinentes,  
sanguam vel  
ore tenus à  
Christo, vel à  
spiritu sancto  
dictatas pari  
pietatis affe-  
ctu, ac reue-  
rentia suscipi-  
ac venerari.*

tion, ought to bee done with like pietie and reverence, as the Invocation of God commaunded in the holy Scripture.

By the authoritie of this Councell a Catechisme was framed, which in the very entry and beginning placeth this *Maxime*, that *all doctrine which ought to be given to the faithfull, is contained in the Word of God, which is divided into Scripture and Traditions*; whence grew vp the distinction of the word written and unwritten.

*Catechismus ad paucos ex Decretis Concilij i. r. d. Ty 4. Pont. N. ax. iussu editus. Omnis doctrina ratio qua fidelibus tradenda sit, quod in Scripturam traditionesq; distributum est.*

*Gregory de Valentia* the Iesuite in the fifth Booke of his *Analysis*, and Title of the third Chap. *The Scripture is not a sufficient rule of faith, for it containeth not all things.*

*Scripturas non esse sufficientem fidei regulam quia non continent omnia.*

*Cardinall Bellarmine* a Iesuite, in his Booke of the Vnwritten word Chap. 4. *The Scriptures without Traditions are not simply necessary nor sufficient.* And there againe he calleth

*Scripturas sine Traditionibus nec simpliciter necessariae nec sufficientes.*



**Ver  
Tigh  
Bou**

ry  
ntly  
and

the Scripture *regulam non totalem, sed partialem*, a rule not entire, but a piece or parcell of a rule.

The Iesuite Baile in the 9 question of his Catechisme: *I will make you poynt it with your finger, that the Scripture is not sufficient.*

Peter Charron in the fourth Chap. of his third Verity, saith, that to require all to bee proved by Scripture, is an *uniust demand*. And not much after: *The Scripture is nothing but a lit le parcell of truth revealed.*

Part. 3. diff. 8.  
S. Q. 1. t.  
traditio.  
Stultum est  
omnia ab A-  
post-licis scrip-  
ta putare, vel  
omnia ab eis  
tradita fuisse.  
Et in in-  
iuriam ver-  
getur agentiu  
& revelantiu  
Spiritus: Et  
in suade esse  
natura no-  
stra, qua om-  
nia simul non  
capit.

Salm ron the Iesuite in his 13. Tome of the first Booke of his Commentaries vpon the Epistles of Saint Paul: *It is a sottishnesse to thinke that the Apostles haue written all things, or haue given all by Tradition, that would turne to an injury against the holy Ghost operating and revealing: and it would bee a thing repugnant to our nature, that comprehendeth not all things at a clap.*

Of which vnwritten Traditions that haue been started since the Apostles time, he fetcheth some examples: to wit, the Ecclesiasticall Hierarchy, that is to say, the Papall Monarchy, with the subordinate degrees; the service of Images, and the suffrages of the dead, the Masse and manner of sacrificing, and the Tradition that Iesus Christ hath made a sacrifice in bread and wine: & that he then made the Chrisme, &c. Hee rendreth the reason why these things should not be written: to the end *that the Commandement of Iesus Christ bee kept, who chargeth in this manner: Give not to dogs that which is holy.* Vpon this Iesuites reckoning, the doctrine of the birth and death of our Saviour was given to dogs, when it was digested in writing. And God gave his Law to dogs, when he wrote it in two Tables. But as for the Pa-

§ Quint.  
opus.

§. Postremo.

§. Porro.

§. Quint.  
opus.  
*Hac literis  
cōsignari mi-  
nime debue-  
runt, ut ser-  
uaretur pra-  
ceptū Christi:  
Nolite dare  
sanctum can-  
ibus.*

pall Hierarchy, Image-service, Romish Indulgences, Invocation of Saints, &c. God would not haue such holy things to be cast to dogs, nor hath he permitted them to be written.

§. Tertio.

*Protervire  
volentes scri-  
ptura rejelli  
non possunt,  
ideo una tra-  
ditione iugu-  
lantis sunt.*

And there againe: *Waxing insolent and froward, they cannot bee vanquished by the Scriptures, therefore must their throats be cut with one Tradition alone.*

*Trasat En-  
chi id.*

*Nostri temporis  
haeretici ad  
solam Scriptu-  
ras tanquam  
ad laxum  
adharescunt.  
Item cap de  
sacra script.*

*In membranis  
tam nivi quā  
veteris Testā.  
multa desid-  
rantur.*

*In ea rāmen  
omnia non  
contineri val-  
de impudēter  
affirmare non  
veretur.*

*A Christo  
videtur cau-*

Coster a Iesuite in the Preface of his Manuell: *The Heretiques of our time doe sticke to the Scriptures as to a rock. That displeaseth the Doctor, for (saith hee) In the Parchments as well of the Old as New testament many things are wanting. And further: they feare not to affirme with great impudence, that all things are contained in the Scripture. And a little after: It seemes that Iesus Christ forbad all the doctrines of Faith to be couched in writing, when hee sayd: Give not to dogs*

*dogs that which is holy.* As if the Scripture were made for the dogs. And who may these dogs bee but the Christian people? Now seeing that Iesus Christ hath given the Scripture to these dogs, that is to say, to the people, wherefore doth the Pope take from them that which Iesus Christ hath given unto them, in debarring them of the reading?

*sum ne omnia  
fides dogma-  
ta scriptu  
re commendaren-  
tur. cum aut  
Nolite dare  
sanctum can-  
ibus.*

Reason would require, that our Adversaries specifie vnto vs, what are the Doctrines that are wanting in the Scripture, and that they make us a catalogue of their Traditions. But they haue not dared to doe it hitherto, fearing to affright the people with the multitude of doctrines, which they haue patched to the word of God.

We learne by the History of the Councell of Trent, that besides the publike Sessions of the Coun-  
D 4 cell,

*Hist. del Con-  
cilio Trident.  
lib. 2. Ann.  
1546.*



cell, they caused Congregations to be made of Prelates and Doctors to make draughts of the Decree which should be proposed to the Councell : and when these were afterwards to be read in full Councell, the Fathers gaue their suffrage by the word *Placet*, without scruple or difficultie therein, receiving the said Decree as a Law already ratified by the Popes Legats. Before the fourth Session was held, wherein was established the Decree touching Traditions, some selected Doctors were assembled to frame this Decree, which was for a long space debated. Some interposing that it was necessary a Decree should be made, wherein it should be declared that all the Catholike doctrine is founded upon Tradition, in regard that the Scripture it selfe is not to be beleaved, but by the leaue and meanes of Tradition

dition that ministreth authoritie unto it.

*Vincent Lunel*, a Cordelier was of opinion to make a Decree of the authoritie of the Church, before Traditions should bee mentioned, because these are grounded upon the authoritie of the Church, and the Church is that which affordeth all authoritie to the Scriptures. To which opinion the Legats would not condescend, fearing that heereby the memory of the Councils of Constance and Basill should be revived, which haue adjudged, and definitiuely determined, that the soveraigne authoritie of the Church abideth in the Council, and not in the Pope, and that the Pope is subject to the Council, and that to enter into dispute hereon, were to signifie that it is not yet knowne who should be Iudge.

But

But *Anthony Mariner* the Carmelite, a sage and learned man, was of opinion, that nothing at all should be spoken of Traditions, alleaging, that without all doubt God under the old Testament had commanded *Moyſes* to write his Booke of the Law, charging the Kings to reade it carefully, and to put a copy of it into the Arke of the Covenant; but ſaith, that under the new Testament the Scripture is not neceſſary, in reſpect that Ieſus Chriſt hath written his doctrine in mens hearts, without need either of Tables, Arke, or Booke. Hee further ſaith, that if there were no Scripture at all, yet the Church ſhould looſe nothing of her perfection: It is true, that God hath not forbidden his Apoſtles to write, but ſo alſo is it certaine that they haue not written by his commaundement, and it is an abuſe to ſay, that  
God

God hath commaunded them to write one part of the doctrine, and forbidden them to write the other. Againe he presseth, that if any man be of a contriary opinion, he should haue too maine difficulties to vnfold, the one to declare the things forbidden to be written; the other to tell us who hath made those men that came after the Apostles so aduenturous and bold, to commit to writing that which God had forbidden his Apostles to write. Lastly he sayth, that if any man avowed it to bee chance and without expresse commandement from God, that some things haue been written, and others not, hee should accuse the providence of God, in taking no care of so important a matter, and should call into doubt the assistance of the holy Spirit, that hath instructed the Apostles to write. For these reasons

reasons was he of opinion to make no comparison of Traditions with the Scripture, since by this means also they might passe over the Scripture.

But Cardinall *Poole* an English man, and third Legat, did utterly renounce this opinion. Yet for all that there was a decree framed wherein (without mentioning the authoritie of the Church, or that Traditions are above the Scripture) it is averred, that simply the Scripture and Traditions ought to be received with equall pietie and reverence. Which is a perpetuall rule that the Councell hath observed, to devise emptie Decrees, not expressing the moiety of the church of Romes opinion, and that in ambiguous words, to the end, that upon all occasions they may make Interpretations fit for their owne turnes.

CHAP. V.

*That our Adversaries say there are doctrines and articles of Christian Faith, yea in the very essentiall things, which the Apostles haue neither taught by mouth nor writing.*

**O**Ur Adversaries are not contented to accuse the Scripture alone of imperfection, but they finde also a deficiency in the Apostles preaching, and say, that they haue not taught all by word of mouth. So as by their account the holy Scripture and Apostolique Traditions coupled together make not an entire body of the Christian doctrine. They also freely confesse, that the Popes haue added from age to age diuers Traditions, according as they haue thought them necessary; and that not only in things of lesse importance, but also



also in matters essentiall to the Christian faith.

§ Est autē.  
Prior parti-  
tio Traditio-  
num est in  
divinas Apo-  
stolicas, Ec-  
clesiasticas.

Ecclesiastica  
Traditiones  
proprie di-  
cuntur consue-  
tudines qua-  
da antiqua-  
re a Præsu-  
latis vel a  
populo inbo-  
rata, quæ pau-  
lisper tacito  
consensu po-  
pularum vir-  
legum continen-  
tunt.  
Idem habet  
Simon,  
Tom. 13.  
Disp. 8.

*Bellarmino* in his 4. Booke of the Vnwritten word of God, chap. 2. calleth some Traditions *Divine*, which Iesus Christ hath taught by mouth, & have not been set downe in writing. Others he calleth *Apostolique*, which the Apostles have taught by word of mouth, and never wrote them. And the last hee calleth *Ecclesiasticall*, which hee saith, are introduced from ancient customs by the Prelates, or by the people, and creepingly by the silent and unques- tioning agreement of the people, have gayned as it were strength of law. In which distinction hee clearely acknowlegeth, that the Traditions which he stileth *Apostolique*, are not *Divine*; and that *Ecclesiasticall* are neither *Divine* nor *Apostolicall*. Whence it is manifest with what subtiltie our adversaries common- ly

ly attribute the title of *Apostolicall* to all Traditions indifferently, as if they were all derived from the Apostles; and how falsely they comprehend Traditions under the title of *The unwritten word of God*, when as by their owne confessions a great part of these Traditions is not the Word of God. For Traditions that are not *divine*, are necessarily *humane*. And this is evidently seene in the Prayer Bookes for certaine houres, and the duties wherwith they charge the people, unto whom they first commit Gods ten Commandements, and then the commandements of the Church: which is an argument of their confession, that the commandements of the Church are not Gods commandements.

In this interim the Councell of *Sess. 4* Trent, at the before recited place, maketh no difference betweene  
Tra-

Traditions ; avouching, that they are all received with like affection of pietie and reverence as the holy Scripture, & equalleth those Ecclesiasticall Traditions (brought in by the Popes at severall times) to the ten commandements of the divine Law, and to the Doctrine of the Gospel written in the New Testament.

The same Cardinall disputing against *Barkley* touching the Popes power to depose Kings, and cause them to bee killed, as also concerning his authoritie over all the Temporaltie of the world, not finding either in Scripture, or in ancient History of the Church, any passage or example to countenance and underprop so abominable a doctrine, defendeth himselfe in this manner: *He judgeth not rightly of the Church, who admitteth nothing but what hee expressely readeth to have been*

*Beſarm. in  
Barkl. cap. 3.  
Non recte de  
Eccleſia ſen-  
zit, qui nihil  
admittit niſi  
quod expreſſe*

been practised or done in the ancient Church: as if the Church of these latter times had ceased to be a Church, or had not power to unfold and declare, as to establish and ordaine the things that appertaine to sayth and manners of Christians. This power then of the Pope over the life and crowne of Kings is not a divine Tradition, nor Apostolike, but Ecclesiasticall, brought in by the church of Rome in latter times, that is to say, by the Pope.

in veteri Ec-  
clesia sumptum  
aut factum esse  
legis, quod  
Ecclesia, o-  
mnipotens tem-  
porum aut despo-  
tici esse Ec-  
clesia, aut sa-  
crificare non  
habeat expli-  
catis et accla-  
ratis, consti-  
tutus et sum-  
ptum  
et subman-  
qua ad fiam  
de more  
Christianos  
personas.

And when our adversaries attribute to the Pope the power of adding to the Creed, and of making articles of Faith, it is apparant that they hold the Pope able to bring in Traditions essentiall to Christian faith, which the Apostles haue neither written nor taught by word of mouth.

This is that which *Thomas Aquinas* teacheth in the second part of

Thom. 2. 2e.  
qu. 1. art. 103.  
Ad solam  
antiquitatem

E

his

*Summi Pontificis potestas nona editio symboli, fidei & alia omnia qua pertinent ad totam Ecclesiam.*

*Romani Pontifices multis definitis quae antea latuerant symbola fidei augere consueverunt.*

*Post necessitate urgente, ut re suo participarent illam ex aliisque symbolis apparetur licuisse.*

his Summs, saying, *It belongeth solely to the authority of the soveraign Pope, to make a new edition of Creed, as also all things that concerne the universall Church.* Vpon which passage, *Andradus* that assisted at the Counsell of Trent, spake thus in the second Booke of the defence of the Tridentine faith: *The Roman Pontifices in defining many things which had been formerly bidden, have accustomed to augment the Creed.*

This question hath been moved to the Councell of Florence, betweene the Greekes and Latines; the Latines maintaining against the Greekes, that the Pope and church of Rome may adde to the Creed. Finally in the last Session is concluded in favour of the Latines, that *the Church of Rome hath right of power to adde to the Creed;* and in the margent is noted, *Rom: Pontificis potestas*, the power of the Pope,

Pope, for by the church you must understand the Pope.

To this doth the Iesuite *Vasques* agree, who disputing of the Apostles commandement, that biddeth the people of Corinth, 1. Cor. 11. vers. 28 to eate of this bread and drinke of this cup, speaking thus: *Though we should graunt, that it hath been the Apostles commandement, yet neverthelesse the Church and the soveraigne Pope were able to abolish this commandement upon just reasons: for the power of the Apostles to give commandements, hath not been greater then that of the Church and the Pope.* Seeing therefore that the Pope hath as much power over the Church as the Apostles, and that the Apostles haue had the power to forme a Creed, and to establish in the Church Articles of Faith, which had not beene written before, nor taught by word of mouth

*Vasques*  
Tom. 2. Disp.  
216. Nū. 62.  
Licet concederemus hoc fuisse Apostolorum præceptum, nihilominus Ecclesia & summus Pontifex poterunt illud in sui de causis abrogare. Neque enim maior fuit potestas Apostolorum quam Ecclesia & Pontifex in ferendis præceptis.



in the Church: it followes, that the Pope hath the same power, and that he can forme a Creed, or adde to that which the Apostles haue formed, and can ordaine matters which the Apostles haue neither written nor taught by mouth.

Whereupon *Leo* the tenth in his Bull *Exurge*, which is annexed to the end of the last Lateran Councell, thundereth and pronounceth an anathema again *Luther*, for ha-  
 ving spoken amongst other things, that *it is no way in the power of the Church or of the Pope to establish ar-  
 ticles of faith.*

*Salmeron* the Iesuite is expresse in his 13 Tome, and the third part of the sixth Disputation, saying, *The doctrine of faith suffereth addition in the things that are essentiall.* These words are worth obseruation: for if you belecue this Iesuite, the Pope and Church of Rome  
 may

*Certum est in  
 many Eccle.  
 sia aut Papa  
 prorsus non esse  
 statum arti-  
 culos fidei.*

*Diff. 6.  
 Est ergo.  
 Doctrina  
 fidei admit-  
 tit additionem  
 in essentialibus.*

may adde to the Traditions that are called Apostolicall, and to the unwritten word, not only matters accidentall, but also essentiall, not taught by the Apostles. Which likewise doth inferre, that the Apostles haue not taught all that is necessary to Christian Religion, and that then there wanted something that was essentiall in the doctrine of the Apostles.

The same Iesuite in his 8. Disputation, giues a reason why the Apostles haue not written nor preached all things: *The affayres* (saith hee) *in the Apostles time did not so hit and fall out, as that all things could bee decided; and the Church at that time was of a condition differing from her now present estate, and from her estate since that very time. Moreover, our nature cannot apprehend all things at once, but by progresse and succession of time: neither is it capa-*

*§ Atque hoc &c. Nec sub Apostolu omnia occurrunt, ut possent ab eis omnia decidi. Et in alio statu erat Ecclesia sub Apostolu quam sit modo vel fuerit post illa tempora. Deinde natura nostra non omnia simul doceri potest, &c. In iniuriam igitur spiritus sancti qui vngit vniuersos*

ua membra  
Christi, &  
qui usque  
modo opera-  
tur, reiscitur  
quicquid non  
est audum ab  
Apostolu,  
&c. Possunt  
ergo esse noue  
traditiones ad  
fidem & mo-  
res spectantes,  
licet ab A-  
postolu non sint  
condita aut  
explicata.

ble of all truths at a time, &c. It were  
then to abuse the holy Ghost (that an-  
oynteth Christs members with oym-  
ment, and that operateth untill the  
instant) to reject all that hath not been  
spoken by the Apostles. Whereupon  
he concludeth, therefore may there  
bee new Traditions concerning faith  
and manners, though they were neuer  
made or explicated by the Apostles.

Now I leaue to judge, with what  
conscience it may be maintained,  
that the Traditions are ancient and  
Apostolicall, seeing that our ad-  
versaries doe confesse, that there  
are many of them moderne and  
new, whereof the Apostles neuer  
spake word.

And to the ende that no man  
may conceaue these new Tradition-  
ons to be spungie & of no weight,  
unnecessary, or unessentiall to chris-  
tian Religion, he speakes directly,  
that the new Traditions are touch-  
ing

ing faith and manners, and that the doctrine of the Christian faith receiveth yet an addition even in things that are essentiall: yea and more expresly in the same 8. Disputation: Hence (saith he) may be collected, that the Apostles haue not giuen all by Tradition, but onely the things that then were necessary, and that were proper for the beleeuers salvation. According to this Iesuites Tenet, the Apostles haue not taught all that is necessary in these our dayes, and there are now articles of faith necessary to salvation, which in the Apostles time were not necessary.

Of the number of these new Traditions neither written nor preached by the Apostles, and that are now decreed for necessary and essentiall to Religion, are Romish Indulgences, and Treasure of the Church, wherein the Pope gathereth vp the |superabundance of

S. Tertio.

varia.

Hinc colligi potest non omnia tradita esse ab Apostolis, sed ea quae sunt necessaria et quae ad salutem credentium admodum erant.

satisfactions made by Saints and Monkes, and distributes them to others by his Pardons, to satisfy the justice of God. This is an essentiall doctrine of the Romish Religion, and the arch or Buttresse that shoreth up Papisme. For is there any thing of more importance in Religion, then the remission of sinnes, and the meanes to satisfie the justice of God? yet in this while our adversaries doe confesse that this is a new Doctrine, and that there is found no trace or footstep of it in all Antiquitie, as we shall hereafter discover.

When we produce the Councell of Laodicea, and multitudes of Fathers, *Meliton*, *Origen*, *Eusebius*, *Athanasius*, *Epiphanius*, *Hierome*, *Gregory Nazianzen*, *Hilary*, *Ruffin*, &c. that unanimously exclude the Books of *Macabees*, out of the list of Canonickall books; our adversaries  
answered

answer that then the apprehensions and opinions were much differing, for that the Church had not yet decided any thing vpon this point. Here then by their own confession is a Tradition which the Apostles never taught, nor decided either by mouth or writing, to wit, that these Bookes of *Maccabees* are canonical, which they doe now falsely insert amongst the Apostolicall Traditions.

In this classe I ranke Invocation of Saints, adoration of Reliques and Images, the painted Trinitie, the power of the Pope to dispense with oathes and voves; to dispose of kingdoms, and depose Kings; to canonize Saints; to release distressed soules out of Purgatory, the Communion under one kinde, the *Limbus* for little Infants, private Masses, particular mens prayers, and publique service in an unknowne



known tongue; the assumption of the Virgin *Mary* bodily into heaven, together with her coronation in the dignity of Queen of heaven and Lady of the world; and many other the like things, wherein at this present they make Gods Service to consist; of these is the body of Papistry composed, and herein are the people more carefully instructed and exercised, then in the Doctrine of salvation contained in the holy Scripture. All which are new Traditions and unheard of in the ancient church; yea and that by the confession of our adversaries, as we shall proue in fit place.

It would bee very proper and convenient, to know when the Christian doctrine shall be perfect, and whether the Popes shall ever be able to add new articles of faith thereunto.

And if it be so that the Apostles haue

have neither taught by mouth or writing, all the Doctrines essentially belonging to Christian Faith, it would bee necessary to understand whether the Apostles knew the Doctrines which they have not taught: for if they knew them, why did they not publique-ly teach them? why have they dissembled Doctrines essentially belonging to Religion? But if they knew them not, it must bee acknowledged, that the Popes surpass the Apostles in knowledge, and that Saint *Paul* deceives himselfe, when hee delivereth that hee had taught the Ephesians all the counsell of God, *Actes 2. vers.* 27.

CHAP.

# Ver Tigh Bou

WHICH

ry  
ntly  
und

## CHAP. VI.

*A prooffe of the same, because our  
versaries doe affirme that the Pope  
and the church of Rome may change  
that which God commandeth in the  
Scriptures, and infringe or nullify  
the Apostles commandements.*

**W**Hosoever teacheth things  
contrary to the Apostles,  
consequently teacheth things that  
are differing and repugnant. The  
Traditions whereby the ordinance  
of Iesus Christ and the Apostles  
is changed and abrogated, cannot  
be Apostolicall Traditions, unless  
we would haue the Apostles to be  
contrary to themselves. Seeing  
then the Pope & church of Rome  
attribute to themselves the power  
of altering the Apostles ordina-  
nces by their Traditions, it follow-  
eth that they may make traditions  
which

which the Apostles neuer taught, either by mouth or writing. This is that which is practised in the church of Rome, and that our adversaries doe openly maintaine.

We haue already heard the Iesuite *Vasques* speaking, that the Church and soveraigne Pontific may abolish and breake the Apostles commandement, because the Apostles power to give precepts hath not been greater than the Popes.

The Councell of Trent, in the 21 Session, chap. 1. & 2. declareth, that this power bath the Church at times had in ministering of the Sacraments, (saving their substance) to ordaine or alter that which shee judged most expedient for the utility of those that receive them. This Councell indeed specifieth that exception, their substance remaining safe; but the Pope assumeth power to himselfe to judge, and define in the authority

*Vasques Tom.*  
3. dist. 216.  
Num. 60.

*Præterea declaratur hanc potestatem perperam in Ecclesia fuisse usque ad Sacramentorum distributionem, sed va illorum substantia, ut si arueret vel mutaretur quæ suscipientium utilitati magis expediret iudicaret.*

thoritic of a Iudge, what things  
 Sacraments are essentiall, or whe  
 ther they bee so or no. By th  
 meanes hee boundeth his pow  
 with what limits hee pleaseth, an  
 changeth matters essentiall in  
 matters accidentall. As for exam  
 ple, it is essentiall to the Sacrament  
 of the holy Supper to be a signifi  
 catiue signe of our participatio  
 of the body and blood of Iesu  
 Christ: This signification is dimi  
 nished to the people, by the priva  
 tion of the Cup, as Cardinall Pe  
 ron ingenuously acknowledged  
 It is essentiall to the Sacrament  
 bee taken for the remission of sin  
 as it was first instituted by th  
 Lord: Now this essence is chan  
 ged in the Masses that are said fo  
 the corne, for horses and diseased  
 sheepe, for the successe of a voyage  
 &c. It is likewise essentiall to th  
 holy Supper, to be a communio

*Peron 2.  
 gainst the  
 King of great  
 Bretany, in  
 his Treatise  
 of the Com-  
 munion un-  
 der both  
 kinds, p.  
 1108.*



ings as the Apostle telleth vs, 1. Corintb.  
10. *The bread which wee breake, is it  
not the Communion with the bodie of  
Christ? for as much as wee that are  
many in number are one bread and one  
body.* This communion is aboli-  
shed in private Masses, where no  
man doth communicate, & where  
no man doth assist. And these  
words of the Institution, *Take, eate,*  
are become ridiculous, since no  
man is there either to take or eate.  
The reall and propitiatory sacri-  
fice of Christs body, is it not of  
the essence of the Masse? yet is  
there an addition to the Lords In-  
stitution, wherein is neither men-  
tion made of sacrifice, or of sacri-  
ficing his body, or of making any  
oblation to God. The Pope then  
in the Sacraments changeth essen-  
tiall things as well as accidentall.  
And by the way obserue but the  
pride of this Councell, and dete-  
stable

stable impietic, to bee of opinion that the Church of Rome knoweth better then Iesus Christ, who is expedient for the peoples salvation.

*Licet in Primis  
mirum Eccle-  
sia huiusmodi  
Sacramentum  
reciperetur à  
fidelibus sub  
v. aq. specie,  
&c.*

Doeth not the Councell of Constance, in the thirteenth Session confesse, that in the primitive Church, (and consequently in the of the Apostles) this Sacrament is to bee received by the faithful full under both kinds: yet afterwards forbad the Cup to bee given to the people. This Tradition which a little while since hath changed the Apostles observation, cannot bee an Apostolical Tradition.

The Glosse vpon the Canon *Lector*, in the foure and thirtieth Distinction of the Romish Decrees saith, that *Papa dispensat contra Apostolum*, the Pope dispenseth against the Apostle.

Pope

Pope Innocent the third saith in like manner, *Wee may according to the fulnesse of our power dispose of the Law, and dispense aboue the Law.* And moreover the Glosse of the Doctors addeth: *For the Pope dispenseth against the Apostle and against the old Testament, as also in the voves and acts.* And the Glosse of the Canon *Sunt quidam* in the 1. question of the 25. cause: *Papa dispensat in Euangelio interpretando ipsum*, the Pope dispenseth in the Gospell in giving it interpretation.

In the first booke of Gregory, the ninth decretall, at the 7. title, Chap. the 3. wee haue an Epistle of Innocent the 3. where hee speaketh thus: *Those which the Bishop of Rome doth separate, it is not a man that separateth them but God. For the Pope holdeth place on earth, not simply of a man but of true God.* Which the Glosse explaineth by the example of Ie-

F

sus

Innocent. 3.  
Doctores. De  
concess. pra-  
bend. tit. 8.  
cap. Propo-  
suit.

Secundū pla-  
nitudinem  
potestatis do-  
mari supra  
ius possumus  
dispensare.

Cap. Quamvis  
personam.  
Non enim  
homo, sed  
Deus sepa-  
rat, quos Ro-  
manus Pon-  
tifax (qui nō  
purus hominib;  
sed veri Deū  
vicē gerit in  
terris) Ecole-  
siarū neces-  
sitate pensata  
dissolvit. In  
qua verba  
Glossa sic ha-  
bet: Etiam  
aliquid est

*secundū quod homo. sic. de haeret. cum Christus. Et est verus Deus & verus homo; gerens veri Dei vicem. Vnde dicitur habere celeste arbitriū. Etiam naturā reus immutat, substantialia vnus rei applicando alij: Et de nullo potest aliquid facere. Et sententiā quā nulla est potest facere aliquam. Quia in his quā vult, est pro ratione voluntas. Nec est qui ei dicat: cur ita facit? ipse enim potest supra ius dispensare. Idē de iniustitia potest facere iustitiam.*

*In bono sensu dedit Christus Petro potestatem*

sus Christ, who is very God and very man; informing vs likewise that the Pope though hee bee very God, yet leaueth not to bee something the same that man is. In prosecution whereof the same Gloss declareth how far forth the Popes power doth extend, which is, *That he hath celestiall government, and therefore may change the nature of things applying the substance of the one to the other, of nothing can create something and a Decree that is void, hee can make it in force; for in matters that hee will haue come to passe, his will is his reason; and no man questioneth him wherefore doe you that? for he can dispense aboue the Law; and of iniustice can make iustice; and proueth all this by multitudes of Canons and Decrees.*

Cardinall Bellarmine speakes as much in the 31. Chap. against Barkley: *In good sense and judgement*  
Christ

Christ hath given to Peter (and consequently to the Pope) the power of making that to bee sinne which is no sinne, and that which is no sinne to be sinne. It is no wonder then if by the same power he can make iustice to be iniustice, and sinne to be no sinne, that he can nullifie the Apostles ordinances, and makethem vniust, as also cancel the old Traditions, and establish new. The same Cardinal proceedeth so farre as to say: *If the Pope erred in commanding vices and forbidding vertues, yet the Church should bee obliged to beleue that the vices are good and the vertues euill, vnlesse it would sinne against the conscience.*

faciendi de peccato non peccatum, & de non peccato peccatum.

Bell. lib. 4. de Pontif. cap. 5  
Si Papa erraret in precipiendo vicia, vel prohibendo virtutes, teneretur Ecclesia credere vicia esse bona & virtutes malas, nisi vellet contra conscientiam peccare

Andradus in his second booke of the defence of the Tridentine faith acknowledgeth that *His ancestors, men excelling in piety haue broken and annulled many Decrees of the Apostles.* And moreover pronoun-

Minime vero maiores nostri religione & pietate excellentes, Apostolorum hac & quamplurima alia decreta refugerunt

in animum  
induxissent,  
nisi intella-  
xissent, &c.  
Liquet eos  
minime er-  
rasse qui di-  
cunt Rom.  
Pontif. posse  
nonnunquam  
in legibus dis-  
pensare à  
Paulo, &  
primis 4. Con-  
cilijs.  
Cum certū sit  
nō omnia qua  
Apostoli in-  
stituerūt iure  
divino esse  
instituta.

ceth this sentence: *It is evident that those haue not erred who say that the Romish Pontifies can sometimes dispense with obeying the Law of the Apostle S. Paul, and the fower first Councells,*

Whereupon Cardinall Tolet in his first booke of Sacerdotall institution, Chap. 68. giveth this reason: *For all that the Apostles haue instituted, is not ordained jure diuino,* that is to say, it ought not to bee held for the word of God. Now amongst the Apostles ordinances, to discern such as are *jure diuino* from those as are not, the Church of *Rome* hath no other rule but the Popes will and pleasure, who can make a commandement of the Apostle to bee or not to bee held for the word of God. This venerable Cardinall giveth vs the Apostles commandement for an example, 1. *Timot. 3. Let the Bishop bee husband of one wife,* For the Pope can



can admit and allow of bigamies to the Priesthood.

Cardinall *Perron* in his booke against the King of great *Bretaine* makes a Chapter expressely to that purpose, entitled: *Of the authority of the Church to alter matters contained in the Scripture*: And in the same booke in the Chapter that handleth the Communion vnder both kinds, hee saith, that *when in the forme of the Sacraments some great inconueniencies are mett withall, the Church may therein dispense and alter*. And speaking of the Lords commandement, *Drinke yee all of it*, hee maintaineth that this precept was not immutable nor indispensable, alleadging that the Church hath judged that there may bee dispensation for it.

*Lib. 2. Obseruat. 3. cap. 3. pag 674*

*Pag. 1109. & 1115.*

*Charles Bovius* in his obseruations vpon the 24. Chap. of the 7. booke of the Apostles constitutions,



*Ecclesia Romana quae  
Apostolica  
vixit potest  
statu singula  
pro consuetu-  
dine temporum  
in melius  
mutat.*

*Quarta feria  
iherosolymitana quod  
diu mansit in  
Ecclesia nunc  
(quod est do-  
leantem atque  
ludentem) cum  
alijs optimis  
maiorum insti-  
tutis in de-  
suetudinem  
abiit.*

*Gregor. de  
Valen. Tom. 4  
disp. 6. qu. 8.  
puncto 5.  
sect. 10. Et  
certe quadam  
posterioribus  
temporibus  
velius con-  
stituta esse in  
Ecclesia quam  
initio se ha-  
berent. Id  
confirmat au-  
thoritas  
Ambrosij &  
Thomae Wal-  
denfis Tomo  
2. de Sacra-  
ment. cap. 94.*

tutions, saith that *The Church of Rome* chalenging to her selfe *Apostolical* authority, can change and alter every thing to better, according to the condition of the times, yet there complaineth that a custome of the ancient Church to fast on wednesdaies, and many other very good Lawes were abolished.

*Gregory of Valence*, in the fourth Tome of his Commentaries, and the sixth Disputation, maketh no difficulty to affirme that *Many things in these latter times are better ordained in the Church then they were in the beginning*, that is to say, from the Apostles time.

The sacred Scripture in the 18. and 20. of *Leuiticus*, layeth downe certaine degrees of consanguinity & alliance which hinder mariage, whereof the most remoued is the mariage of the Vncle with the Neece, or the Aunt with the Nephew;

phew; which are mariages forbidden and declared incestuous by the word of God, which permitteth mariages in other degrees more remoued. But the Pope vsurpeth power to himselfe in giuing liberty to mariages forbidden in the Scripture, yea extending so far as to a toleration of marrying two sisters, as also hee permitteth the Vncle to mary the Neece. On the other side he forbiddeth mariages in more remote degrees, and which God permitteth in his holy word; as mariages betweene the issues of cosen germanes, and betweene cosen germanes remoued. Whereupon the Councell of *Trent* in the 24. Session at the 3. Canon denounceth an *Anathema* against all those that shall say, that the church of *Rome* cannot forbid mariage in degrees allowed by the word of God, and cannot dispense in de-

De la permission d'es-  
pouser les 2.  
sœurs, voyez  
Almain au  
li. de la pu-  
issance eccl.  
& laïque.

*Si quis dixerit eos tantum consanguinitatem et affinitatis gradus qui Levitico exprimitur, posse impedire matrimonium contrahendum, & dirimere contractum, nec posse Ecclesiam in nonnullis illorum dispensare aut constituere ut plures impediant & dirimant, Anathema sit.*

*In secundo gradu nunquam dispensetur nisi inter magnos Principes, et ob publicam causam.*

degrees forbidden. Thus runneth the Canon: *If any man saith that there are no more degrees of consanguinity and alliance, then what are expressed in Leviticus, that can hinder from contracting of mariage, or separate that which is contracted, and that the Church cannot dispence in some of these degrees, nor ordaine that many other degrees hinder or separate the mariage, let him bee an Anathema.* This Councell curseth those which say, that the Church of Rome cannot alter Gods ordinance, nor dispense with that which God hath forbidden in his holy Word. It is true that in the same Session this Councell giveth an exception in these words: *Let no dispensation be giuen in the second degree, unlesse betweene great Princes and for publicke cause.* For the lawes of the Church of Rome open or shut according to the quality and riches of the persons.

Now

Now it were good to know whether to marry a wiues sister, or his neece, or cosen; a dispensation were ever asked of Saint *Peter*, and whether hee gaue dispensation to the rich and sent the poore away.

According to this power that the Pope arrogateth to himselfe to dispense against Gods commandement contained in the Scriptures, hee dispenseth with persons concerning their oaths and vowes; he dispenseth with subjects and officers of a King, for keeping the fidelitie sworne to their Sovereigne Prince. Hee separateth marriages lawfully contracted, under the shadow of Religion, against the Lords commandement, speaking of the dissolution of Marriages, *Math. 19. 6. What God hath joyned together, let no man put assunder.* For the same that *Tolet* speaketh of the Apostles, may bee spoken of Iesus Christ,  
*that*

*Lib. 1. instit.  
Sacerd. c. 68.*

*that all that hee hath instituted is not  
Iure divino.* He exempteth children  
from obedience to their parents,  
contrary to the Law of God, when  
they are cast into Monasteries a-  
gainst the willes of their fathers  
and mothers. He suffereth whore-  
dome, yea in Rome it selfe, and  
there establisheth Brothell-houses  
against the Law of God. He hath  
forbidden the publike Service in a  
knowne tongue, appoynted Masses  
without Communicants, and or-  
dained Image-service against the  
expresse commandements of Iesus  
Christ, and the Apostle Saint  
*Paul*: and against the practise of  
the primitiue Church, yea against  
the very Law of God, as wee will  
shew in fit place.

These things and many more  
the like doe explaine, that the que-  
stion betweene vs and our aduersa-  
ries, is not alone, whether the A-  
postles

postles haue taught *Traditions* by mouth, which they would not haue to bee set downe in writing, and whether besides the Scripture, there ought also Apostolicall *Traditions* to bee received. For the principall poynt of difference is touching the *Traditions* which our Adversaries confesse not to haue beene written nor taught by the mouth of the Apostles, and which haue beene long since introduced. And touching the Popes power to add to the Creed, and to establish new articles of faith. Yea especially and above all, touching an arrogance without example, wherein the Pope and Church of Rome attribute to themselves the power of annulling Gods commandments, and of the Apostles contained in holy Scriptures, and to alter the institution of our Lord, and to judge, (as Cardinall *Per-*



ron speake(h) ) that such and such commandements of our Lord are dispensable. These kind of Traditions ought to bee called after the Italian word *Tradimenti*, treasons or conspiracies against God.

---

### CHAP. VII.

*passages extracted out of the Writings of our adversaries, which prove that in the Church of Rome, Traditions are without comparison more esteemed and respected then the holy Scripture, and the Scripture reviled and charged with iniuries. Jesuite Regourds boldnesse to blemish and defame the Scripture.*

**T**HE Councell of Trent in the fourth Session, seemeth contented to equall Tradition with the Scripture, ordaining that the one

one and the other be received and honoured with like affection of pietie & reverence. But this Councell doth now, (as customarily it doth) propose its doctrine in doubtfull termes, involving it selfe in darknesse and obscuritie. For whosoever is never so little versed in the writings of our adversaries, or hath exactly considered the practise and customes of the Romish Church, shall easily discover that the holy Scripture is of no comparison with the value and account of Tradition, which is exalted with praises and magnificall titles, as also most carefully observed, whilst the Scripture is rejected and made odious to the people as a dangerous booke.

I. We haue seene in the former Chapter, how our adversaries affirme openly, that the Pope and Church of Rome can alter the  
Lords

Lords Institution, and nullifie his Ordinance: the which being granted, it necessarily followeth, that the tradition of the Church which correcteth the holy Scripture, and altereth what is therein ordained, bee of greater authoritie then the Scripture.

II. When our adversaries vnnimously affirme that the Scripture is not Iudge, but that the authority of judging belongeth to the Church; heereby they withdraw us from the Scriptures judgement to rely vpon the Churches Tradition: for by the Tradition of the Church they onely understand the Lawes of the Church of Rome, by the which they would haue us judged.

*Stapleton lib.  
2. de autho-  
ritate Scrip-  
tura. cap. 11.  
Dixi et dico,  
non tñ ipsius  
fidei regulam*

III. When they say that the Scripture is not the rule of our faith, but that it is the faith of the Church that ruleth the Scripture, they

they manifestly preferre Tradition of the church before Scripture: for the faith of the Church, and Tradition of the Church are all one.

*in se esse scripturā, quam ipsam scripturā in regulā esse suam Ecclesiam.*

IIII. These goodly Maximes wherewith they dull our eares ; *Charron. au 2. chap de la troisieme verite. Nous voulons l'Eglise avoir pour nostre regard plus d'autorite que l'Esriture.*  
*That the Church ought to haue more authoritie over vs then the Scripture ;*  
*That it is the Church which giveth authoritie to the Scriptures ; and that the authoritie of the Scripture over vs is founded vpon the authoritie of the Church :* what are their meaning other then that the Scripture oweth that authoritie she hath, to the Tradition of the Church ? For the Tradition of the Church is nothing else but the voice and judgement of the Church, whereby shee pronounceth as being a soveraigne and infallible Iudge, that the Scripture ought to be received ?

V. If the Scripture must bee beleaved, because the Tradition of the

*Stapler. lib. 1. de authoris. Scriptura. c. 9. Ipsi Prophetæ*

*vis e medio  
sublatu, eoru  
prophetas a  
Deo esse cre-  
dendu non est  
nisi id Eccle-  
sia confirmet.  
Synodus Ro-  
mana sub  
Gregor. 7.  
Quod nullus  
liber Canoni-  
cus habeatur  
sine authenti-  
tate Papa.*

*Huius Scrip-  
tura praestan-  
tia multi  
partibus su-  
perat scriptu-  
ras quas nobis  
in membranis  
Aestoli re-  
liquerunt.  
Primu quod  
illa exarata  
sit digito dei,  
hac calamus  
Apostoloru.*

*Nos dicimus  
quod prior  
regula et no-  
rior et multo  
latior est Ec-  
clesia quam  
Scriptura ca-  
nonica, et hac*

the Church so ordained it, what followeth, but that Tradition of the Church of Rome is more credible then the Scripture?

V I. The Iesuite *Coster* in his *Enchiridion*, chap. 1. calleth the doctrine imprinted in the heart of the Church an other species or kind of Scripture, and compareth it also with holy Scriptures. *The excellencie* (saith hee) *of this kind of Scripture surpasseth much the holy Scriptures which the Apostles have left vs in parchment, especially because this is witten with the finger of God, the other was written with Apostles pens.* By his leaue, I would willingly aske him whether the Apostles pennes were not guided by the spirit of God.

V II. *Carranza* in the second Controversie: *The Church is a rule that is elder and more knowne, yet much more ample then the Canonickall Scripture, and this ought to be gover-*

*ned*

ned by that, but not on the contrary. *ab illa debes regulari, & non e contra.*  
 In saying that the Church is a rule, it is evident, that by the Church we understandeth the Tradition and lawes of the Church: for the persons are not the rule.

VIII. *Bellarmino* in his fourth booke of the Word of God, chap. 6. *There are Traditions that are greater then some Scriptures, in poynt of obligation.* *Quada sunt Traditiones maiores quoad obligatione, qua quada Scriptura.*

IX. *Salmeron* in his first Prolegomenon: *Though the authoritie as well of the Church as of the Scripture bee of God, yet the authoritie of the Church is more ancient, yea and more worthy; for the Scripture is made for the Church.* By the same reason one might say, that subjects haue more authoritie then Lawes and Kings: for the people are more ancient then Lawes and Kings, and Lawes and Kings are made because of the people. *S. Nunc de. Nam etsi Ecclesia ac Scriptura auctoritas a Deo sit, illa tamen Ecclesia antiquior est, atq; a Deo dignior, siquidem Scriptura propter Ecclesiam contexta est.*

G

Now



Now, the authoritie of the Church of Rome cannot bee promoted aboue the Scripture, but that by the same reason the authoritie of Tradition in the Church of Rome is to be advanced aboue the Scripture: for Tradition is the law of the Church of Rome.

*Cordub. Art.  
3. cap. 80.  
Catholica Ec-  
clesia Tradi-  
tio est certis-  
sima regula.*

X. *Cordubensis: To decide controversies of the Faith, Tradition of the Catholique Church is the most certaine Rule.*

XI. Wee haue formerly heard *Coster* and *Salmeron* the Iesuities speaking, that God would not haue Traditions that are taught out of the Apostles mouth to bee written for feare lest holy things should be given to doggs. Herein doe they not clearely signifie that the Scriptures are for the dogs, but that God would not haue Traditions to bee in such danger, as being more sanctified things, and worthy of greater respect.

XII. To

XII. To what end doe these men say, that Iesus Christ hath commaunded the Apostles to preach, and not to write, but that unwritten Tradition might be preferred before the Scripture, and haue much more authoritie?

XIII. Did it ever happen that any of our adversaries haue reported the same of Traditions which they haue said of Scripture? Haue they ever called the Traditions a dumbe rule, a part or parcell of a rule, an ambidexter sword, a stone of scandall, a nose of wax? haue they ever accused *Traditions* of obscurity, of ambiguity, or of imperfection, as they haue the Scripture?

XIV. But the Iesuite *Salmeron* shall suffice for all: for in the third part of his 13 Tome, and 8 Disputation, hee treateth of this matter punctually and at large, and thus compareth Scripture with Tradition:

§ Estigimur.

Traditio est  
imprimis ad  
salutē Eccle-  
siae necessaria.

Ibidem.

Potius ergo  
Scriptura cō-  
mendat tra-  
ditionē quam  
traditio scri-  
pturā: et ob  
id magis est  
necessaria,  
quia ad eam  
cōmendandā  
est Scriptura.  
Necessaria  
est Traditio  
ad multa du-  
bia quae ex-  
presse in scri-  
pturis non con-  
tinentur nec  
describuntur.

§. Postrema.

Ibidem. Qui  
nō credit tra-  
ditionem in ec-  
clesia rece-  
ta, sed quae  
scripturā ma-  
lo arbitrio si-  
milis est, no-  
lēti arbitrium  
reddere si non  
ostēdatur syn-  
grapha, cum  
factū sit ido-  
neis produce-  
re testes.

§. Secunda.

tion: Tradition (saith he) is above all things necessary to salvation, yea higher then Scripture it selfe. And a little after: The Scripture rather recom- mendeth Tradition, then Tradition the Scripture; and therefore Tradition is more necessary, for Scripture is made to recommend Tradition to vs. And there againe: Tradition is necessary, because of many doubts that are not contained, nor expressly defined in the Scriptures. And againe, Hec that beleeueth not the Tradition received in the Church, but searcheth the Scripture, resembleth an ill debtor, who refuseth to pay vntlesse he see a quittance, or the bond, when as to produce fit witnesses would be enough. Which is as much to say, as the people may pretermitt and balke the Scripture, but must cling close to the Testimony and Tradition of the church of Rome.

He further alledgeth, Tradition is

more

more ancient then Scripture ; whence  
 hee inferreth, that it is more excel  
 lent then Scripture ; and saith a  
 gaine, that the same which recom  
 mendeth the Traditions about  
 Scripture, is their clearenesse and evi  
 dence: for the people haue no knowledge  
 of the Scripture : and the Scripture  
 may bee wrested by the Heretiques to  
 whatsoever they will. Hee addeth  
 likewise, that the Scripture hath not  
 been able to iudge of doubts, because it  
 is difficult and dumbe ; and that hee  
 that is troubled with any doubt in the  
 new Testament is sent to the Church,  
 Actes 15. but not to the Scriptures,  
 which like a waxen nose are moulded  
 and twisted at pleasure. Whereupon  
 (saith hee) those that will be perverse  
 cannot bee vanquished by the Scrip  
 tures, their throats then must be cut by  
 Tradition alone. And further, That  
 Tradition is more firme then scripture,  
 it appeareth in this , that the Church

Secunda cō  
 ditio tradit  
 omni est qua  
 fit Sc. 1. 1. 1.  
 antiqua.  
 S. 1. 1. 1.  
 Tertia cō  
 ditio qua tra  
 ditio eam  
 mendat, est  
 claritas &  
 perspicuitas.  
 Nam primū  
 Scripturas  
 multa non  
 cognoscit.  
 S. Deinde.  
 Scriptura po  
 test ab heret  
 ico trahi ad  
 qd sibi quisq;  
 collauerit.  
 S. Tercio  
 quia. Scrip  
 tura dubiorū  
 qua pullula  
 bāt iudex esse  
 non poterat,  
 &c. cū quia  
 scriptura dif  
 ficilis est, tum  
 quia multa est  
 &c. Hinc in  
 novo Testa  
 mento ad Ec  
 clesiā mitti  
 tur qui ali  
 quo dubio tor  
 quetur, ut cō  
 stat Act. 15.  
 on autem ad  
 n scripturas qua

instar nasi ce-  
res ducuntur  
quo qui vult.  
Etc. Ideo pro-  
tervere volē-  
tes, Scripturis  
nō possunt ve-  
felli, una ergo  
traditione au-  
guantur sunt.  
§ Quod au-  
tē. Quod hac  
sit firmior  
inde constare  
potest, quia  
notior est Ec-  
clesia et A-  
postolorū san-  
ctitas, quam  
Scriptura, cū  
hac per illam  
cognoscatur.  
Ibidem.  
Scriptura ve-  
ra prebatur,  
quia sunt cō-  
formes tradi-  
tioni iam  
facta.

and the sanctity of the Apostles is more  
knowne then Scripture, because this is  
knowne by that. Wherein hee spea-  
keth against common sense: for it  
is by the Scripture that wee learne  
the sanctitie of the Apostles: and  
wee know not that God will haue  
but one Church in the world, if he  
did not teach it vs in the Scrip-  
tures.

Againe, hee dareth to say, that  
the Scriptures are true, because they are  
conformable to the Tradition already  
made. Will wee know if the un-  
written Word of God in two Ta-  
bles ought to be received? will we  
know if the doctrine contained in  
the Psalmes of David, in the Pro-  
phets, and in the Evangelists bee  
true? let us enquire what the  
Popes opinion is, and what Tradi-  
tion of the Romish Church is, and  
wee shall soone bee satisfied: for,  
(say our adversaries) the Scripture  
must

mult be examined by the Tradition of the Church of Rome, which is the rule of Scripture, and is not ruled by the Scripture. Truly these things cannot bee read without horror and detestation.

Of the selfe same stuffe is that which he addeth: *The Apostles haue not written by one common consent, but some haue written for particular respects, & to preserve Tradition.* When you heare these kinde of people speake, you would say that the Scripture is nothing but a letter of credence, to giue authoritie to the Church of Rome, and her Traditions.

*Apostoli non scripserunt omnes, quasi ex cōmuni consensu parito labore, sed tantū aliqui pro causa particulari, et ad conservandam traditionem.*

Wherefore he concludeth, that no man ought to depart from the Church, instructed by living Traditions, notwithstanding the allegations of Scripture.

*Ibidem. Idcirco non recedendū est ab Ecclesia, viva traditione erudita, vel ob scripturas allegatas.*

Hee compareth also the amplitude and large extent of Tradition,

§ Quinò.

*Traditio multo est universalior quam Scriptura, quia ad plura tempora, ad plura objecta et ad plura individua se extendit.*

with the narrow limits of the Scripture. *Tradition* (saith hee) is much more universall then Scripture, and reacheth to further time, to more matter, and more persons then the scripture. To bee short, if these men were to bee beleaved, Tradition comprehendeth all the doctrine of faith and maners, but many things are wanting in the Scripture.

Having in this manner dishonoured the Scripture, and placed it far below Tradition, hee makes them fight, and plotteth to haue the allegations of Scripture to be repulsed by Tradition. *To those* (saith hee) *who demand the Scripture, Tradition is to be offered in opposition*: as if hee should tell us: *You aske me passages of Scripture, but content your selfe that I alleadge unto you Tradition, and the opinion of the Church of Rome. For this is the more necessary rule, more ancient, more firme, more easie,*

§. Alias.

*Petens scripturam oppositendam est Traditio.*



ease, more universall, and by which the truth of the Scripture ought to be examined. Thus is the Word of God handled ; and it is so come to passe, that the same Iesuite in his tenth Disputation having said, that the Scripture cannot be Iudge, addeth, that the Heretiques (for so he qualifies us) have a diabolicall sense, and doe worse to addict themselves to the Scripture, then to apply themselves to fables.

§ Quarto cum. Cum Scriptura obscura sit valde, nec inveni esse quoniam &c. Proprium ergo ei diabolicum sensum habent, quia eo peius est illi, vacare Scripturis quam fabulis.

But nothing doth more plainly shew, with what a loud voice our adversaries cry up the tradition of the Church of Rome about the Scripture, then when they say, that the church is not subject nor bound to the Scripture, but the Scripture is subject to the church, that is to say, God is subject to men. For our adversaries acknowledge, that the scripture is the word of God. These are the words of *Lindanus* in his

*Lindan. Pano-  
nopl. in indica-  
tione lib. 5  
cap. 5.*

Pano-

*Ecclesiā non  
esse ex volun-  
tate Christi  
scripturā al-  
legatam.*

**Panoplia :** *The Church hath not been obliged to the Scriptures by Christ's will and commandment.*

*Christus nec  
Ecclesiā suā  
ā chartaceis  
scriptis pen-  
dere, nec mē-  
bra sua myste-  
ria sua com-  
mittere vo-  
luit.*

**Coster** the Iesuite in his 3. chapter of his Manuall: *Christ was not willing that his Church should depend on Scriptures in paper, nor was he sed to commit his mysteri- ment.*

§. Septimo  
Scriptura.

*Adamus in  
Ecclesia Dei  
esse Spiritum  
sanctū Scrip-  
tura authorē.  
Non mirum  
ergo si Eccle-  
sia Dei, qua  
Spiritū ha-  
bet, subje-  
tur.*

**Salmeron** in his second menon: *In the Church understanding alwayes the is the holy spirit, which is An. the Scripture: it is no marvell then if the Scripture bee subiect to the Church that hath the spirit. What? is not the Pope subiect to the Scripture? is hee not subiect to the Law of God, which God hath given us written in two tables? Is hee not obliged to obey the Doctrine of the Gospell written in the New Testament? Now if the head of the Church of Rome be subiect to the*

the Scripture, how much more the Church of Rome, that is subject to the Pope? But is it not a transcendent blasphemy to defend, that the Scripture is subject to the Church of Rome? For is not the holy Scripture the Word of God? It must otherwise follow, that the word of God is subject to men, and that Gods commandments are subordinate to the Pope, to whom the Church of Rome is subject. Now tell mee after such abomination, whether these men doe beleecue that there is one God, and one Religion.

Thomas Stapleton an English Doctor, in his second Booke of the Authority of the Scripture, chap.

11. *I haue said, and doe say, that the Scripture in it selfe is not the rule of faith, but the faith of the Church is the rule of Scripture.* Now the faith of the Church is nothing but Tradition

*De non r. offus  
fides regulam  
an se esse scri-  
pturam, quā  
ipsarū scrip-  
turarū regu-  
lam esse fidē  
Ecclesie.*

*Ecclesiā non  
esse ex volun-  
tate Christi  
scripturā al-  
legatam.*

**Panoplia :** *The Church hath not been obliged to the Scriptures by Christs will and commandment.*

*Christus nec  
Ecclesiā suā  
ā chartaceis  
scriptis pen-  
dere, nec mē-  
braui myste-  
ria sua com-  
mittere vo-  
luit.*

Coſter the Iefuite in his 3. chapter of his Manuall : *Christ was not willing that his Church should depend upon Scriptures in paper, nor was he pleased to committ his mysteries to parchment.*

**§. Septimo**  
**Scriptura.**  
*Addimus in  
Ecclesia Dei  
esse Spiritum  
sanctū Scrip-  
tura authorē.  
Non mirum  
ergo si Eccle-  
sia Dei, qua  
Spiritū ha-  
bet, subieci-  
tur.*

**Salmeron** in his second Prolegomenon : *In the Church of God (understanding alwayes the Romish) is the holy spirit, which is Anthour of the Scripture : it is no marvell then if the Scripture bee subiect to the Church that hath the spirit. What ? is not the Pope subiect to the Scripture ? is hee not subiect to the Law of God, which God hath given us written in two tables ? Is hee not obliged to obey the Doctrine of the Gospell written in the New Testament ? Now if the head of the Church of Rome be subiect to the*

the Scripture, how much more the Church of Rome, that is subject to the Pope? But is it not a transcendent blasphemy to defend, that the Scripture is subject to the Church of Rome? For is not the holy Scripture the Word of God? It must otherwise follow, that the word of God is subject to men, and that Gods commandements are subordinate to the Pope, to whom the Church of Rome is subject. Now tell mee after such abomination, whether these men doe belecue that there is one God, and one Religion.

*Thomas Stapleton* an English Doctor, in his second Booke of the Authority of the Scripture, chap.

11. *I haue said, and doe say, that the Scripture in it selfe is not the rule of faith, but the faith of the Church is the rule of Scripture.* Now the faith of the Church is nothing but Tradition

*De non r. s. fides regulam in se esse scripturam, quā ipsarū scripturarū regulam esse fidē Ecclesiæ.*

dition of the Church. His scope then is, that the Scripture shall bee regulated and examined by the Tradition of the Romish Church, and that it shall bee subiect to that rule; whence is to bee concluded, that God speaking to us in the holy Scriptures is directed by men, and subject to their judgment. The Prophets whose writings are extant with vs, were extraordinarily stirred up to reprehend the church of that time, and to chastise the Priestes, the Sacrificers, and the Scribes that erred in manners and doctrine. Now in reason tell me, were the prophecies of these Prophets subject to the authoritie of that Church? Was the faith of these Sacrificers a rule, by which those divine Prophecies were to be examined, and which wee haue kept to this present time? Goe to then, if the prophecies were not subiect

subiect to Priests and Sacrificers, that lived about the Prophets time, how are they now subiect to the Pope? by what occasion are they become subiect to the superintendency of the Church of *Romes* Tradition?

Briefly, wee are now arrived at an age wherein blasphemy is come to the highest degree, men openly professing, to pull God with violence from his Throne, and most insolently to climbe about him. Surely the Mahomeans do speake of the Scripture with more respect and reuerence.

What is the scope or purpose of Iesuite *Regourds* late booke, intituled *Catholicke demonstrations*, but to proue, that to rest vpon the Scripture, is the way to all impiety and atheisme? If herein he meant only our French Bibles, or the diuersity of latine translations, or the  
sundry



sundry interpretations which hee discovereth in some of our Doctours, (though all this which hee saith are but calumnies, and a Farrell of vnprofitable trifles, which wee haue refuted in a former treatise) yet this were to forge vntruths with Method, and scarce to touch vpon the question: but he meaneth the originals, Hebrew and Greeke, wherein hee findeth no certainty.

Pag. 440.

*Hee discovereth in them manifest contradictions, and error in the calculation of times.*

Pag. 562.

*Hee sayes that S. Paul vsed fraud (but an honest fraud) towards the Corinthians. He telleth vs*

Pag. 128.  
& 131.

*that many bookes of the Scripture are lost, that the Scriptures were burnt in time of persecution, and the Copies perished: that many deuout Doctours doe affirme, that vnder the captiuitie of Babylon, all the old Testament was depraued, rent in pieces, and burnt, vntill Esdras did newly re-compose the*

Pag. 131.

*same*

same Scriptures: that the Iewes (our  
Saviours enemies) haue made vowells <sup>Pap. 183.</sup>  
in the old Testament, and so changed  
the sense of the scripture, and made it  
doubtfull. The same (saith he) is true  
of the new Testament, the which ha-  
uing beene written without accents, and  
without markes and distinctions of  
words, no man can assure himselfe of the  
true sense, seeing that the sense depen-  
deth upon the accents, &c. And a litle  
further: We haue not therfore any true  
knowledge of the sense of the Scripture,  
and consequently wee are pointed and  
referred over to the mercy of the con-  
testations of Grammarians, to the liti-  
gious craft of criticall spirits, to the ca-  
pritious fancies of Dictionary-makers,  
to the Gallimafries and Chimeraes of  
scholiasts. Now for all these diffi-  
culties, there is but one single re-  
medy, to weet, we must repaire to  
the Church, that is to say, the  
Pope, and whom it shall bee his  
pleasure

pleasure to authorise. Whereupon it were good to know, when there is a question concerning the exposition of an Hebrew or Greeke passage, whether a Pope, who understands neither Hebrew nor Greeke shall therein be a good interpreter : whether sitting in the Apostolicke chaire, hee shall giue intallible interpretations of a Text whereof he knoweth not a letter : whether hauing called the Doctors to instruct him thereupon, hee instantly reinuesteth them with an infallible spirit, and enableth them with power not to erre in matters, wherein hee himselfe understandeth nothing. Whence then proceedeth so great a diuersity and contrariety amongst these Doctors in the Scriptures interpretation ? why amongst their writings doe they refute the interpretations of one the other ? Is it

not

not  
Rome  
Tren  
latin  
that  
autho  
worl  
stuffe  
abfu  
them  
Tren  
to be  
the  
Chu  
Isidor  
Sene  
tion  
the  
leat  
nint  
wee  
first  
verf  
T

not the Pope and the Church of Rome that by the Councell of Trent hath authoris'd the vulgar latine translation, and ordained that it should onely be receiued for authentickall, although it bee the worst interpretation of all; and stuffed with a thousand errors and absurdities? haue not the Popes themselves since the Councell of Trent caused multitudes of faults to bee amended therein? doe not the most learned of the Romish Church, *Paginus, Arias Montanus, Isidorus Clarus, Andradius, Sixtus Senensis* complaine of the corruption of this translation? wherein the Iesuites themselves are not silent, especially *Salmeron* in his ninth *Prolegomenon*: of these things wee haue written at large in our first Treatise of the *Iudge of controversies*.

*Salm. Prolog.  
9. Quinquag.  
l. Can. 5.  
In nouo Testamento  
sequenda est editio  
vulgata ac reuocanda  
corrigenda  
tamquam,*

The peruersnes of this Iesuiticall  
H sparke

*emaculanda  
prius in his  
in quibus aut  
temporum in-  
iuria, aut la-  
brariorum  
incuria vel  
imperitia de-  
prauata est.*

spark is most of all discovered herein, that hauing once displayed (as hee suppolet) the defaults of the Scripture, hee reioyceth that such defaults are therein found, and giueth God thankses for it; to the end that men finding no stedinesse or certainty in the Scripture, may subiect themselues to the tyrannie of the Church, that is to say, of the Pope, and there to find instruction, these are his words: *The prouidence of God to constraine vs yet more powerfully to vndergoe the yoke of the Church with humility and simplicity, permitteith that there bee not only some alteration in certaine parcels of the Scripture, and in some copie, but the more the bookes of the Scripture are dispersed, the more they shall alter and perish by tract of time, whether they be in originall tongues or translations.* Without doubt, hee that reioyceth at the dep rauations which he ima-  
gineth

*Demonstr. 2.  
§ 5. p. 128.*

gineth to bee in Scripture, and at the losse of some bookes, and prayseth thererein the prouidence of God, would much more solace himselfe and reioyce if all the Scripture were abolished. For to what purpose serveth it, if Tradition of the Church of *Rome* bee a perfect rule, more certaine, and of more authority then the holy Scripture; and if the Pope iudge soueraignly and infallibly of all the points of faith? for hee hath forbidden the people to reade the Scripture, as a booke not onely unnecessary, but also dangerous, and that which hath made a great breach in the Popedome.

The same Iesuite pleaseth himselfe with this conceit of his, inculcating it with often repetition; *As in the third Demonstration*, when he hath said, that a man cannot assure himselfe of the sense of the

Greeke Testament, because it first was written without accents and distinctions (whereon depends the sense), hee addeth: *It is a worke of the providence of God, to sloop our mindes and inclinations to the soveraigntie of the Church*, that is to say, of the Pope, who by consequence, hath more authoritie then the Apostle S. Paul speaking to the Corinthians, *not that wee have dominion over your faith*, 2. Cor. 1.24. But may not wee affirme it with more probability, to be a worke of Gods providence, that hee hath suffered so many schismes and heresies, so much simony, uncleannesse of life, and crueltie to haue infected the seat of Rome, whereby to referre us to the Scripture, to make vs forsake those wicked guides, and to subject us to his holy word? and that God by his providence, hath permitted that the Popes themselves



selues haue confessed their owne errours? And lastly, that the Popes sycophants haue recorded unto us their crimes and heresies, as I haue proved in my first Booke.

In short, to bee thoroughly informed with what spirit this Iesuite is lead, it is but to reade the same that hee hath written in his third Demonstration, pag. 190. *They cause them* (saith he) *to renounce the Church* (pretending that it consisteth of men that are faultie and lyers) *under a faire semblance of Scripture, and under a p'ausible promise to governe all by the word of God. But the truth is, they depute a bleare-eyed Leah unto them in lieu of a faire Rachel, and submit faith to the soveraigne command of the will of Ministers, who put into their hand a Scripture that is humane, erroneous, mutable, subject to correction, &c.* This miserable Iesuite wil one day render an account to God of so

dannable a speech, wherein hee compareth the holy Scripture to bleare-eyed *Leah*, and the Church of Rome to beautifull *Rachel*. It is very false that wee renounce the Church; but yet we maintaine that it ought to be subject to the Scripture; and we renounce the doctrine of those who say, that the Scripture is subject to the Church of Rome: for God cannot be subject to men.

As for the soveraigne power of the Ministers function, that might well bee retorted upon us for a reproach, if wee boasted amongst us that they cannot erre, that they haue power to change Gods commandements contained in the holy Scriptures, to adde to the Creed, and to make new articles of faith; or if we should stile our selues Iudges infallible and soveraigne of the poynts of faith. Wee leaue these usurpations, and proud titles to the Pope,

Pope, by the which hee exalteth himselfe aboue God. Onely wee exhort the people to beleue the Word of God contained in holy Scriptures: wherein if wee finde any obscure passages, wee take not upon us to bee Iudges of the sense, and to determine it with authoritie. It is enough, that as much as therein is perspicuous and plaine, (not needing the helpe of an Interpreter) is sufficient for our salvation. And to contest much about Translations, wee busie not our selues; for the Translation approved by the Church of Rome sufficeth us, discovering clearly therein the very condemnation of Papistry. All Translations agree in the matters necessary to salvation, and the originall texts both Hebrew and Greeke, are at this day familiar and agreeing to our Translation.

Of these things haue I treated at large in my first Booke of *The Iudge of Controversies*, and haue discussed all the slender objections wherein our Adversaries doe fide with Pagans and Infidels, and endeavour to extenuate the firmnesse and authoritie of the Scripture, which Saint Paul calleth *The diuine Oracles*, Rom. 3. 2. and *The Scripture diuinely inspired*, 1. Tim. 3. 16. which I say, *Iesus Christ* himselfe hath uttered, holding vp his owne vocation by the testimony of the Prophets; and by it hath repelled the temptation of the Deuill, *Math. 4.* Yea *S. Paul* saith, that *the Scripture can make a man wise to saluation, and is most proper for mans accomplishment in euery good worke*; without it wee haue not meanes to know that God will haue but one Church in the world. And when our aduersaries haue wretchedly reuiled it, yet  
are

are they afterwards constrained to  
returne vnto it, and to beg of it,  
(though with an ill stomacke) some  
clauses of Text to found their  
Church vpon the Scriptures au-  
thority: without it Christianity  
had beene long since abolished.  
The diuine efficacy of it is mani-  
fest in this, that the Pope hath  
suppressed it, so as the people may  
not see it: yet when God is plea-  
sed to lay it open to the peoples  
view, and that it be translated into  
vulgar tongues, Papistry doth im-  
mediatly vanish in many Prouin-  
ces. Yea if Emperours and Kings  
had not hastened to succour, vsing  
both fire and sword, and the rigour  
of Inquisitions, without doubt  
Papistry had beene vtterly extin-  
guished. Wherefore it is no mar-  
uell if the Pope by his scouts la-  
bour to blemish the Scripture, ren-  
dring it doubtfull and without au-  
thority

thority ; which vngodly instruments at this day borrow the weapons of Pagans, who to restore Paganisme and ruine Christianity, haue had no surer course then to diffame the holy Scripture. Loe whither Satan strives to leade vs: Hee strives to shake the only foundation of Christian religion, to the end that the people distasting the Scripture, may for their faith and saluation relye vpon the conductors of the Romish church, wherein haue liued multitudes of Popes notorious heretickes, and so iudged by the Councels which the Church of *Rome* hath approved, and by the Popes favorites themselves : Wherein also you may perceiue to the number of three and twentie Schismes, and many contrary Popes at the same time, mutually entitling themselves Antichrists. Yea wherein haue liued

ved many infamous Popes, Necromancers, Adulterers, Murtherers, aduanced to the Popedom by whores, by Simony, and by violence. Such as take vpon them the title of God, causing themselves to bee adored, and Kings to kisse their feete, and the Scripture to bee prostrate before them when they enter into the Councels; such as vante they cannot erre, that they can make another Creed, can change Gods ordinances, can transport soules out of Purgatory into Paradise, and ranke whom they please in the Catalogue of Saints by canonizing them: vnder colour whereof they exercise an abominable commerce and trafficke by Dispensations, Absolutions, Indulgences, Annates, Licences, and Benefices. So as from a poore Bishop of a Citie, who was no way eminent but in martyrdomes, the  
Pope



Pope is become a puissant tempo-  
rall Monarch surpassing in riches  
the greatest Monarchs of the earth.  
To effect so great an alteration, it  
was needfull that Religion should  
bee changed: for the purity and  
plainnesse of christianity regulated  
by the Scriptures, could not serue  
to build vp so great an Empire.

These things haue I amply han-  
dled in my first Booke, wherein I  
maintaine the Authoritie of the  
Scripture. Which work went then  
forth almost the very day that le-  
suite *Regourds* Booke against the  
Authoritie and Perfection of the  
Scripture was published. These  
two Bookes if any man will com-  
pare together, shall finde that I an-  
swere all that hee pleadeth against  
the authoritie of the Scripture; and  
that *Regourds* Booke satisfieth no-  
thing of all that I propose in mine.  
Before that he published his book,

a Challenge was brought to the Pastors of this Church of Sedan, to enter into conference & dispute with some Doctors, amongst who was Iesuite *Regourd*, wherein they threatned us. Wee accepted the Conference: the place and day were assigned, with all accommodations, that after so many Defiances every mans honour should oblige him not to recant. Nevertheless hee durst not appeare, and for two severall times failed at the day appoynted. But his humour serving him at last to dispute, and being thirstie of reputation, hee went some where else to discharge his choler, and in Conference seisseth upon *Monsieur Mestrezat*, where he received all sort of disgrace, so farre forth as his friends were faine to make use of superiour power to draw him from the combate, and to hinder the Conference from  
Prin-

Printing, for it could not be seene but to his dishonour: and that in a place where all things were favourable unto him, and where the language of Truth is very new and strange. And so retired this wittie Doctor, as well contented as satisfied, being not so wisely advised but to make trophies and signes of victory, considering there were so many witnesses.

---

### CHAP. VIII.

*A Prooofe of the same by the practise of the Primitive Church.*

**W**Ee haue proved by many passages of our Adversaries, that in the Church of Rome, Traditions are much more esteemed, and of greater authority then the Scripture, which they so much under-value, and charge with a thou-

thousand reproaches ; and that by injustice and most fraudulently the Councell of Trent seemes to make them equall. Now are we to proue the same by the practise and maximes of the Church of Rome.

I. In the first place , when our adversaries ground the authority of the Scripture upon tradition of the Church , and would have the Scripture received and beleaved, because the Church doth so ordaine it ; It is evident that they preferre Tradition before Scripture: for they make Scripture to depend upon Tradition, & esteeme Tradition of the Church more worthy of beliefe then Scripture, and beleue not the Scripture, but because the Church of Rome hath so commanded it.

II. Let vs looke vpon experience , and wee shall informe our selues, that in the Church of Rome  
the

the people is a thousand fold more carefully instructed in Tradition, then in the doctrine of salvation contained in holy Scriptures. The most ignorant know the meaning of Lent, and the foure Seasons: they are instructed in the difference of meats; they are skilled in Festivall dayes and Eues; they goe in Pilgrimage, visite the Reliques, gaine Pardons; purchase Masses, Obits, and Suffrages for the dead; speake of Purgatory; mumble over their Chaplet or Beads, and their Rosary, or our Ladies Psalter; and discourse of the Popes succession in Saint *Peters* Chaire, but they are ignorant in the holy Scripture, accounting it modestie and humility not to enquire much after it. Aske them upon the doctrine of our Redemption in Iesus Christ, upon Iustification by faith, vpon our free Adoption, upon the correspondencie

cie betweene the Law and the Gospell, upon the difference between the old and new Testament, upon the causes wherefore it was necessary that our Redeemer should be God and man in the vnitie of person, vpon the ends of their Resurrection and Ascension, upon the Doctrine of faith and good works (which are the poynts wherein consisteth the essence of Christian Religion) and you shall finde them as mute as fishes, and altogether uninstructed.

III. Baptisme is a diuine Institution; but Confirmation (such as is practised in the Church of *Rome*) and consecration of the Crisome, are humane Inventions. Yet are they much more honoured then Baptisme: for in the Church of *Rome* a woman, yea a Pagan and Iew may baptize, and giue that which they haue not: and Confirmation

I

is



is not administred, nor Crisome consecrated but by the Bishop, with great solemnitie.

IV. God hath commanded *S. Peter*, and the other Apo-les to preach the Gospell, but gaue them no command to giue Indulgences, nor to canonize Saints, nor to release soules out of Purgatory, nor to consecrate their *Agnus Dei*, and their *blessed Beads*. The first poynt is a commandement of God, the other things are humane Traditions, which the Pope doth performe with preparation and solemnitie: but hee preacheth not the Gospell, esteeming the labour of preaching as a thing vnworthy of his greatnesse. Insomuch as the Popes are industrious observers of their owne Traditions, and adore their owne proper Invenions, but dispense with the Lords commandements.

V. Hence



V. Hence commeth it to passe,  
that the finnes committed against  
Gods Law, are held to be light, in  
comparison of those committed a-  
gainst the Traditions, Decrees, and  
Canons of the Pontifics. The in-  
feriour Priests giue absolution of  
theft, of lying, and of whoredome,  
which are finnes against the Law  
of God ; but there are cases refer-  
ved, wherein no man in *France* can  
giue absolution but at the poynt of  
death, and they are specified in the  
Bull *De Cena Domini*, which the  
Pope thundereth euery yeere on  
Maunday Thursday before the  
Paschall. The finnes that are most  
enormous, and whereof no man  
but the Pope maketh absolution,  
are not murther, parricide, incest,  
sodomy, and perjury : but to ap-  
peale from the Pope to a future  
Councell, to withdraw Tythes  
from the Clergy, to take up Armes

with heretikes, to impeach those that goe to Rome to obtaine the great Pardons, to play the Pyrate upon the coasts of the Papall territory from the hill *Argentara* to *Terracina*; of these hainous finnes none but the Pope can giue absolution; for these are transgressions against the Lawes and Traditions, broached by the Popes for their profit, and to infringe them is rated a matter more grievous and capital, then finnes against the Law of God contained in holy Scriptures.

VI. The Canon *Violatores*, in the 25. Cause, and 1. Question, pronounceth, that *those blaspheme against the holy Ghost who violate the sacred Canons*. Whence it followeth that this sin is unpardonable. The sinns then against the Law of God are remittable, and the Priests forgive them; but to violate the Canons of the Church of Rome, is a

sinne

*In Spiritum  
sanctum blas-  
phemant qui  
sacros Cano-  
nes violant.*

sinne unabſolvable. This is that which is ſpoken by Pope *Nicholas* the firſt: *If any one ſinne againſt the Decretalls of the Apoſtolique Seat, let him know that it ſhall not bee forgiven him*, at the Canon *Si Romanorum*, in the 19. Diſtinction. And there againe he declareth, that *the Old and New Teſtament ought to bee receiued, although they bee not inſerted in the Canons, for the holy Pope Innocent hath expreſſed his opinion touching the ſame*. If the Old and New Teſtament muſt be receiued, becauſe Pope *Innocent* hath ſo appointed it, we muſt conclude that the Décreé of Pope *Innocent*, is of more authority then the Old and New Teſtament. For that which giueſh authority, is greater then that which receiueth it. Yet the Old and New Teſtament had their plenary authority before Pope *Innocent* was in the world.

*Can. Si Romanorum. Diſt. 19. Vt ſiquis in illa cõmiferit, nãverit ſibi veniam denegari.*

*Capitulum S. Innocẽtijs Papa, cuius authoritate deſecatur, à nobis utrumq; teſtamentum eſſe recipiendũ, quãvũ in ipſis paternis Canonibus nullũ eorum ex toto contrahatur inferum, &c.*

Lib. 2. Epist.  
18. Non so-  
lum mandata  
Dei negli-  
gent, sed &  
scripta nostra  
contemnunt.

Thom. 2. se-  
cunda. quest.  
88. art. 11.  
1. 1.

VII. Pope Gregory the first, before *Nicholas* had beate the path to this pride, in his Epistle to *Antonine Subdeacon*, complaineth of one *Honorat*, who (saith hee) hath not onely neglected the commandements of God, but also misprised our writings: as if his writings were of more authority then the commandements of God.

VIII. The single life of Priests is a meere humane institution, as *Thomas* acknowledgeth; as also *Bellarmino* in his booke concerning the Clergie, Chap. 18. And in very deed the Scripture speaketh nothing thereupon. But whoredome is forbidden by the Law of God; nevertheless if a Priest doth play the Fornicatour or Adulterer, it is but a laughing matter: But if a Priest doe marry to obey the Apostle, speaking: *If they cannot containe let them marry*, 1. Cor. 7. 9. And let a

Bishop

*Bishop bee a husband but of one wife,*  
 1. Tim. 2. 2. this marriage is called  
 a sacrilege, & pointed at as a pro-  
 digious thing. In lust and whoring  
 hee transgresseth the Law of God,  
 and the vow that hee hath made to  
 obey his word. In marrying hee  
 transgresseth the Tradition of the  
 Church of *Rome*, and the vow in-  
 vented by humane Tradition,  
 which is accounted the greater of-  
 fence. For it hath pleased the Pope  
 to allow of obscene whoredome,  
 and to forbid marriage, which are  
 two Traditions that haue caried  
 him away against the rules of holy  
 Scripture.

IX Marke yet something worse.  
 Pope *Innocent* the third declareth,  
 that a Priest hauing many Concubines,  
 is not therefore lapsed into irregularity,  
 that is to say, doth not for this become  
 incapable to exercise the Priesthood.  
 Yea for Sodomy a Priest is not de-

*Innoc. 3. Ex-  
 tra de Biga-  
 mu. cap. 2.  
 circa. Post  
 last per se-  
 Apostolica  
 adocari si  
 presbyteri  
 plures Con-  
 binas habere  
 bigami con-  
 antur. qd  
 dicitur*

respondendū,  
quod cum ir-  
regularitate  
non incurre-  
runt, cum eu-  
sanguā sim-  
plices forma-  
tione notati,  
poterūt dispen-  
sare.

Navar. Tom.

3. cap. Ad in-

ferendam. 23.

quæst. 3. De

defensione pro-

terimi cap. 37.

sect. 15. Re-

spondendū est

crimen Sodo-

mita non com-

prehendi [in

criminibus

quæ irregulari-

ritatem indu-

cunt] &c.

Quia parum

refert illud

crimen esse

gravissimū et

spurcissimū,

cū manus sit

crimī haresis

mentalī, &

odium Dei,

quorū tamen

nullū irregu-

laritatem in-

ducit.

graded, as is taught by *Nanayra* the Popes Penancer. But a Priest that marieth, is forthwith degraded, is made a publicke execration, and chased with more maledictions then the Azazel or Scapegoat, although hee have the Apostle on his side, to protect him against the Tradition.

It is certaine that in the Church of *Rome*, to eat flesh on Goodfriday, is accounted an hundred degrees more horrible then to haunt brothell houses: and to breake the arme of an Image, is more then to breake the heads of ten liuing men. For Tradition is more religiously obserued then the Law of God.

C A P.



## CAP. IX.

*Three reasons wherefore Tradition is preferred before the Scripture in the Church of Rome.*

**T**He reasons that haue moued the Pope to exalt Tradition about the Scripture are three. The one is, because the succession of the Pope in the primacie of Saint Peter is a Tradition, which is the only prop of his dominion. And therefore it neerely concernes him to exalt Tradition, vpon which his Empire is founded.

The second is, because Traditions depend vpon the Pope, and as hee contriued them, so can he alter them. But hee hath not the Scripture in his power; he cannot make another holy Scripture; nor change the Hebrew originall which the  
Iewes



Iewes (who are not obedient to him) doe carefully preserue; nor the Greeke Testament, which the Greeke Churches haue saued for vs. It concernes him therefore that the Traditions (whereof hee is both Maker and Master) be had in great estimation.

3. The third is, because all Traditions are gainfull to the Pope and Clergy, and serue to extoll the Papall Empire, and dignity of the Ecclesiasticks: hee and his Clergy rake vp infinite profit by Indulgences, priuate Masses, Suffrages and Masses for the deceased, Dispensations, Annates, &c. By confessions the Priests know the secrets of families, & make themselves formidable, in reseruing the participation of the chalice to themselves and Kings, they make themselves companions of Kings, and worshipfull to the people: by Transubstantia-

tion

tion they attribute to themselves the power of making God with words, to create their Creatour, and to haue Iesus Christ within their jurisdiction, locked up in a Pix. By the sacrifice of Masse, they make themselves sacrificing Priests, sacrificing Iesus Christ to his Father. By the institution of festiuall dayes, the Pope vsurpeth power in commanding all shops to be shut vp, and causeth all Sessions of iustice and Councell to be interrupted at his pleasure. By the difference of meats, he governeth the Markets, Kitchens, and Tables of Kings. By the canonization of Saints, hee makes his meanest groomes to bee worshipped by the people, and listeth up to heaven those that haue most faithfully served him; and commandeth the people to invoke such Saints as hee pleaseth. By the Sacrament of Penance, he impleth corporall and

Gabriel Bial  
in Can. Miss.  
Leit 15 Ne  
volat Dominus  
aliquem  
habere potest  
legandi  
vel solvendi  
super corpus  
Christi mysti-  
cū, nisi habe-  
ret potestatem  
super corpus  
Christi verū.

and pecuniary penalties & mulcts, yea upon Kings and Princes to the very whipping of them, usurpeth sway over bodies and goods, and changeth corporall punishments into pecuniary. By the Absolution of finnes, the Priests make themselves Iudges betweene God and the sinner, and will haue God obliged to pardon a sinner, because the Priest hath pardoned him; yea in a cause where God is the partie offended, the Priest maketh himselfe judge. Whereas God in the holy Scripture giveth to Pastors power to dispense with the punishment of finnes as far as Ecclesiasticall censure, these gallants make bold as far as the Conscience, and to the very iudiciall Seat of God, By Service in Latine, the Pope retaineth the people in ignorance, and planteth among all Nations a marke of his Empire, giving them  
the

the Romane language to subdue them to the Romish Religion. The Dispensations which the Pope giveth to Princes, to marry in degrees forbidden by the word of God, doe oblige the children that spring from them to maintaine the Papall authority; for if that were shaken, it would bee doubted whether they bee legitimate or no. The power of dis-enthroning Kings, disposing of Empires, causing their feet to bee kissed by Monarks, canonizing Saints, and of releasing soules out of Purgatory, are Traditions which magnifie the papall dignity, aboue all power spirituall or temporall that ever was on earth. Wherefore let vs not maruell that the Pope laboureth to countenance these Traditions, and to crush the Scripture, which doth but molest him, disaccommodate him in his traffique, and  
flag-

staggereth his whole Empire.

Hereupon the Reader that hath heard the comparison, which our aduersaries make of Tradition of the Romish Church, with the holy Scriptures (saying, that Tradition of the Church is more ancient, more ample, more cleare, more certaine, and of more authority over vs then the holy Scripture) will call to remembrance the example of the Ægyptians, who passing by long rankes of columns and pillars and by magnificall Temples, did lead the Worshipers to a place more solitary and retired, where stood the God of the Temple; there did they shew them an Ape, or an Oxe, or a Cat, in honour of whom the Temple was erected, even thus doe our aduersaries in this question. After such high titles and magnifications of Traditions (to the extol-

extolling of them aboue the word of God, contained in holy Scriptures) when wee come to vnmaske their vglinesse, and offer them to sight, they present vs with absurd inuentions, and such as expose Christian religion to laughter. They tell vs of Images of the Trinity in wood or stone. Of soules that broile in a fire for sinnes pardoned. Of Indulgences for one hundred yeares. Of priuileged Altars, vpon which whosoever causeth a Masse to bee said, maketh choise of a soule to be released out of Purgatory. Of Adoration of Images, bones, and rags. Of solitary Masses without communicants, which chant after the intention of him that payes them. Of publicke prayers and particular in an vnknowne tongue. Of masses for horses. Of Iesus Christ caried away by mice. Of blessed beads, and

*Agnus*



*Agnus Dei.* Of pilgrimages. Of the difference of meats. Of borrowed satisfactions. Of fasting and being whipt one for another. Behold their Traditions, see what is preferred before the Scripture, observe the Lawes and documents which they balance with the Law that God himselfe hath pronounced, and with the doctrine of our redemption, which the eternall Sonne of God hath brought from Heauen, and yet is found light in comparison of these venerable Traditions; for why? because they cast into the scale a massy stone, to weet, the names of *Pope* and *Church of Rome*, which in the hearts of men growne brutish, weigh downe against God and the Scriptures.



## CHAP. X.

*That in this question, by the word Church, our Aduersaries understand not the Church whereof is spoken in the Creed, but the Pope alone.*

**F**Orasmuch as our Aduersaries doe maintaine, that the Church whereof mention is made in the Creed, is the whole body of the faithfull people, and that to this people it belongeth not to bee iudge of doubts and controuerfies, it is evident that by this Church (which is said to bee soueraigne iudge and infallible) another Church is vnderstood, besides that whereof mention is made in the Creed; but as by the Church they vnderstand onely the Church of *Rome*, so by the Church of *Rome*, is vnderstood the Pope, who attributeth this soueraigne and infallible

K

*Salm. Tō. 13. parte 3. diff. 10. felt. quar- to rium. Cum Scriptura ob- scura sit & perdifficili nec index es- se queat: qui- a providentia Dei tollere- tur, cum per eam sola lites obortæ sedari non possint; superest ergo ut certū ali- quē iudicem nobis designa- tum reisque- rior. At hic alius non est habitus quā qui semper fuit, hoc est, Rom. Episco- pus: alias e- nim perisset providentia Dei per tot- secula. Caietan. in 2. 2a. q. 1. art. 10. Verissimū est auctoritatem Ecclesie uni- versali et Cō- cilio principa- liter et totali- ter a fide in Papa, in de- terminandis ea- que sunt do- gma.*

ble authority to himselfe. Thus do the Doctours accord, and in this manner doe apprehend it.

*Salmeron the Iesuite: seeing that the Scripture is very obscure and diffi- cult, and cannot bee judge (for so the providence of God should bee annihila- ted, because by it alone the controuersies moued can not bee appeased and deter- mined) it remaineth therefore, that some certaine Iudge designed was left vnto vs. And hee is thought to bee no other then the same that ever was, to wheet, the Bishop of Rome; for other- wise the Providence of God in so many ages had perished.*

*Cardinall Caietan: It is most true that the authority of the vniuersall Church and of Councell, resideth prin- cipally and totally in the Pope, to deter- mine the points of Faith. And there againe he saith, that the Church ado- reth the Pope.*

*Paschal the Pope avoucheth, that*

that the Church of Rome is not subject to Councils, and that in whatsoeuer they ordaine, the Pope is alwayes excepted. Speaking thus: *They say that this is not found to bee decreed in the Councils.* To the which hee maketh this answer: *as if any Councils had prescribed any Law to the Church of Rome, when as all the Councils haue bene made, and haue taken their force by the authority of the Church of Rome; and in their statutes the authority of the Pope is cleerly excepted.* Who doth not perceiue that in these words, by the Church of Rome the Pope alone is vnderstood? for our Aduersaries deny not, but that the people, and Clergie of the Church of Rome, are subject to the Councils.

*Extr. de Electione. cap. Significasti. Tit. 6. Assunt hoc in Concilio statutum non inueniri. Resp. Quasi Romana Ecclesia Concilia vlla legē praeferunt. Cum omnia Concilia per Romanam Ecclesiam autorizata sint, et robur acceperint, et in eorum statu Rom. Pontificis patenter excipiat.*

The Iesuite Gregory of Valence in the title of the seuenth booke of his Analysis: *The Pope of Rome is he in whom resideth all authority of the Church,*

*Pontifex est Romanus, in quo auctoritas illa residet: quae in Ecclesia exstat ad iudicandum.*

*mandū de omnibus omnino controversijs fidei.*

*Fide Papa nostra contrimetur, & ex eius unius autoritate salus omnium pender.*

*Non minor est Papa ad controversias dirimendas, quā Ecclesia totius auctoritas.*

*Ecce adest Dñi Petri successor Iulius nō minor auctoritate.*

Church, to iudge entirely of all doubts of the Faith.

*Andradius* in his first booke of the defence of the Tridentine faith: *Our faith consisteth in the faith of the Pope, and vpon his authority alone dependeth all mens saluation.* Iudge whether mans saluation bee not well deriued. Whereupon hee speaks there againe, *that the authority of the Pope to decide all controuersies, is not lesse then the authority of the whole Church.* And wee haue formerly heard the Iesuite *Vasques* affirming, that the authority of the Pope is not lesse then that of the Apostles, and that hee can abrogate and cancell the Apostles commandements. In the second Session of the last Lateran Councell, these words are expresse: *Behold Iulius the Successour of Saint Peter, no lesse in authority then him.* It is true that when the Pope will, hee

ioyneth some Prelates with him, to assist him in his decreeing. But whereas hee calls and chooseth whom hee will, these Prelates haue not authority but by him, and the Pope can enact all without them. This is that which Cardinall *Bel- larmine* hath, in his third booke of the word of God, Chap. 9. *That iudge cannot bee the Scripture; therefore is it the Ecclesiasticall Prince, either alone, or with the aduice & approbation of the brother Bishops.*

*Iste iudex non potest esse scriptura &c. Igitur Princeps Ecclesiasticus, vel solus vel cum consilio et consensu coepiscoporum*

For so our Aduersaries doe joyntly hold, that when the Pope iudgeth in the Apostolicke chaire, and as Pope, his sole opinion and decree is as firme and certaine, as if a Councell had voted vpon it.

And to remoue all doubt, our aduersaries blush not openly to as- firme, that by this word *Church*, the Pope is to bee vnderstood. *Gregory of Valence* the Iesuite after ha- uing

*Grego. de Valens. Tom. 3. in Thom. di. put. 1. q. 1. p. 5. fol. 8.*

*Hanc authoritatem plenam in Romano Pontifice, &c. resideret, qui scilicet de fide et morum controversiis ad universalem Ecclesiam pertinētibus, vel per se vel cū generali Concilio sufficenter cōstituat. Iam igitur quā dicimus propositionem Ecclesiam esse conditionem necessariam ad assensum fidei, nomine Ecclesie intelligimus eius caput, id est, Romanū Pontificē per se, vel unā cū Concilio.*

ving said, that the full authority of iudging controuersies of the faith and manners, which concerne the vniuersall Church, doth plenarily reside in the Pope of Rome Christs Vicar, hee addeth: Now therefore when wee say, that the Proposition of the Church is a condition necessary, to oblige the Faith to one agreement, by this word Church wee understand her head, which is to say, the Pope of Rome, either alone, or with the Councell. For hee is not of opinion that the Councell bee necessarily required.

*Bellarmino* expoundeth it thus, in his second booke of the Councels, Chap. 19. *The Pope* (saith hee) ought to speake it to the Church, that is to say, to himselfe. And Pope *Innocent* the third, in his Chapter *Non est extra de iudicijs*, attributeth to himselfe the taking notice of a difference betweene *Philip* the second surnamed *Augustus* King of France, and

*John*



*John King* of England, for it is written, *tell it to the Church*. Now *S. Peter* was one of those to whom *Iesus Christ* spake, *Tell it to the Church*: was this *Apostle* able to divine that *Iesus Christ* vnderstood, *Tell it to thy selfe*? and that *Iesus Christ* would haue the party complainant to be iudge? see then the Church (which is a word that signifieth an assembly) reduced to one man. And the sense of this Article of the Creed, *I beleene the Church* shall bee, *I beleene the Pope*, who sometimes cals himselfe god, sometimes *Iesus Christ*, and sometimes the Church; so he shall bee Bridegroom and Spouse; and one man shal cal himselfe an assembly.

And tell me to what purpose are Councils assembled, so long and so painfull, seeing nothing is to bee done, but to consult the Papall Oracle, which in one instant can de-



cide al controversies without possibilitie of erring, seeing (I say) that in one man wee haue the vniversall Church, that the Councell can doe nothing without the Pope, and that the Pope can doe all, and judge of all without the Councell.

*Bellar. lib. 4.  
de Roman.  
Pontifice, ca.  
2. Sect. vi.  
videtur. Ipsa  
in a'libilitate  
nem non esse  
in actu consi-  
liariorum, vel  
in concilio E.  
piscoporum,  
sed in solo  
Pontifice.*

Whereupon *Bellarmino* affirmeth with all the Doctors, that *the Infalibilitie of a Councell is not in the assembly of the Counsellors, nor in the Councell of Bishops, but in the Pope alone*; and yet in the meane time the Popes themselues never appeare not in the Councils.

This Advertisment was very necessary, to the end that the Reader might know, that as by the authoritie of the Church, is understood the authoritie of the Pope; so by Traditions of the Church, nothing is understood but the Ordinances made or approoved by the Pope: for they subsist not but by his authoritie

thoritie; and though they haue  
passed through a Councell; yet the  
Pope can change & abolish them,  
and institute new in their stead,  
without wayting for a Councell.  
For should he haue lesse authoritie  
over Traditions then over the ho-  
ly Scripture, wherein he can alter  
the Ordinances, and Institutions of  
our Lord? He can dispense against  
the Apostle, should not he be able  
to dispense against a Councell, or  
against the custome which hath au-  
thorised a Tradition? It is the  
same that *Andradus* expressly tea-  
cheth, in the second Booke of his  
Defence of the Tridentine Faith:

*Those (saith hee) erre not who affirme  
that sometimes the Popes in their lawes  
can dispence contrary to that of S. Paul,  
and the foure first Councels, which are  
the universall Councels most anci-  
ent, of greatest authority, and which  
Pope Gregory the first equalleth to the  
foure*

*Liquet mini-  
me eos errasse  
qui dicunt  
Romanos  
Pontifices  
posse nonnun-  
quam in legi-  
bus dispensa-  
re a Paulo et  
a primis qua-  
tuor Concilijs  
Greg. 1. lib. 1.  
Epist. 24*

*four Evangelists.* Pope *Gelasius* speakes the same: for in his Tome of the Bond of an Anathema, disputing against one of the four first Councells, to wit, against that of *Chalcedon*, where there were six hundred and thirty Bishops, he urgeth thus, *The Apostolicall Seat alone dissanulleth that which a Synodall Assembly thought to haue vsurped against Order.* The subject of his choler against this so famous and honorable assembly was, for that in this Councell is framed a Canon, ordaining that the Bishop of Constantinople should be equall to the Bishop of Rome in all things, and that hee should haue the same preheminences.

*Quod refuta-  
uit sedes A-  
postolica ha-  
bere non po-  
tuit firmita-  
tem, & sola  
rescindit qd  
præter ordinẽ  
congregatio  
Synodica pu-  
tauerit usur-  
pandum.*

CHAP.

CH A P. X I.

*Of what sort, how weake, and how uncertaine the foundations are wheron Traditions of the Romish Church are built : and of the three maximes that serue for their defence and prop.*

**T**HE Traditions of the Church of Rome are of so great a number, that a meere Catalogue of them would furnish out a large volume. The whole rabble of them hath these three maximes for their foundation.

1. That the Pope is Successor to St. *Peter*, in the charge of Head of the universall Church.

2. Secondly, that the Pope cannot erre in the faith.

3. That the Apostles haue not set downe in writing all that they did teach by word of mouth.

Hee that will comprehend the nature of these maximes, shal know  
that

that they evert the Christian faith  
and consume all Religion into  
smoke: for if the Maximes where-  
on all Papistry is founded, and all  
the body of Romish Tradition  
bee imaginary maximes  
ly humane, (not to gi  
phrase) it is imposs  
Religion which is bu  
can haue the least ti  
rance.

I. The first maxime that  
downe the Pope to bee Saint Peter  
Successor, in the charge of Head of the  
universall Church, is destitute of all  
testimony of Gods Word; and our  
Adversaries to vphold it, produce  
nothing but humane testimonies.  
Whence it followeth, that it is not  
an Article of the Christian Faith,  
and that it cannot be beleevd for  
a certaintie of faith; for the Chri-  
stian faith is grounded upon the  
Word of God: *Faith commeth by*  
*hearing*

hearing, and hearing by the Word of God, Rom. 10. 17.

But the Church of Rome giveth this maxime not onely for an Article of Faith, but also for a foundation of all the other Articles of the whole Religion. The Church of Rome, the authoritie is planted to be a foundation of the Church, and of the doctrine of salvation, to the very subjecting of the Scripture (that is to say, the word of God) to his authoritie: and to cause that the authoritie of the Scripture depend vpon the opinion of the Church of Rome; and all this by vertue of that pretended Succession to St. Peter. Briefly, our Adversaries make all Christian Religion to hang vpon this poynt: as *Belarmine* acknowledgeth, at the entrance of the Preface in his Books of the Pope, speaking thus: *To say*



that they evert the Christian faith  
and consume all Religion in  
smoke: for if the Maximes where-  
on all Papistry is founded, and all  
the body of Romish Traditions,  
bee imaginary maximes and pure-  
ly humane, (not to giue a worse  
phrase) it is impossible that the  
Religion which is built thereupon  
can haue the least tittle of asse-  
rance.

I. The first maxime that layeth  
downe the Pope to bee Saint Peters  
Successor, in the charge of Head of the  
univerſall Church, is destitute of all  
testimony of Gods Word; and our  
Adversaries to vphold it, produce  
nothing but humane testimonies.  
Whence it followeth, that it is not  
an Article of the Christian Faith,  
and that it cannot be beleevd for  
a certaintie of faith; for the Chri-  
stian faith is grounded upon the  
Word of God: *Faith commeth by*  
*hearing*



hearing, and hearing by the Word of God, Rom. 10. 17.

But the Church of Rome giveth this maxime not onely for an Article of Faith, but also for a foundation of all the other Articles of faith, and of the whole Religion. For in the Church of Rome, the Popes authoritie is planted to be a foundation of the Church, and of all the doctrine of salvation, to the very subjecting of the Scripture (that is to say, the word of God) to his authoritie: and to cause that the authoritie of the Scripture depend vpon the opinion of the Church of Rome; and all this by vertue of that pretended Succession to St. Peter. Briefly, our Adversaries make all Christian Religion to hang vpon this poynt: as *Bel-larmine* acknowledgeth, at the entrance of the Preface in his Books of the Pope, speaking thus: *To say*

*Etenim de  
qua re agitur  
cum de pri-  
matu Ponti-  
fici agitur ?  
breuissima  
dicam, de  
summa rei  
christiana.  
Id enim qua-  
ritur, acbeat-  
ne Ecclesia  
durius con-  
sistere, an ve-  
ro dissoluta &  
concideret.  
Observan-  
dum est tertio;  
licet forte no-  
sit de iure di-  
uino, Roma-  
num Pontificem  
ut Romanum  
Pontificem  
Petro succe-  
dere, tamen  
id ad fidem  
Catholicam  
pertinere. Nō  
enim est idem  
aliquid esse  
de fide, et esse  
de iure diui-  
no. Nec enim  
de iure diui-  
no fuit ut  
Paulus ha-  
beret peni-  
tentiā; est tamen  
hoc ipsum de  
fide, Pau-  
lum habuisse*

*in a word, when mention is made of the Popes supremacy, the summe of all Christi-  
anitie is at stake : for the question is,  
whether the Church ought to subsist  
any longer, or whether it must bee dis-  
solved and fall. Thereunto doe all  
the Controversies referre, and all  
the Traditions ayme at the profit  
and greatnesse of the Pope; yet the  
same Cardinall at the 12. chap. of  
the second Booke of the Pope, ac-  
knowledgeth, that the Scripture ma-  
keth no mention of the Popes succession  
in the place of Saint Peter, and that  
this poynt is not iure divino. Never-  
thelesse hee affirmeth that this suc-  
cession, though it bee not iure divi-  
no, leaueth not to appertaine to the  
Catholick faith; In the same man-  
ner as the Catholicke faith belee-  
ueth, that Saint Paul had a Friers  
weed, though that were not iure di-  
vino, and that God had not com-  
manded any thing to that purpose.*

Hence

Hence it is manifest, that all the diuine doctrine is founded vpon a plaine humane Tradition, to weet, a Tradition vnwritten, *That God hath ordained the Pope of Rome for Successour in the Primacy of Saint Peter.* Thus you see Tradition grounded vpon tradition, that is, vpon it selfe: and this infinite Masse of traditions is founded vpon a Tradition that is no more *iure diuino*, then Saint *Pauls* weed, whereof neuer was any diuine Testimony extant.

*penulam. Etsi autem Romanum Pontificem succedere Petro non habetur expresse in scripturis, &c.*

I will not at this time enter into proofes of the falsity of this matter, which wee haue handled in diuers places, especially in my booke that went before, and shewed the vntruths by aboundance of reason and authority drawne from antiquity. I say but this, that the ancient Bishops of *Rome* were called Successours of Saint *Peter*, in the Bish-



Bishopricke only of the Citie of *Rome* ; but not in the Apostleship, nor in the goverment of the vniuersall Church. Iust as the Bishops of *Ierusalem* were called Successors of Saint *Iames*, and those of *Antioch* of Saint *Peter*, and those of *Ephesus* of Saint *Paul* and of Saint *Iohn* : not in the Apostleship, but in the Bishopricke of the townes, wherein these Apostles had planted the Church. Our aduersaries produce not any example or passage of the ancient Church, whereby it may appeare, that ever the Bishops of *Rome* attributed any authority to themselves, over the Churches that are out of the *Roman* Empire.

I say also, that when the Bishop of *Rome* was heretofore Successor to Saint *Peter*, in place of head of the Church, so it was that the heresies which infected this seat (as our  
adver-

adversaries themselves doe confesse, and the Popes complaine of it) and the Schismes which haue rent it, (there hauing beene two Popes at once, sometimes three at the same instant, prosecuting one the other to extremity, and calling one the other Antichrist) did long since breake the chaine of this succession. In which Schismes ordinarily the most vicious and most cunning caried it; and hee excluded his adversary, who had the fauour of those Emperours and Kings on whom the fortune of warre did smile. This continued straine of succession, not being possibly knowen, but by the multitude of Histories and Authors, both Greeke and Latine (who very often jarre among themselves, so far as not to agree vpon the next Successours to Saint Peter) it is impossible that the people should know

L

any

any thing in this succession, or should haue any assurance hereof but by the Testimony of those, who brag of it and liue by it.

Moreover, our aduersaries doe confesse, that the Pope and church of *Rome* may erre in the question *de facto*. Now these questions, to wect, *whether Saint Peter hath left the Bishop of Rome Successour of his Apostleship, or of his Supremacy; and whether this succession hath not beene interrupted by Schismes and heresies,* are questions *de facto*, and consequently of the nature of those, wherein our aduersaries hold, that the Church of *Rome* may erre. And the proofes which our aduersaries bring forth are drawne, from books which theirselues conuince of falsity, and from such fragments as for the most part are supposititious.

I forbear to censure any further  
the



the certainty of Romish traditions,  
seeing they are all founded vpon  
one Maxime, which is, a Tradition  
humane, not vpheld by any Ordi-  
nance of God; a Tradition which  
is not an Article of the Christian  
faith, yet at this time is put downe  
for the ground of Faith; a Tradi-  
tion which is of the nature of  
those wherein our aduersaries con-  
fesse, that the Church may erre; a  
Tradition whereof the people can  
haue no certainty nor knowledge,  
but by bookes, both Greeke and  
Latine of infinite length, wherein  
they vnderstand nothing, and by  
the Testimony of those especially  
who propose it, that is to say, the  
Popes, who receiuing not the  
Scripture for Iudge, cal themselves  
supreme Iudges and infallible in  
all controuerfies, more especially  
in that wherein is pleaded their  
succession, and their owne pro-  
per



per authority and infallibility.

Now it is an easie matter, to guesse, at what the proceedings of the Enemy of our saluation doe leuell. Their butt & scope is to distill (as it were) all Religion into a vapour, and to make it depend vpon presuppositions, not only vaine and vncertaine, but also false and imaginary: as he that should beare vp an obeliske vpon a smal feskuie. When some demand, *Wherefore is it bebonefull to receiue Traditions?* the answer is, *because the Pope hath ordained it.* Againe, if it be demanded, *whence commeth this authority of the Pope?* it is answered, *Because Saint Peter dying hath left the Bishop of Rome Successour of his Supremacy, over the Church of the whole world.* Moreouer when it is asked, *Can you produce any Ordinance of God for this succession;* for this point being estated by you, for the foundation of the Church,  
and

and of all the Christian faith, it is not credible that God hath ordained nothing of it? there they stand caught by the nose, not uttering one syllable of the word of God, and doe confesse, that this succession is not *Iure diuino*, nor by the Ordinance of God. Only the Popes will bee therein beleueed, and call themselves supreme and absolute, in a case wherein they are so much interested, and wherein it is disputed of their succession and authority. Thus you may see all the *Ius diuinum* founded vpon a point which is not *Iure diuino*; and all the diuine doctrine founded vpon humane Tradition, yea vpon humane Testimony, the most vncertaine of all, for the certainty of the Popes succession is founded vpon the Testimony and authority of the Pope himselfe, who is party in this cause, and who by this Tradition ru-

leth and vpholdeth his Empire.

Nay, they doe worse, they make not onely these Traditions, but the very authority of the holy Scripture, to depend vpon this Tradition. Let it bee demanded, wherefore ought we to beleeue that God hath created man in his Image, that he hath created to *Moses* in two Tables, that the Sonne of God was borne in the wombe of the *Virgin*, and is dead for vs. The answer is, that this is to be beleeued, because it is written in the holy Scripture that God hath inspired his Prophets and Apottles. Againe let it bee asked, wherefore ought the holy Scriptures to bee beleeued, and why are wee obliged to put our faith therein? The answer is, *Because the Church of Rome hath so ordained it, which hath this authority by vertue of her succession in*

*Basilienſis  
Concilij appa-  
ret Eccleſia  
Romana ſic  
loquitur:  
Quod autem  
verum ſeruo*

**Supremacy of Saint Peter.** But vpon this question, haue you any commandement from God? they answered, the holy Scripture indeed speaks nothing of it, but the church of Rome is supreme Iudge and hath more authority over vs then the Scripture. Neverthelesse in point it is disputed of the authority of the Church of Rome, wherein it is no reasonable thing that shee should bee Iudge, much lesse to assigne her selfe Iudge aboue the Scripture.

Doe but obserue what becometh of all Christian Religion in the account of these Merchants. Their will is that God should bee beleecued, because men ordained it, and that the diuine truth should haue no other foundation, then the evidence and authority of lying men, yea such as will bee Iudges in their owne cause, and who hauing

*Christi Evangelium, quomodo scire possent, nisi illud vobis parafcerissem? Audistis nonnullos ex Apostolorum scripsisse Evangelia. Sed quoniam quatuor distinctas approbavi, illa vs Evangelia venerantur, alia respuuntur.*

leth and vpholdeth his Empire.

Nay, they doe worse, they make not onely these Traditions, but the very authority of the holy Scripture, to depend vpon this Tradition. Let it bee demanded, wherefore ought we to beleue that God hath created man after his owne Image, that he hath giuen his Law to *Moses* in two Tables, and that the Sonne of God hath taken flesh in the wombe of the blessed Virgine, and is dead for vs? It is answered, that this is to be beleued, because it is written in the holy Scripture that God hath inspired his Prophets and Apottles. Againe let it bee asked, wherefore ought the holy Scriptures to bee beleued, and why are wee obliged to put our faith therein? The an-

*Basilienſis  
Conſilij appē-  
dix Ecclēſia  
Romana ſic  
loquitur:*

*Quod autem  
verum ſeruo*

*ſwere is, Because the Church of Rome  
hath ſo ordained it, which hath this au-  
thority by vertue of her ſucceſſion in*

*the*

*Supremacy of Saint Peter.* But vpon this question, haue you any commandement from God? they answere, the holy Scripture indeed speaks nothing of it, but the church of *Rome* is supreme Iudge and hath more authority over vs then the Scripture. Neverthelesse in this point it is disputed of the authority of the Church of *Rome*, wherein it is no reasonable thing that shee should bee Iudge, much lesse to assigne her selfe Iudge aboue the Scripture.

Doe but obserue what becometh of all Christian Religion in the account of these Merchants. Their will is that God should bee beleeued, because men ordained it, and that the diuine truth should haue no other foundation, then the evidence and authority of lying men, yea such as will bee Iudges in their owne cause, and who hauing

*Christi Evangelium, quomodo scire possent, nisi illud vobis prescripsisset? Audistis nonnullos ex Apostolorum scriptis Evangelia, Sed quoniam quatuor duntaxat approbavi, illa ut Evangelia venerantur, alia respuuntur.*



invented a thousand Traditions (all tending to their profit) hold them all vp by one Tradition alone, which hath no other foundation then their owne authority. There is no such pernicious strageme to pervert the Christian Religion, as to confound the things that are certaine by vncertaine proofes, to plead humane Tradition for their highest and concluding principle, and to order that the Christian faith should haue a Maxime that is no Articie of faith, and is vpheld by no other authority then of those that publish it, and such as by this Maxime enrich themselves, and build them vp an Empire on the earth: yea all the Churches in the world (except the Romish) doe reject this Maxime, and laugh at this succession, as a story contradicted by all antiquity, and especially by al the Bishops  
of



of *Rome*; who whilst the *Romane* Empire was in flourishing estate, never intermedled in any affaire beyond the limits of that Empire; as I haue proued at large in my first Treatise.

The second Maxime is of the same nature, and dependeth vpon the first. Our aduersaries (to maintaine all their Traditions) say that *the Pope cannot erre in the Faith*, and that likewise, by vertue of the same succession. For they will haue the Pope Successour not only of the power of *Saint Peter*, but also of his infallibility.

Now if the Pope should be Successour of the Supremacy of *Saint Peter*, it followeth not thereupon that the Pope cannot erre, for hee that is Successour of the charge of another, is not therefore Successor of his vertue. The Doctors that haue succeeded in the chaire of *Moses*,

*Moses*, haue often corrupted the Law of *Moses*, and haue caused the people to depart out of the way, and to stumble at the Law, *Malach.* 2.8. And the Scribes and Pharisees that were in the Chaire of *Moses*, taught that Iesus Christ was a Seducer. And wee haue formerly seene diuers Popes condemned for heresie and impiety by the Councils, and many Popes complaining of their Predecessours heresies. And seeing that our aduersaries confesse that there haue beene multitudes of profane Popes and of infamous life, it is hard to beleeue that hee which is not Successour of the good life, and of the vertues of Saint *Peter*, can be Successour infallible of his purity in the Faith. For if the wicked doctrine of the Leaders of the Church bring in errors, their vngodly life bringeth in profanenesse and Atheisme

theisme; and as the Canon *Si Pa-*  
*pa* hath it in the 40. distinction,  
 it casteth headlong innumerable  
 troopes of people into hell, it ex-  
 poseth the Christian Religion vn-  
 to Scandall, and bringeth errours  
 into the very Faith. It being the  
 custome of lewd Pastors to change  
 the doctrine, for the better accom-  
 modation of their vices, and to  
 make it serue their avarice and am-  
 bition. Let Saint *Peter* preaching  
 the Gospell bee compared with  
 the Pope that preacheth not at all;  
 Saint *Peter* going on bare foot,  
 with the Pope carried vpon Prin-  
 ces shoulders; Saint *Peter* not suffe-  
 ring *Cornelius* to worship him,  
*Act.* 10. with the Pope expecting  
 himselfe to bee adored, and that  
 Kings should kisse his feet; Saint  
*Peter* reprehending *Simon Magus*  
 for holding the gift of God pur-  
 chasable with money, *Act.* 8. 20.

With

*Lib. Sacram.  
 cere mon. fest.  
 5. c. 1. & 3.*

With the Pope drayning so much money to himselfe by Absolutions, Dispensations, Indulgences, Annates, Archiepiscopall roabes, &c. Saint *Peter* recommending chastity to women, 1. *Pet.* 3. With the Pope suffering whoredome, and establishing brothell houses publickly at *Rome*; Saint *Peter* that was married, *Marke.* 1. 30. With the Pope forbidding the Clergy to liue in state of matrimony; S. *Peter* who in his second Epistle, *Chap.* 1. Exhorteth the faithfull to bee attentiuē to the word of the Prophets, With the Pope not allowing the reading of Scripture; Saint *Peter* writing to all the faithfull, and commanding them to obey their Kings, 1 *Pet.* 2. 3. and 14. With the Pope exempting the Clergy from subjection to their Kings; Saint *Peter* making no mention in his Epistles of invoca-  
tion

tion of Saints, of adoration of Images, of Reliques, of Purgatory, of Indulgences, of the Treasure of the Church, of *Limbus*, of the Service in an unknowne tongue, of his Supremacie, nor usurping any title to himselfe, that was not common to the other Apostles, with the *Pope*, who teacheth all these things, and in his Bulls attributeth to himselfe such titles as Saint *Peter* never admitted of in his Epistles; then may it easily be conjectured what little modicum of assurance there is, that the *Pope* cannot degenerate from the puritie of Saint *Peter*, nor be alienated from his doctrine.

And note, that although Saint *Peter* was exempted from error, by the continuall assistance of the Spirit of God, which Iesus Christ had promised to the Apostles, nevertheless neither hee, nor any Apostle

postle vsed this arrogant language, vaunting of their impossibilitie to erre. As the Pope is the onely Christian Prelate which maketh himselfe to be called God, so is he the onely creature which qualificeth himselfe with Infallibilitie, to the end to make him resemble God. Imitating heerein the false Doctors who taught the Iewes to say: *The Law shall not perish from the Priest, nor the Counsell from the Wise, nor the Word from the Prophet.*

Lecc. 18. 18.

It is not requisite to prooue this Maxime any further, *That the Bishop of Rome cannot erre in the Faith*, to bee false and contradicted (in the experience of so many ages) by so many holy Doctors, who haue so often condemned the Bishops of Rome; by so many Councils, damning the Romish Church, and the Bishop of Rome for error and heresie; by the testimony of numbers



bers of our adversaries, who reject this Maxime; and by the confession of the Popes themselves. This hath been amply proved in my first Treatise.

Vpon which so false a Maxime, are grounded all Traditions of the church of Rome, as a multitude of flies sitting vpon a floating planke; or a number of Chymera's hanging at a spiders thread, which breaketh at a blast. It is their plaister for alleuill. They make new Articles of faith most gainfull to his Holinesse. Idolatry, Merchandise, tyranny, corruption of the benefit and nature of Iesus Christ is established. And in conclusion they pay us with this maxime, that the Church cannot erre; and by the Church they understand the Romish; and by the Romish Church the Pope of Rome. Thus the Romish Church forbiddeth all sorts of



of errors by an error, in saying, *I cannot erre*, supplying with presumption that which is wanting in reason. Shee is exempted from giving reason of her doctrine, for shee her selfe judgeth that she hath reason. Such an error is the worst of all; for by this meanes a man becometh Iudge of the Word of God, and maketh Religion to depend upon his will. And hee that saith, *I cannot erre*, will never reforme his error, nor subiect himselfe to any rule; for he beleeveth himselfe to be the Rule. How shall he be raised vp, who beleeveth hee cannot fall?

3.

The third Maxime whereon our adversaries ground their Traditions, hath no more certaintie, then the other two precedent. They presuppose without prooffe, that *Iesus Christ and the Apostles have spoken many things which they did not*  
commit

commit to writing. Vpon this pre-  
supposition they build another, the  
most inconsiderate and unreasona-  
ble that can bee. For they would  
haue it beleevd, that those things  
which the Apostles did not set  
downe in writing, are the Tradi-  
tions of the Romish Church at  
this time; and therefore conclude,  
that when Iesus Christ spake seve-  
rally and apart to his Disciples, he  
conferred with them about the  
service of Images, and adoration  
of Reliques, about Indulgences  
and superabundant satisfactions  
of the Saints, which the Pope  
ought to locke up in his Treasury;  
about Invocation of Saints, about  
the crowning of his Mother in the  
dignitie of Queene of heaven, and  
of the Angels; about private Mas-  
ses, the Communion vnder one  
kind, blessed Beades, & *Agnus Dei*,  
&c. This is a daring conjecture,

M

where.

whereon if the faith of the faithfull bee founded, all Religion shall consist of supposition: and the Pope shall haue good recreation to invent Traditions which shal turne to his profit; for such conjectures are taken for Oracles, and layd downe for a foundation of the Christian faith.

But they are ashamed of this, and \*contradiēt themselves: for knowing that these Traditions are new Inventions, they speake freely that neither Christ nor the Apostles haue taught all, either by mouth or by writing, and that the Popes from time to time haue added many doctrines essentiall and necessary, reseruing to themselves the power of altering that which God hath commanded in the Scripture, of adding to the Creed, of dispensing against the Apostle, and of establishing new Articles of faith,

faith, as we have shewed by multitudes of proofes, & by the practise of the church of Rome. So as now wee are not to consider what doctrines haue been taught from the mouth of the Apostles, but it is endeavoured to make us receiue all the Traditions which the Popes haue added, not onely to the Scriptures, but also to the preaching of the Apostles, for inviolable lawes and intallible rules.

Our adversaries then come back to this, that the Church of Rome cannot erre in her Traditions, for shee cannot erre in this Tradition, that *shee cannot erre*. They would haue us beleue the Tradition of the Romish Church, because the Tradition of that Church hath so ordained it. So as this third Maxime leadeth vs backe to the second, which holdeth, that *the Pope cannot erre*: and this Maxime that

the Pope cannot erre, leadeth us to the first, that is to say, to the Succession of *Saint Peter*, (wherof God ordained nothing) from whence they haue made this infallibilitie to spring forth.

It is lamentable to heare, how they speake of the antiquitie of their Traditions, yea, when they be fresh and moderne. They heard their fathers say, that they heard from others, and they againe from others, that the Apostles haue taught these things by mouth onely, and did disperse them amongst some few. Thus they make a brittle cord which bindeth not the consciences, and their beleefe striving to rove backe through fifteene or sixteene ages, wherein they see not one jot, is lost in the way; in stead of beginning at the fountaine, to wit, at Iesus Christ and his Apostles, and to learne in their writings that

that which they haue taught : for,  
a Commandement of the Lord, or  
of the Apostles, had in one word  
freed them from all doubt and dif-  
ficultie.

CHAP. XII.

*That our Adversaries alledging the  
Scripture doe contradict themselves,  
and alledge Scripture for Traditi-  
ons in generall without touching the  
particulars, wherein they finde the  
Scripture contrary.*

**I**T is the propertie of lying to  
say and unsay, involving it selfe  
in contradictions. Our adversaries  
build the authoritie of the Scrip-  
ture upon the Tradition of the  
Church: and then contradicting  
themselves, they labour to ground  
Tradition vpon the testimony of  
Scripture.



Their custome is to alledge Scripture, not to defend every one of their Traditions in particular but they endeavour to prooue in general, that the Scripture speaketh of Traditions, & approveth them. **Presupposing** without prooffe, that the traditions wherof the Scripture maketh mention, are those which in our times are received by the Church of Rome, and wherof the body of Papistry is compounded.

And herein they doe wisely. For what should they find in the scripture, that may be of use to uphold so many new inventions? unless perhaps they would ground the abridgement of the cup upon the

Math. 26. 27

words of our Saviour, *Drinke ye of it.* And upon the words of Saint Paul writing to the people of Corinth, *Let a man examine himself, and so let him eat of that Bread, and drinke of that Cup.* As likewise,

1 Cor. 11. 28  
& chap. 10.  
17.

are all partakers of one and the same Bread; and one and the same Cup, according to the vulgar translation.

Or they would ground the single life of Priests and Bishops vpon the Apostles Commandement, wherein he chargeth a Bishop to be *husband of one wife, having his children in subjection with all gravity*: as also, *If they cannot containe, let them marry*: for it is better to marry then to burne. 1 Tim. 3.  
ver. 2. & 4.  
1 Cor. 7. 9.

Or Invocation of Saints, vpon the words of Solomon, *that God only knoweth the hearts of men*. And vpon those of Saint Paul, *How shall they call vpon him in whom they haue not beleevd?* And vpon those words of Iesus Christ, *When yee pray, say, Our Father which art in heauen, &c.* 2 Chro. 6. 30  
Rom. 10. 14  
Luk. 11. 2.

Or private Masses and without Communicants, vpon this reason that Saint Paul calleth the holy

1 Cor. 10. 16 **Supper A Communion.** And upon this that Iesus Christ giving bread to his disciples, hath said, *Take, eat:* for in their solitary Masses, no man assisteth, to whom the Priest may say, *Take.*

Or the power of the Pope to depose Kings, and to make them kisse his feet, upon these sentences of the Apostles, *Feare God, Honour the King:* and vpon this, *Let every man bee subiect to superiour powers:* and vpon the example of Iesus Christ, who payed tribute, and washed his Apostles feet.

Or Service and Prayers in a strange language, upon that which the Apostle speaketh: *Except you utter by the tongue words easie to bee understood, how shall it be known what is spoken? for yee shall speake into the ayre.* And, *I had rather speake in the Church five words with my understanding, then ten thousand in an unknowne tongue.*

Or

Or difference of meates, upon that which the Apostle sayth: *If any of them that beleeve not, invite you to a feast, and ye be disposed to goe, whatsoever is set before you, eat, asking no question for conscience sake.* And upon that which the same Apostle calleth the instructions of those that sayd, (*touch not, tast not, handle not,*) humane Commandements and doctrines, although they were made for devotion, and to subdue the flesh, as he hath it in the 2 chap. to the *Colos.* 21. 22. 23.

Or merite of workes of condignity as they are called, or of equivalence and congruity, upon the words of our Saviour, *When you shall have done all that is commanded you, say, we are unprofitable servants.*

Or workes of supererogation not commanded, upon the summe of the Law, which inioyneth to love God with all the heart, and with

with all the strength. For in these words is commanded all the good that man can doe, and upon that where Saint *Paul* in the 4. to the *Phil. 8.* chargeth us to addict our selves to all things commendable and vertuous, wherupon it followeth that if the works of supererogation are vertuous and praise worthy, they are commanded; and upon this that the perfection of the Angels doth consist in obeying the Commandement of God, *Psal. 103. 20.* and not to doe more then he hath commanded.

Or borrowed satisfactions, upon that which the Apostle testifieth, that every man shall carry his owne burthen, *Galat. 6. 5.* and that every man shall receive his owne proper reward, according to his owne labour. *1 Cor. 3. 8.*

Or offerings of Priests, in making sacrifices for the living and the

the dead, upon that which Iesus Christ hath sayd, *Doethis in remembrance of me*: which is the place for prooffe thereof, that the Counsell of Trent in the 22. session wil have to be received of every man, under paine of an *Anathema*.

Or festivall play dayes, upon the commandement of God speaking: *sixe dayes shalt thou labour.*

Exod. 20. 9.

Or the power of the Pope to set at liberty under ground, and to give Indulgences to the dead, upon that which Iesus Christ sayth, *Whatsoever yee shall bind and loose on earth, &c.*

Math. 18. 18.

Or cases reserved to the Pope, upon the words of our Saviour uttered to all the Apostles, *Whose sinnes soever yee shall pardon they shall be pardoned.*

John 20. 23.

Or images of the Almighty upon that which God discourfing to the People of Israel, giveth the reason



Deut. 4. 23.

reason why in speaking to them from heaven he suffered none to see any image or resemblance: For feare (sayth hee) *Lest yee might forget the Covenant which he made with you, and make you a graven image, or the likenesse of any thing male or female.*

Deut. 4. 13.

Or establishing of brothell-houses at Rome by the authority of his holinesse, upon the commandement, *Thou shalt not commit adultery.*

Deut. 5. 21.

Or the doctrine of the Councell of Trent, affirming in the fift Session that covetousnesse is no sinne, upon the law of God, speaking, *Thou shalt not covet*: and upon the testimony of the Apostle, saying, that he hath learnt out of the law, *that covetousnesse is sinne.*

Rom. 7. 7.

Apoc. 1. 3.

Or forbidding the People to read the Scripture, upon that which is written in the *Apocal.* *Blessed is he that readeth, and they that heare the words*

words of this prophecy ; and upon the example of the people of Berea who Acts 17.11. searched the Scriptures daily ; and upon the commandement made to Kings, to reade carefully the booke of Dent. 17.18. the law of God.

Or swearing by reliques, upon the commandement of God : *Thou shalt feare the Eternall, and sweare by his name.* Dent. 10.20.

Or Purgatory, upon that which the Lord sayd unto the Thiefe upon the Crosse : *Thou shalt be with me this day in Paradiſe* ; and upon the example of *Lazarus*, whose soule was carried by the Angells into *Abrahams* boſome immediately after his death ; and upon the Apostle Saint *Iohn*, speaking, *That the blood of Iesus Christ purgeth us from all sin.* Luk. 23.43. Luk. 17.22. 1 Iohn 1. 7.

Or the sacrifice of the body of Iesus Christ in the Masse, upon that which the Apostle to the Hebrews (speaking of the sacrifice of the

Hebr. 10. 10  
& 14.

Hebr. 9. 25.  
& 26.

the death of Iesus Christ made up on the Crosse) declareth, that We are sanctified through the offering of the body of Iesus Christ once for all. and that Iesus Christ offereth not himselfe often: for as it is ordained for all men to dye once, so Christ hath bene offered once to take away our sinnes, making the sacrifice of Iesus Christ no more reiterable then the death of men.

Without all doubt if contrariety to the Scripture can give authority to the Romish Traditions, these traditions which I have specified, ought to bee of great authority.

Yea to summe up all, our adversaries are too licencious and rash in their conjectures, and I cannot conceive that they beleeve it themselves, when they would have vs to beleeve, that Iesus Christ speaking in private with his Disciples,  
did

did conferre about the service of Images, and great Pardons to bee made by the Pope, of Chaplets, and Blessed-beads, of lessening the torment of Soules in Purgatory by Masses and Indulgences, &c. To what may this tend but to expose Iesus Christ to laughter? or to delight themselves in faining matters without prooffe? and to allure those that will bee deluded, to beleeve things that are incredible? for such kind of presuppositions worke their effect, according as he is awed that propoundeth them.

### CHAP. XIII.

*That our adversaries to distinguish the good Traditions from the bad, doe give us a Plea wherein they wholly convict themselves.*

**T**O discerne the good Traditions from the bad, our adversaries

faries lay downe certaine Pleas which wee hold fit to have strictly examined. They say that the Traditions ought to bee both receaved and beleaved to be divine, which have alwaies beene approoved by the vniuersall Church, as *Vincen-tius Lyrinensis* confirmeth it, allow-ing that to bee received for truth which hath ever beene beleaved wholly and by all; and Saint *Augu-stine* in his Epistle. 118. If the Church throughout the world observe any thing, it is a distracted impudencie to dispute whether it ought to be so or no; and in his 4. Booke against the Donatists Chapter 4. That which the uniuersall Church holdeth, and hath not beene instituted by Councells, but ever maintained, is to bee beleaved in all just reason, not to have beene ordained by a-ther power then the Apostolique Au-thority.

*Si quid horū  
sola per orbē  
frequenter  
Ecclesia, hoc  
quin ita fa-  
ciendū sit di-  
sputare inso-  
lentissima in-  
sania est.*

*Quod uniuersa  
tenet Ec-  
clesia, nec Cō-  
ciliū institu-  
it, sed semper  
retentū, non  
nisi au'thorita-  
te Aposto-  
lica traditū  
certissime  
creditur.*

Now

Now though these passages of Saint *Augustine* bee unseasonably alledged, because they speake of customes not necessary to salvation, & indifferent in their nature, or of opinions without the knowledge wherof a man may be saved, as we shall hereafter discover: yet I say that by this Plea, the Traditions of the Church of Rome doe fall to the ground, and are not currant or receivable: for it is easie to prove, that they have not beene received from the beginning by the Catholike Church.

How is it that Purgatory (which is by interpretation, a subterranean fire, where the foules of the faithfull are purged by torment) could be beleeyed in the ancient church: seeing that a great part of the Fathers did beleeye, that the foules could not be tormented without the bodies: And that the Masse prayeth

r.  
Purgatory

N

prayeth



prayeth for soules that sleepe in a peaceable rest: it being a cleere case that when this piece was patched to the Canon of the Masse, the Church of Rome did not beleeve that the soules of the faithfull were tortured in a fire. Pope *Gregorie* the 1. In his *Dialogues*, saith Purgatory in the smoake of baths, and in the wind; for this underground fire was not yet devised: and yet this time was so far advanced as to the yeere 590. of our Lord.

2.  
Invocatio  
of Saints.

Invocation of S<sup>ts</sup>. was unknown under the three first ages of the Christian Church, and more then halfe of the fourth. *Cardinall Bellarmine* in his third booke of worshipping Saints, *Chap. 9.* saith, that *When the holy Scriptures were written, the custome was not yet to make vowes to Saints.* Which is as much to say in plaine termes, that about the A-  
postle

§. *Præterea.*  
Cum scrib-  
rentur scrip-  
tura sancta,  
namque coe-  
rat usque vo-  
candi sancti.

postles time, Saints were not called on, nor did the Apostles (who survived the Virgin Mary) address their vowes unto her. And Cardinall Perron (to whom this commendation is due, to be the best versed in the study of the Fathers) confesseth freely, that in the Au hors nearest approaching to the Apostles time, there appeareth no trace of this custome of invoking Saints. Yea, he acknowledgeth that then when S. Augustine wrote, (which was some 420. yeeres after the birth of our Saviour) the doctrine of those which hold that the Saints know not the occurrences of things acted here below, was not condemned; and that the Church had not yet made a decision thereupon: and indeed all that our Adversaries alledge out of the Fathers of the three first ages, and more then halfe the fourth up-

Du Perron  
côtre le Roy  
de la Grand  
Bretagne,  
Pag. 1009.

Et quant aux  
autres plus  
précès du  
siècle Aposto-  
lique, encore  
qu'il ne s'y  
trouve pas de  
vestiges de  
cette custom-  
me, &c.  
Pag. 994.

Quelques  
particuliers  
croient que  
les âmes des  
fidèles n'au-  
ent point la  
vision de Di-  
eu avant le  
jugement fi-  
nal, &c.  
L'Eglise n'a  
pas point en-  
core prononcé  
la décision là  
dessus.



Bresse: and Saint Ierome in Prologo obesi quarta. Athanas. E-  
 Galeato, and in his Preface upon the post. 39. & Synops. Na-  
 Bookes of Salomon: and Ruffin up san. Ca-  
 on the Lords Prayer: all of these man. Epiph. de mensuris et ponderibus. Hilar. prologo Psalmsorum.  
 were persons remarkable in the  
 third and fourth age: Yea, and  
 Pope Gregorie the 1. who wrote  
 neere upon the end of the fifth age  
 in the 19. of his Morals; Chap. the  
 17 as we have proved elsewhere  
 in ample manner: wherefore, this  
 is no Tradition received wholly  
 and at all times by the Church  
 universall.

By this very Plea the Romish  
 Indulgences ought to be rejected, 4. Indulgen-  
 the which Indulgences I under- ces.  
 stand to bee a Tradition, where-  
 with the Pope heaperth up the su-  
 perfluitie of the satisfactions of Je-  
 sus Christ and the Saints, to the  
 treasure of the Church, and con-  
 verteth them to payment for o-  
 thers by his Indulgences; which

he hoardeth in certaine Churches of Rome, and causeth that the people from all parts repaire thither to purchase pardons. I say that these Indulgences are new and that neither the Apostles nor their disciples did convert the superabundance of the sufferings of *Abraham*, or of *Saint Iohn the Baptist*, or of the *Virgine Mary* to payment for others, and kept no treasury to hold the superabounding satisfactions of the Saints, and gave no pardons of one or two hundred thousand yeeres, as the Pope doth: and that these Indulgences have beene altogether unknowne in the first ages of the Christian Church, by the plaine confession of our Adversaries. *Cardinall Cajetan* in the 2. *Chaps* of his Treatise of Indulgences, hath these words; \* If we could have any certainty concerning the originall of Indulgences, it would helpe

\* De certu In-  
dulgenciaru si  
certitudo ha-  
beret posset,  
veritas inda-  
ganda opem  
ferret. Verum  
quia nulla sa-  
cra Scriptu-  
ra, nulla pris-  
coru Doctoru  
Gracoru aut  
Latinoru au-  
thoritas scrip-  
ta hanc ad-  
nostru dedu-  
cit notitiam,  
sed hoc solum  
a recentiu  
auctoritatibus scriptu

helpe as much in the disquisition of the truth: but we have not by writing any authority, either of the holy Scripture, or of ancient Doctors, Greeke or Latine, that affordeth us the least knowledge thereof. Gabriel Biel Lect. 57. upon the Canon of the Masse: We must confesse that before the time of Gregory (that is to say in the six first ages) the use of Indulgences was very little or none at all: but now the practice of them is growne frequent, for without doubt the Church hath the Spirit of Christ her Spouse, and therefore erreth not. Navarrus the Popes Penitentiary: What is the cause that among the ancients, so little mention is made of Indulgences, and among the moderne they are in such use? Iohn of Rochester most holy and reverend for his dignity of Bishop and Cardinall, hath taught us the reason, saying: that The explicite faith, whether it be of Purgatory, or of Indulgences, was not

ra cōmendatū, & de vestitu Patri- bus, &c. Ga- briel Biel Lect. 57. Dicendum quod ante tempora B. Gregorij modicus vel nullus fuit usus Indulgentiarum. Nunc autem crebrescit usus usus, quia sine dubio Ecclesia habet spiritum sponsi sui Christi, et ideo non errat, &c. Navarrus Comment. de Iob. et Indulg. pag. 545 Quare autem apud antiquos tam rara, et apud recentiores tam frequens sit Indulgentiarum mentio, docuit ille vir sanctissimus &c. Iohannes Rossensis cum huius ea de re verborum summa est. Quid non certo cognoscatur a quo



primū tradidit  
compertum, &c.  
Quod male  
at Evangelij  
at alijs scrip-  
tura nūc sunt  
excusa lucu-  
darius & in-  
delleſtu per.  
ſpicacius quā  
ſuerunt olim.

Quod nemo  
quā dubitat or-  
thodoxus an  
Purgatorium  
ſit de quo ca-  
pit apud priſ-  
cor illos nulla  
vel quā rariſ-  
ſima ſeſtas  
mentio. Quod  
non ſuit tam  
neceſſaria ſine  
Purgatorio ſi-  
ne Indulgenti-  
arum ſides  
explicite in  
veterina ec-  
cleſia atque  
nunc eſt, &c.

Anon. Sum-  
ma 6. Theolog.  
ars. l. tit. 10.  
3. de Indul-  
l. 202. Va-  
rijs ann.  
162.

bridge-  
ent of  
Cup

ſo neceſſary in the Primitive Church  
as now. And a little after: Whilſt  
there was no heed taken to Purgatory,  
no man enquired after Romiſh Indul-  
gences, becauſe thereupon dependeth the  
property and worth of them.

Antonine Archbiſhop of Florence  
(whom the Pope canonized for a  
Saint) ſpeaketh to the ſame pur-  
poſe: Touching Indulgences we have  
nothing expreſſy recited in holy Scrip-  
ture, although the ſaying of the Apoſtle  
be alledged upon this ſubject, 1 Cor. If  
I have pardoned any thing, I have  
done it for your ſake, in the perſon of  
Chriſt. Nor are found at all in the  
writings of the ancient Doctōrs, but of  
the moderne. It is therefore no ſmall  
abuse to place Indulgences a-  
mongſt Apoſtolike Traditions.

Of the ſame ranke is that Tra-  
dition which excludeth the people  
from the communion of the Cup:  
it is a moderne Tradition, and for-  
ged

ged *de novo*: concerning the which we have the confession of the Councell of *Constance*, held in the yeere 1416. which is the first Councell that ever made the abridgement of the Cup to passe with legall authority. Marke the words: *Though in the Primitive Church this Sacrament was received by the faithfull under both kindes, yet this custome was introduced with good reason, that it should be taken in both kindes by those that consecrate, but by the Laicks under the species of bread onely.* And the Councell of *Basil* in the 30. Session: *The Laicks are not bound by the Commandement of our Lord, to receive the Sacrament under both kindes. These Councils doe acknowledge, that Gods Commandement, and the custome of the ancient Church, is to give both kindes to the people, but they will not suffer the Church to be obliged*

ged to this Commandement: and when our Adversaries endeavour to defend themselves in this point by antiquity, they vouch examples of some sicke persons receiving but in one kinde, or of some that could not taste or endure wine, or of some superstitious people, who would carry the consecrated Bread from the Church home to their houses, and there locke it up in coffers: but they bring no prohibition of giving the Cup to the Laicks, nor any example of any auncient Church, which hath celebrated the holy Sacrament in the assembly of the faithfull, without offering the Cup to the Communicants; nor any example of deniall to administer the Cup to the people: yet this is the point of difference, and which is now in controversy. It was upon this abridgement of the Cup, that Cardinal

Perrin

and your point is, that the Church was able to change the institution of our Lord, and the commandement of the Apostle.

As for painting God and representing the Trinity in stone or in wood, this tradition hath not been received by all, nor at all times, and consequently is neither Divine nor Apostolique, I could produce multitudes of passages of Ancients that condemne this abuse, but for the present, the testimony of Pope Gregory the second, and Cardinall Baronsius shall suffice. This Cardinall in the yeare 726. of his annales, citeth an Epistle of the same Gregory, wherein are found these words: *Why doe not wee place before our eyes, and set forth in painting the father of our Lord Iesus Christ? it is because we know not what he is, and the nature of God cannot bee painted or brought*

6.  
Images of  
God.



*Postea vñ  
venit, ut pin-  
gatur in Ec-  
clesia Deus  
Pater et Spi-  
ritus sanctus.*

brought in sight. Vpon which words *Baronius* noted this saying in the margent: *Afterwards it came by custome in the Church, that God the father and the holy Ghost were painted,* this is therefore new, and hath not beene received by all and at all times in the Catholique Church.

7.  
Service of  
Images.

The service of Images was so generally vnkowne in the primitive Church of the three first ages, that the very trades of painting and graving were execrable amongst the Christians. *Tertullian* reproveth *Hermogenes* his trade of painting as a thing infamous. *Clement Alexandrinus* in his doctrinall, and *Iustin Martyr* against *Trophon* speake of these trades as of unlawful Arts.

8.  
Depositiō  
of Kings.

The power of Popes to depose Kings, and give and take Kingdomes, is yet of a fresh date, and there is not found any rule for it

nor example, nor the least signe of  
 footing in all antiquity. *Perron* in  
 his Oration pronounced before the  
 States of Paris the 15 of Jan. 1615.  
 could not yeld one example for  
 the five first ages, and those which  
 he alleageth before the yere 1076.  
 are all either false or uselesse. *Gre-*  
*gory* the seventh in the yere 1076.  
 pronouncing sentence of deposi-  
 tion against the Emperour *Henry*  
 the 4. enterprised a thing with-  
 out example, which also turned to  
 his confusion. Cardinall *Bellar-*  
*mine* disputing this same question  
 against *Barkley*, perceiving him-  
 selfe destitute of all testimony of  
 antiquity, hath recourse to the au-  
 thority of the Church of the lar-  
 ter ages, saying: *Hee judgeth not*  
*rightly of the Church of Christ, who re-*  
*serveth nothing but that which he rep-*  
*reth, so have beene expresly written or*  
*done in the ancient Church, as if the*  
*Church*

*Bellarmin*  
*Barkl. cap. 3.*  
*Non recte de*  
*Ecclesia Chri-*  
*sti sentit, qui*  
*nihil admis-*  
*tit, nisi quod*  
*expresse in*  
*veteri Ecclesia*  
*scriptum*



aut salu esse  
regitur. Qua-  
si Ecclesia po-  
testatem tem-  
poris aut defi-  
nit esse Ec-  
clesia, aut sa-  
cultatem non  
habeat expli-  
candi et de-  
clarandi con-  
stituenti etiam  
et ubi qua-  
ad fidem &  
morem Chri-  
stianos per-  
tinet.

Church of this latter time had ceased to be a Church, or had not the faculty of unfolding and declaring, yea of establishing, and commanding things appertaining to the Faith and manners of Christians. In brieft, this usurpation is not a Tradition received by all, and in all time, nor could be at that time practised, when the Christian Emperours deposed Popes, and made them prisoners, and punished them with death, and tooke of them a summe of money for their reintegration in the Bishoprick, as may be seene in the 123. Nov. of *Iustinian*, chap. 3.

9.  
Canon of  
the Masse.

And how can the Cannon of the Masse be an Apostolique Tradition, when the persons therein named were after the Apostles time, more then two hundred yeeres; namely *Cosmus* and *Damian*, who suffered martyrdom in the yeere of our Lord, 285. like

wife

wise wee could produce many au-  
tuors amongst our adversaries,  
who affirme that this Pope and  
that Pope have added such and  
such a parceil to the Masse,  
and yet the whole Canon  
of the Masse is contrary both  
to Purgatory, to the merit of  
Workes, and to Transubstantiation,  
for in the Canons of the Masse  
the Priest prayeth for the soules  
*that sleepe in peaceable rest and tran-  
quillity*, and not for those that are  
tormented in a fire, and craveth at  
Gods hands *that he will not weigh  
our merits, but that hee will grant us  
pardon*, and holding the consecra-  
ted host, sayth, *These are the good  
things which God alwaies createth.  
sanctifieth, and blesseth, offering them  
through Iesus Christ*, and humbly  
beseecheth that God would as  
freely accept of these gifts and  
presents, as of the Calfe or Lamb  
offered

*Voyez les li-  
vres des my-  
stères de la  
Messe de In-  
nocent 3. Et  
Platine en la  
vie de Sixte  
1. Et le Pon-  
tifical de  
Damasc.*

*Canon Masse.  
Non estima-  
tor meriti sed  
venia largi-  
tor.*

*Per quod hac  
omnis Domi-  
ne semper bon-  
na creat, san-  
ctificat, benedi-  
cit.*

*Supra qua  
(domine) pro-  
picio ac pro-  
no vultu ra-  
dicare digna-  
ri et accepta  
habeas sicut  
accepta habere  
dignatus  
es munera  
parvi tui agni  
Abel.*

offered by *Abel*, of all this there is nothing but may sort well and agree with Iesus Christ, and true it is that the Masse being punctually considered, appeacherh and condemneth the Romish Church of this time, and (as now it is,) must bee farre differing from that of heeretofore; for *Gregory* the first, in the 63. Epistle of his 7. booke affirmeth, that the Apostles did consecrate the Eucharist with only the Lords prayer.

ro.  
Monasti-  
call pro-  
fession.

As for Monasticall profession, it can neither bee a Divine nor Apostolicall Tradition, nor beleevd alwayes by all, for *Paul* the Hermit was the first of that calling, and made no disciples at all, but died in the yeere of our Lord 343. Particularly in the Church of Rome, this profession was neither scene nor practised, untill about the yeere of our Lord 370. for  
this

this is the time whereof Saint Hierome speaketh, in his Epitaph of Marcella, *No Women* (saith he) of great parentage knew yet at Rome what this Monacall profession did meane, nor durst take this name, which was so vile and ignominious amongst the people, because of the novelty of the thing, as then it was esteemed, moreover the Monkes of that time were of a farr different condition from these of this our time.

In summe, (not to runne over all the traditions of the Romish Church,) I maintaine that in the foure first ages (I could descend a little lower) no ancient Church can be shewen vnto us, which hath approoved, 1. The Masses without Communicants. 2. The images of the Trinity. 3. Or that hath made mention of the treasure of Romish Indulgences. 4. Or that hath forbidden the people to  
O read

read the sacred Scripture. 5. Or that hath deprived the people of the Communion of the Cup. 6. Or that hath rendered any Religious service to Images. 7. Or that hath instructed the People to pray to God in a tongue not understood by him that prayeth. 8. Or that hath called the virgine *Mary* Queene of heaven, and Lady of the word. 9. Or that hath beleev'd the *Limbus* for little infants. 10. Or that hath taught, that the Pope can give and take kingdoms. 11. Or that the Pope can canonize Saints, and free soules out of Purgatory, I could reherse many more if need were.

Pope *Martin* in his Canon *Siquis Presbiter* the 30. Dist. ordaineth for an Apostolicall Tradition, the prohibition of kneeling at Prayer, betweene the Paschall and Pentecost; yet doth it well appeare

peare in the 20, of the *Acts* ver. 36. and in the 21. ver. the 5. that Saint Paul and the faithfull with him, humbled themselves upon their knees at that time, whereupon *Baronius* in his *Annales*, reprehenderth this Pope for having celebrated the Pentecost *nequaquam Christiano more*, not after a Christian fashion. *Ann.* 58. *Seet.* 102. but if this custome be an Apostolique Tradition, why doth not the Church of Rome containe the practise thereof?

### C A P. XIIII.

*A prooffe of the same, by the Traditions which our adversaries doe suppose to be the most ancient and best grounded in antiquity.*

**T**O the end it may not be sayd, that for our advantage, wee  
 O 2                      picke



picke out their most moderne Traditions, and least countenanced with antiquity. I will incist upon three, wherein our adversaries take themselves to stand upon surest ground, and labour to overwhelm vs with texts and places out of the Fathers; the first is prayer for the Dead, secondly Lent, thirdly single life of the Clergy.

I.  
Prayer for  
the dead.

For the first, I say that prayers for the Dead, which the Romish Church doth exercise, and are made for the comfort of Soules in Purgatory, are so moderne as not to have any mention or trace of them in all antiquity. For wee have already expressed, and will further demonstrate in its proper place, that the ancient Christians prayed for the dead, sleeping in a peaceable repose, and kept in hidden receptacles, expecting the Resurrection; praying likewise that  
the

the dead should rise againe to sal-  
vation, or that they should be raised  
at a better houre then others, or  
that the fire at the last day of judg-  
ment should burne them more su-  
perficially and sparingly, but for  
a prayer to ease and mitigate a bur-  
ning soule in the fire of Purgatory,  
there is not any found in all anti-  
quity: yea, in all the prayers of the  
Church of Rome, which are found  
in the Masse for the dead, there is  
not so much as any whispering of  
Purgatory; and yet the Canon of  
the Masse prayeth for the soules  
that sleepe in peaceable quietnesse:  
and at this day the Greek and Ori-  
entall Churches pray for the dead,  
and deny Purgatory. The second  
booke of the *Maccabees* at the 12. c.  
wissheth us to pray for the dead,  
having respect to the resurrection,  
saying likewise that to pray other-  
wise then thus, were trifling and  
dotage,

dotage; briefly, I say that the prayers which the ancient Christians did make for the dead, are utterly abolished in the Church of Rome; and that hee who should pray at this time for the dead, after the manner of the ancient Church, shall be branded for an Heretike, and not escape the Inquisition, for such kind of prayers would not be gainefull to the Romish Clergy; and the power of the Pope (not long since invented) to give Indulgences to the dead, (and condemned by Pope *Gelasius*,) should vanish by such prayers, and the trafficke therein should be dissolved.

*Gelasius  
Comonito-  
rio ad Fau-  
stum. Super  
perrā (inquit)  
nam in hac  
legatione do-  
functum nun-  
quam dixit  
absolvi.*

2.

Lent.

Secondly, Lent, (that is to say, the custome of not eating flesh, nor egges for sixe and forty dayes before *Easter*) is not a tradition received into the Church from the beginning; indeed the word *Quadragesima* is found often times in  
the

the Fathers of the fourth and fifth ages: but in the pure and unsuspected writings of the Fathers of the three first ages, I never met with it, and we must note that this word (to take it originally) did signifie a fast of forty houres before *Easter*, which observation the ancient Christians grounded upon that which Iesus Christ spake in the 9. of Saint *Matthew*, *They shall fast when the Spouse shall bee taken from them*. Now the spouse, to weet, Iesus Christ was taken from his Disciples for forty houres: for there are just so many houres from the time that hee was nailed to the Crosse unto his resurrection. Nevertheless the customes did forthwith vary, some fasting two daies, some three, some five, but though the custome did alter, yet the ancient name did still remaine, and according as the observation hereof

did increase, so in conclusion, this Fast of forty houres is become by little and little a Fast of forty dayes, whereof every one among the people did fast his day, according as he saw good, except the Sabbath dayes: for to fast on Gods day was judged a crime, the Spouse beeing rendred to the Church on that day by his resurrection. There was none but the Church of Rome that fasted on Saturdayes, whereof also it was condemned by the sixth generall Councell at the 55. Canon: yea at Milan which is neere Rome, they fasted not on Saturdayes, as *Saint Augustine* testifieth in his 118. Epistle.

*J'ay prouvé  
tout ce la par  
multitude de  
passages au 7  
liure contre le  
Cardinal du  
Perron en la  
5. contraverse  
cap. 6. 7. et 8.*

3.  
Single life  
of Priests.

Thirdly, single life of Priests and Bishops cannot be an Apostolicall Tradition, because it was not practised at the time of the Apostles, nor many ages after them. But ha-  
ving

ving spoken of this elsewhere at large, I will content my selfe for the present, with the testimony of the two most famous Cardinals of this age, *Barronius*, and *Perron*. *Baronius* in the 58. yeere of his *Annales* acknowledgeth, that married men were received to the function of Bishop at the Apostles time, whereof hee alledgeth divers causes, and namely amongst the rest, the scarcity of unmarried men, especially in Crete. And *Perron* affirmeth, that this permission lasted untill the time of *Constantine*, that is to say, during the three first ages. But if he would have confessed the whole truth, he had acknowledged that in the Greeke Churches, never was any time when Priests were not married, yea they so continue to this very day. And the 13. Canon of the sixt generall Council, called at the Imperial Palace of Con-

*Bar. Ann. 58*  
*§. 14.*

Du Perron  
côtre le Roy  
de la grand  
Bretagne  
pag. 312.  
*A cause, re-  
spondrons  
nous, de la  
rareté des  
personnes ma-  
riées lors de  
la naissance  
de l'Eglise,  
etc. Mais de-  
puis comme  
l'Empereur  
Constantin,  
etc.*



Constantinople, doth formally  
condemne the Church of Rome  
upon this subject. *Estius* Doctor  
and Professor at Doway, in his  
Commentary upon this passage of

*Faciendum est  
Apostolum  
permittere ut  
in Episcopum  
alegatur qui  
uxorem ha-  
beat, verum  
ad pro tempore,  
propter pau-  
citatē eorū  
qui ex calibes  
essent, & ad  
Episcopatum  
idonei.*

the Apostle 1 Tim. 3. *Let the Bi-  
shop be husband of one wife*, speaketh  
thus: *We must confesse that the Apo-  
stle suffereth us to chuse a Bishop that  
is married to one wife: but he teacheth  
this according to the time, because of  
the fewnesse of unmarried men, and of  
such as were fit for the function of a Bi-  
shop.* Therefore this Tradition clai-  
meth not the Apostles to bee the  
Authours of it, and consequently  
is not Apostolicall; nor hath it  
beene received at all times, and in  
all places.

I have insisted hereupon, not  
that wee should have need of the  
authority of the ancients, to fight  
against Romish Traditions, (for re-  
futation whereof, the word of God

is

is sufficient, and is only that which ought to judge us : ) but to shew that our Adversaries, supposing to establish their Traditions, doe plainly destroy them, and doe giue such notes, whereby they draw their owne inditement and conviction.

Neverthelesse it is not without craft, that they will have Traditions to bee examined by this touchstone : to wit, whether they have beene universally received at all times. For they know, that of those who would examine their Traditions by this way, scarce one amongst a thousand can attaine to the head of them, and that the people can inform themselves nothing at all therein : for this examination cannot bee made, but by the reading of all the Greeke and Latine Fathers, and of all the Ecclesiasticall histories since the continuati-  
on

on of sixteene hundred yeeres. All the bookes to this purpose would fill a spacious roome, and are no more then sealed letters to the people; yea amongst the Clergie, not one of a hundred will bee found that hath but ordinary knowledge therein. By this meanes our Adversaries contrive the matter, that when their Traditions come to be examined, a way must bee undertaken that is endlesse, wherein the people walke blindfold, and are constrained to repaire to the testimony of such men as preach these Traditions, and live by them: truly if by these directions men expect to arrive at the knowledge of salvation, I know not who can be saved.

The which most cleerely appeareth in this, that the holy Scripture being the short and sure means to examine Traditions, they seque-

ster

ster it farre from the peoples eyes, and divert them from reading therof, appointing them to books, wherein they are neither comprehensive, nor capable. It appeareth likewise in the examination of Traditions by the history of every age, wherein ordinarily they commence with the last age, and so walke retrograde in the calculation of their times, to the end they may arrive as late as possibly they can at the Apostles time and their writings.

---

### CHAP. XV.

*The second marke set by our Adversaries, to distinguish the good Traditions from the bad, to wit, Succession.*

**T**O discerne the good Traditions from the bad, our Adversaries agree, that those ought to be held

held for divine and Apostolicall, which are received by the Churches that derive their succession from the Apostles.

This marke hath no more certainty then the former, and maketh as much against our Adversaries. The doubtfulnesse of it is manifest in this, that the Churches of Antioch, of Alexandria, of Ephesus, of Thessalonica, of Candia, &c. (which are contrary to the Romish Church, and more ancient) doe boast themselves to bee of equall succession, and one part of them challengeth a succession from Saint *Peter*: yea before the Churches of Alexandria and Antioch did suffer any interruption, by the persecutions of the Mahumetans, they were often in discord with the Church of Rome, and were not in any wise subject unto it: and more particularly, the Church of Thessalonica

salonica founded upon Saint *Paul*, and the Church of *Candia* where Saint *Paul* established *Titus*, (from whom descended the Bishops of *Candia*) keepe a succession from the Apostles, which never was interrupted, and hath continued since the time of Christ, who speaking from heaven, sent the Apostle Saint *Paul*; yet notwithstanding these Churches are separated from a communion with the Church of Rome, and the Pope holdeth them for Schismatickes and Heretikes.

As for the Bishop of Rome, so many schismes dividing, and so many heresies tainting his Seat, (as our Adversaries themselves confesse, and we have elsewhere proved) have long since broken the ranke of this imaginary succession.

Also the uncertainty of this succession betrayeth it selfe, in that it is a meere tradition, so as if the service



vice of Images, or the Communion under one kinde, be founded upon succession; behold then Traditions founded upon a Tradition, and this Tradition founded upon humane histories, which may mistake, yea often doe jarre and disagree: wherefore this is an uncertainty founded upon another uncertainty, as atomes and motes carried upon the aire.

But how shall a Mechanicke, or a woman know this succession? How shall they be assured that the second Bishop of Rome hath believed in the points of Religion as the first, the third as the second, the fourth as the third, and so for sixteene hundred yeeres, though there never might have happened any alteration? Who doth not perceive that these men, by a palpable falshood invent projects, whereof they know that the knowledge

is impossible, and wherein the search is a labour in vaine, to the end that the ignorant finding themselves muffled up in darknesse, may catch hold and graspe the hand, which these men stretch forth to them, to be conductours of their blindnesse?

Yet let us briefly observe, what this succession of the Church of Rome may signifie or bee: they bring us clauses of ancient Authours, reporting the Bishop of Rome to be Saint *Peters* Successor; and shew a Nomenclature of the Bishops from Saint *Peter* to this day: but we finde that the ancients make such another Catalogue of the Bishops of Ierusalem, and of Antioch, whose succession is of greater antiquity then that of the Bishops of Rome. The Bishops of Alexandria likewise draw out their succession from Saint *Peter*.

P

But

But our Adversaries will not have this succession to be esteemed, for (say they) those Churches are hereticall, and yet the same Churches also call the Church of Rome hereticall and schismaticall. Herein then lieth the point of difficulty, the ancients reckon up the Successours of Saint *Peter*, and other Apostles in Iernusalem, in Antioch, at Rome, and at Alexandria: but they meane not they should bee Successours of the Apostles in the Apostleship, they meane onely in the governement of such and such a particular Church, planted by one of the Apostles. So, putting the case that Saint *Peter* founded the Church of Rome, and was there Bishop, (which neverthelesse is doubtfull and mistrusted) I will also agree that the Bishops of Rome of the first ages have bene Successours to Saint *Peter*, but in  
the

the Bishopricke of the Church of the city of Rome onely. In the same manner as *Simon* was Successour to Saint *James* the Apostle in the Bishopricke of Ierusalem, and *Timothy* Successour to Saint *Paul* in the Bishopricke of Ephesus, but not in his Apostleship.

Our Adversaries profit nothing by their allegations, if they prove not first by testimonies both divine and irrefragable, that God hath ordained Saint *Peter* to have a Successour in his Apostleship, and that the Bishop of Rome was Successour to Saint *Peter*, in the dignity of the head of the universal Church. For ought not this succession to have come from God? Did God establish a supreme and successive head over the Church of all the world, without making any mention of it in his word? And did Saint *Peter* him-

P 2 selfe

selfe forget to speake of this succession, from whence we have two long Epistles? See then whereupon we stand, and how our Adversaries are taken. All their Religion is founded upon this Tradition, to wit, that the Pope hath beene ordained from God, to bee the Successour of Saint *Peter*, in the charge of head of the universall Church; hereunto they bend their force, this is the scope of all the controversies. And yet concerning this Tradition, they cannot produce one poore divine truth, nor one single word out of the word of God: yea, when it commeth to humane testimonies, it is apparant that they are contrary to this Monarchicall succession of the Pope of Rome.

In one thing our Adversaries finde themselves much incumbered: We demand of them, when they

they speake of a succession, whether they understand it of persons without succession of doctrine, or of a succession of persons in the same doctrine : If they understand a succession of persons, sitting in the same chaire, without succession of doctrine, this succession is impious, serving for a title of succession to make warre against God. Hee that corrupteth the Doctrine of his Predecessors, succeedeth them as sicknesse succeedeth health, and darknesse light ; so Gregory of Nazianzene speaketh in his Oration upon Athanasius : *To have the same Doctrine (saith he) is to have the same Seat ; but to have a contrary Doctrine, is to have a contrary Seat : one hath the name, the other hath the truth of the succession, &c. Vnlesse a man will call it succession, when the maladie succeedeth health, and darknesse the light.*

But if our Adversaries speaking

τὸ μὲν γὰρ  
ὁμοῦ καὶ  
ὁμοῦ καὶ  
τον. τὸ δὲ  
ἀντιθέτον  
καὶ ἀντιθέτον  
τον καὶ τὸ  
καθίσταται,  
ἢ δὲ  
ἀλλήθινον  
ἔχει δια-  
δοχῆς—  
εἰ μὴ ὅτι  
πρὸς λέγει  
διαδοχῆς  
ὡς. ἵδου  
ὕμεις αὖ,  
ὡς τὸ  
ἐστίν.



of succession, understand it of persons, not only in the same Chaire, but also in the same Doctrine, this succession is excellent, and a singular ornament in a Church: no man can erre in adhering to such a succession, for it carrieth conformity of Doctrine with the Apostles: and before this succession can bee knowne, it is behovefull to be instructed in the writings of the Apostles, and in their Doctrine.

*Iren. lib. 4.  
cap. 43. En-  
qui in Eccle-  
sia sunt Pres-  
byteri obedi-  
re oportet, his  
qui successio-  
nem habent ab  
Apostolu qui  
cum Episco-  
patus succes-  
sione charis-  
ma veritatis  
certū secundū  
placitū Pa-  
tris accepere-  
runt.*

*Tertull. de  
præscr. c. 21  
Ista eorū do-  
ctrina cum*

Such a succession is that whereof *Irenæus* speaketh, saying, *That we ought to obey Priests, who are in the Church, who have the succession of the Apostles, and with the succession of the Bishopricke have received the certaine talent of the truth.* Not acknowledging succession in the Bishopricke, without succession in the truth of the Doctrine. And *Tertullian* speaking of the Heretikes: *Their Doctrine being compared with that of the Apostles,*

Apostles, by the diversity and contrariety therein, will plainly demonstrate, that it bath not any Apostle for Author, nor any one that is Apostolicall. Snatching this specious title of Apostolike succession from those, who taught otherwise then the Apostles had done.

It is no small abuse (when wee would know whether a Religion bee true or no) to give us a list of Bishops in painting and pictures, without knowing whether the latter doe teach as the first; pinning religion unto the Chaires, to the end to suppress the true rules and institutions: as also to divert the people from reading of the holy Scripture, for feare lest they should apprehend the conformity in Doctrine with the Apostles, which is the true succession.

We must note by the way, that in the time of *Irenaeus* and *Tertulian*,

*Apostolica comparata ex diversitate & contrarietate doctrinae pronuntians neque Apostolicum alicuius auctoritatem esse neque Apostolicum.*

lian, who wrote about six score yeeres after the Apostles, it was easie to shew the succession; Then ( I meane ) when the Churches wherein the Apostles had taught, did hold one and the same faith: I say, when the succession was of no great length, and the memory of the Apostles and their disciples preaching was fresh and familiar. But now that the Churches which were planted by the Apostles are divided into contrary Sects, and separated from communion, and that the confusions abounding in space of some fifteene hundred yeeres, have throwne downe so many Chaires, and reared up others, and that particularly the Bishopricke of Rome is turned into a temporall Monarchy, and the Pope of a Bishop is become a temporall Prince, this successive derivation of Chaires in the East and West is impossible,

impossible, considering that the entayle of this succession hath beene thousands of times cut off, so that it is a meere imposture, to enter into this sea of Histories and confusions, to the end to examine the Traditions, in stead of addressing our selves to the word of God.

---

## CHAP. XVI.

*That the Pharisees and ancient Hereticks had recourse to Tradition, and the unwritten word, and that Clemens Alexandrinus suffered himselfe to bee too much carried away in the same.*

**T**He custome of Heretickes (both ancient and moderne) is, when they are at default in Scripture, to have recourse to Traditions,

ons; *Iosephus* in his 3. booke of Antiquities ch. the 18. affirmeth, that *The Pharisees had very many observations by the successive Tradition of their Fathers, which are not written in the law of Moses.* Whereupon *Iesus Christ* at the 15. of Saint *Matt.* the 3. & 9. accuseth them to have transgressed the Law of God by their Tradition; which Pharisaical Traditions were doctrines, that for the most part commanded things not expressely forbidden in the Law of God: as to clense their Pots and Vessell, to wash their bodies at returne from Market, to lengthen out their Phylacteries, to fast twice in a weeke, to poure forth longer prayers then ordinary, to make conscience of healing the sicke, or journeying more then two miles upon the Sabbath. This I observe, to the end it may not be sayd, that *Iesus Christ* condemneth them only

only for teaching things expressly forbidden in the law of God.

*Tertullian* in his booke of prescriptions, chap. the 25. telleth us, that the Heretikes of his time affirmed, *That the Apostles had not revealed all things to all, but that they had commanded some things openly, and some in secret and to few.*

*Non omnia  
volunt illi  
omnibus re-  
velasse, quodā  
enim palam  
& universi,  
quodā secre-  
tū et paucis  
demandasse.*

But the same *Tertullian* after hee had written this booke, applieth himselfe to defend the heresies of *Montanus*, by the unwritten word, speaking in the second Chapter of his booke of *Monogamy*, that *Christ* pronounced his opinion thereupon, when he sayd, *I have many things to tell you, but you cannot at this time beare them away.*

*De utroque  
autē Dami-  
nus promissi-  
avit. Ad nos  
habet multa  
qua loquar  
ad vos, &c.*

*Irenaeus* lived at the same time, who in his first Booke and fourth Chap. saith, that *The Carpocratian Heretikes affirmed, that Iesus had spoken in private to his Disciples, and had required*

*Iesum in my-  
stero discipu-  
lu suis secre-  
tum &  
illis exposu-  
isse ut digni*



& assensu-  
tibus seorsum  
hac traderes.

Cum ex scrip-  
tura arguun-  
tur in accu-  
sationem cen-  
turiuntur  
scripturarum,  
quasi nō recte  
habeant, neq;  
sint ex autho-  
ritate, et quia  
varia sunt di-  
cta, & quia  
non possit ex  
his inveniri  
veritas ab his  
qui nesciunt  
traditionem.  
Non enim  
per litteras  
traditū illā,  
sed per vi-  
vā vocem.

Ξέναι τὰς  
ἐν ἁπο-  
λὲς τοῦ σω-  
τῆρος, καὶ  
διδασκα-  
λίας αὐτῆς  
καὶ ἴσα  
ἀλλὰ μὴ  
δικαίως.

required of them, that they should teach  
these things a part to the worthy, and  
to such as give their approbation there-  
of, and in his 2. ch. of the 3. booke.  
When they are confuted by the Scrip-  
tures they revile, and turne againe to  
accuse the Scriptures themselves; as if  
they were not as they should be, and had  
not sufficient authority, and because  
matters therein are diversly spoken, and  
that in them the truth cannot be found  
by those who are ignorant of Tradition;  
which they say was not give by writing  
but, viva voce, by word of mouth.

Some twenty yeeres after the  
death of Saint Iohn, one of his dis-  
ciples named Papias Bishop of Hie-  
rapolis, addicted himselfe to the un-  
written Traditions, the which were  
Parables, and strange doctrines, and  
other fabulous devices, as Eusebius  
witnesseth, at the last Chapter of  
the 3. Booke of his Ecclesiasticall  
History.

Clemens

*Clemens Alexandrinus* a most worthy Author to be read, (but one who hath his infirmities) venteth many vaine things and false doctrines, drawne from Tradition, as for example, that the Greekes were justified by Phylosophy; that Iesus Christ descended into hell to Preach to the Iewes; that the Apostles also descended thither, to Preach to the Gentiles; and many other the like fancies, all his bookes of his *Stromata* are full of them, especially the sixth.

The followers of *Artemon* the heretick teaching matters not contained in the Scripture, professed that *They did exercise them from their predecessors, yea from the Apostles*, as *Eusebius* hath it in the 5. booke of his history chap. the 25.

Saint *Augustine* writing vpon Saint *Iohn* at his 97. Treatise, hath these words: *The most senselesse Hereticks,*

φασι γὰρ  
τὸν μὲν  
προσβυλί-  
κον αἰ πα-  
τερων, καὶ αὐ-  
τῶν ἀποστό-  
λων παρ-  
αδοξάσαι  
καὶ πάντων  
αὐτῶν ἀ-  
ποστόλων  
ὁμοῦς ἐν-  
ταύτῃ ὁ-  
μολογῆσαι

retici audaci-  
as signa. non  
suorum quas  
maxime ex-  
hibet sensus  
humani, hac  
occasione E-  
vangelica sen-  
tentia colora-  
re nituntur,  
ubi Dominus  
ait, adhuc  
multa habeo  
vobis dicere  
sed non pote-  
sti portare  
modo, quasi  
hac ip. a sine  
qua tunc dis-  
cipuli portare  
non poterant.

Esse aliquas  
veras traditi-  
ones probatur  
testimonijs  
Scripturarū.  
Primum est  
Ioh. 16.  
Multa habeo  
vobis dicere,  
sed non pote-  
sti portare  
modo.

Hereticks, who would be called Christi-  
ans, strive to colour their bold inventi-  
ons (which are abhorred by humane  
sense) with the pretext of this evange-  
licall sentence, where our Lord spea-  
keth, I have yet many things to tell  
you, but you cannot beare them at this  
time, as if these were the same  
things which the Apostles could  
not then carry, we shall not there-  
fore affront or wrong Cardinall  
Bellarmine, if we ranke him amongst  
those, which *Augustine* calleth most  
senselesse Hereticks, seeing that hee  
speaketh as these hereticks, im-  
ploying the same quotation to  
proove Romish Traditions, spea-  
king thus in the 5. Chapter of his  
booke of the unwritten word:  
It is proved by testimony of Scripture,  
that there are some true Traditions, the  
first testimony is at the 16. of Saint  
Iohn: I have many things to tell  
you, &c. Thus have the ancient

Here-

Heretickes no want at all of Disciples.

CHAP. XVII.

*An examination of the passages of Scripture, whereon they found Traditions.*

**O**Ur adversaries ground the authority of the Scripture upon unwritten Tradition, whence it followeth (if they had but reason for it) that unwritten Tradition is not grounded upon the Scripture: they contest therefore against themselves, when they endeavour to ground Tradition upon the Scripture, but let us heare their proofes.

In imitation of the ancient Heretickes, they alledge these words of our Lord Iesus to his Apostles, at the 16. of Saint Iohn, verse 12. *I have yet more things to tell you, &c.*  
This

This is the passage that served the  
 ancient Hereticks turne, to prove  
 their Traditions; as *Textal.* witnesseth  
 in his booke of Prescriptions;  
 Chap. 22. such imaginations (if  
 men would beleeve them) are;  
 The succession of the Pope in the  
 Apostleship of Saint Peter; invoca-  
 tion of Saints; service to images,  
 the power of the Pope to draw  
 soules out of Purgatory, &c. And  
 they pronounce this without any  
 prooffe, save only because their  
 selves doe say it, and the Pope will  
 have it so to be, unto whom these  
 Traditions are very gainefull; But  
 wee had rather beleeve in Iesus  
 Christ, who expoundeth himselfe  
 in the same place: for at the verse  
 following he declareth to his Dis-  
 ciples, that the spirit of truth should  
 approach, and teach them *the things*  
*to come,* that is to say, the future  
 events of things foretold in the E-  
 pistles

pistles written by the Apostles; as  
 for example, that there should arise <sup>2. Tim. 4.</sup>  
 false Doctors, teaching to abstaine  
 from mariage and victual; and that  
 the son of perdition should name <sup>2. Thess. 2.</sup>  
 himselte God, and should practise  
 with signes & miracles to seduce;  
 and that the great Whore clothed <sup>Apoec. 17.</sup>  
 in scarlet, sitting in a Towne of se-  
 ven mountaines, should intoxicate  
 Kings and glut her selfe with the  
 blood of the faithfull, &c. As also  
 the estate and condition of the  
 Christian Church, and of the spi-  
 rituall kingdome of Iesus Christ,  
 which the Apostles did not as then  
 fully comprehend.

Aboute all, they presse the 15.  
 verse of the second chapter of the  
 second to the *Thessalonians*: *There-  
 fore (brethren) stand fast, and hold the  
 traditions which ye have bene taught,  
 whether by word, or our Epistle.*

The word Tradition which the <sup>System.</sup>  
 Apostle

Q



An. r. ch. de  
ceste con-  
troverſie.

Apoſtle maketh uſe of, doth pur-  
port and ſignifie all inſtruction. In  
this ſenſe the Scripture it ſelfe is a  
Tradition, as wee have already  
proved.

As touching this paſſage our ad-  
uerſaries doe inferre, that beſides  
the Epiſtle which S. Paul wrote to  
the *Thēſſalonians*, hee had vttered  
vnto them many things by word  
of mouth: vnto which I ſhall wil-  
lingly condeſcend; for wee would  
not maintaine that the firſt Epiſtle  
to the *Thēſſalonians*, contained all  
the doctrine of ſalvation; our di-  
ſpute is not whether a little Epiſtle  
of Saint Paul, but whether the old  
and new Teſtament containe all  
that is neceſſary to ſalvation; there-  
fore this paſſage is not to purpoſe.

Moreover, when the ſame A-  
poſtle did ſay, *Hold the traditions*  
*which you haue learned by our word*  
*or by the holy Scriptures*, it muſt not  
be

bee thereupon concluded, that the mysteries which hee had tolde them, were others then those that are written: for the same thing may be taught by divers meanes.

And when the precepts delivered by the Apostles mouth, had some disparitie with those that are written, wee could say, that such things flowing from the Apostles mouth (over and aboue that which is found in the Scriptures) were not poynts of Faith, but Ordinances touching Ecclesiasticall policie.

Yea when wee shall haue yeelded to our aduersaries all that they wish and long for, yet is all fruitlesse and nothing done by them, unlesse they proue that these Traditions, which they say were given to the Thessalonians by mouth, are the poynts whereof consisteth our controverfie: to wit, the Popes

*Supremacie* over the Church of the whole world, Romish Indulgences, single life of Priests, the Communion under one kind, borrowed Satisfaction, a restraint of reading the Scripture, Masses without Communicants, Prayers wherein the Petitioner understandeth nothing, the power of the Pope to release soules out of Purgatory, and to depose Kings, &c. which are Traditions of a new impression, and which the Church of the Thessalonians (yet subsisting, and hath so continued since the Apostle Saint *Paul*) did never beleue, nor as yet alloweth of their validity, but defiecth them with all loathing and detestation.

Saint *Ambrose* in his Commentarie vpon this place, by the Tradition whereof the Apostle speaketh vnderstands the doctrine of the Gospell, which our Adversaries

ies would not deny to bee con-  
tained in the New Testament: To the  
end (saith he) that the foreknowledge  
of God should remaine in their salua-  
tion; hee admonisheth them to stand  
fast, and perseuere in the tradition of  
the Gospell.

*Ve prakti-  
cia Dei ma-  
nent in salu-  
te illorum,  
ideo in  
traditione E-  
uangelij stan-  
dū ac perse-  
uerandum  
monet.*

I am of opinion I shall preuent  
our Adversaries, from interrupting  
mee more in the passages which  
they alleadge. Saint Paul saith,  
We speake wisdom among those that  
are perfect, 1. Cor. 2. 6. And againe  
haue before thine eyes, and hold fast the  
patterne or forme of sound words,  
which thou hast heard of me, 2. Tim.  
1. 13. In a third place, now I praise  
you that you remember mee in all  
things, and keepe my Ordinances, as I  
deliuered them vnto you, 1. Cor. 11.  
2. Ergo (for so they conclude) the  
things which are preached are dif-  
fering from those that are written.  
And what are the things? Invoca-

tion of Saints, seruice to Images  
 &c. In all this what a defect there  
 is of common sense? The jaw  
 bone of *Sampsons* Ass, or *Twin*  
 dog might be as well imployed.

Concerning the words in the  
 16. of the *Acts* at the 4. That *Paul*  
 and *Silas*, passing through the *Cities*,  
 instructed them to keepe the *Ordina-*  
*nces* decreed by the *Apostles*, and by the  
*Elders of Ierusalem*: In these *Or-*  
*dinances* are vnderstood, the re-  
 straints of eating blood, & strang-  
 led creatures, whereof mention is  
 made in *Acts* the 15. for in this  
 voyage *Paul* and *Silas* were bearers  
 of this Ordinance: and *Paul* was  
 expressly sent to performe the  
 same. Now, this Ordinance is  
 written, as also the alteration was  
 made since the *Apostles* time; and  
 it is but a Ceremony ordained for  
 a time, and not a doctrine necessa-  
 ry to salvation: and when, some

Ord

Ordinances should be here vnder-  
stood; how shall it be proued vnto  
us; that these Ordinances are o-  
thers then those that are written?  
how shall it bee proued vnto vs,  
that these ordinances were invoca-  
tion of Saints, adoration of Re-  
liques, the Popes Supremacie, &c.  
This will never bee proued.

CHAP. XVIII.

*An answer to that which is objected  
unto us, that the Church hath bene  
sometime without the Scripture.*

**T**O undervalue the authority of  
the Scripture, and to make it  
unnecessary, it is objected unto us,  
that the Church from the creation  
untill Moses, for the space of 2454  
yeeres, hath bene without the  
Scripture. And that (as Irenaeus is  
witnessse) from the time of the A-  
postles



apostles and their Disciples, for  
 nations wherunto the writings of  
 the Apostles were not yet at the  
 time come, have not omitted to  
 conserve the purity of the Gospel.  
 To which wee answered, that  
 when God speaketh from heaven,  
 or sendeth Angels to instruct men  
 concerning his will, the Scripture  
 might easily be neglected: if at this  
 day God spake from heaven, and  
 published his Oracles from above,  
 as hee spake heretofore to the Fa-  
 thers and Patriarchs before Moses,  
 we should not seeke for any other  
 instruction. But this is no more;  
 and God having fully imparted  
 his will unto us, by the writings of  
 his Prophets and Apostles, we are  
 obliged to follow the meanes  
 wherewith his goodnesse hath  
 furnished us: and it is necessary to  
 bee bound and compelled there-  
 unto.

I say

I say, the same of the Church in  
 the Apostles time; whilst it was  
 cleerely illuminated by the prea-  
 ching and miracles of so renew-  
 ed instruments of the holy Spi-  
 rit, who were instructed by God  
 in all verity; those people which  
 were taught by their mouth, made  
 no great esteeme of their writings:  
 but God having inspired them to  
 leave in writing the effect of his  
 will, wherein he had well tutted  
 them, and they having not left be-  
 hinde them one person of like au-  
 thority and knowledge, nor that  
 hath the Spirit of God in equall  
 measure; nothing remaineth more  
 for us, but to be instructed by their  
 writings, wherein the Apostles  
 speake unto us, and their word is  
 yet alive and full of efficacie since  
 their death and departure.  
 It is a profane presumption, or  
 affected negligence, to speake of  
 these

these writings (divinely inspired)  
as of unnecessary scripts and  
scroles; for they which talke this  
language, doe it for this end, to  
withdraw the people from the ho-  
ly reading thereof, as from a frivo-  
lous businesse, and for the end to  
distribute the rules therein contain-  
ed unattentively and in hugges  
mugges. Shall we call them unne-  
cessary meanes, which God hath  
chosen to informe us concerning  
his will? The which if they were  
not absolutely necessary of their  
owne nature, yet they are made al-  
together necessary by the will of  
God, and by the counsell of his  
providence; for hee hath left but  
this infallible meanes to instruct  
us. And men that speake in the  
Chaires may erre, they are like-  
wise subject to avarice and ambi-  
tion, the two ports thorow which  
errours doe enter by troops and  
thongs,

thronges, the Pastours ever accom-  
modating religion to their profit.

And truly who so ever shall know  
what was the estate of the Romish  
church, some six score yeres passed,  
and how it consisted only in fabu-  
lous Legends, in adoration of Re-  
liques, in miracles made by ima-  
ges, in vertues and perfections of  
the Frocke or Cowle of S. Francis  
and Saint *Dominick*; and that Iesus  
Christ scarce appeared amongst  
the S<sup>s</sup>, and that the holy Scripture  
was utterly estranged & unknown;  
will easily acknowledge, that the  
maine barre which hath hindered  
Papistrick, that it should not passe  
into Paganisme, (whereinto it was  
running post) hath beene, that  
these holy Bookes were drawne  
forth of darke ignorance, and tran-  
slated into the vulgar Tongues. He  
will (I say) acknowledge that the  
people of the Romish Church

owe

owe unto us that little knowledge which remaineth with them, and that we have diminished their servitude.

### CHAP. XIX.

*That the Church of the old Testament, after the Law given by Moses untill Iesus Christ, hath had no unwritten Traditions.*

**T**O the maintenance and increase of their Traditions, our Adversaries doe joyne some examples of them, which they say have been received in the Church of the old Testament, without forme of Scripture, since the Law written by Moses.

Cardinall Perron putteth forward some histories, and certaine commandements made to particular men: as the commandement to carry

Du Perron  
cetre le Roy  
de la Grand  
Bretagne,  
Pag. 776.

carry the Arke of the Covenant  
in procession; the transferring of  
the Arke of God, from *Shila* to an-  
other place; the charge made to  
*Salomon*, to build a Temple; and yet  
neverthelesse the first of them is  
found in the 3. chap. of *Ioshua*, verse  
the 3. and 6. the second at the 78.  
*Psalme* verse the 69. the third in the  
2. of *Sam*, chap. the 7. verse the 13.  
and 1. of *Kings* 5.5. So little was  
this Cardinall versed in Gods  
booke. And though these passages  
were not found in the Scripture,  
yet could it not bee preiudiciall  
to vs; for they are Histories and  
commaundements, made to parti-  
cular men, not rules and doctrines  
of Religion.

Also he oectiecth vnto vs (and  
others after him) the immortality  
of the soule, which they say appea-  
reth not in the five bookes of *Mo-  
ses*; these men without doubt scarce  
turne



turne ouer the Sacred Pages of the Scripture. At the 23. chap. of Numbers, Balaam speaketh. *Let mee see the death of the righteous, and let my last end be like vnto theirs.* He that calleth death a dissolution, acknowledgeth that the soule suruiuethe the body; & he that accompanieth the death of the Righteous to bee blissefull, doeth not beleue that their soules perish, as they do of Beasts. At the 59. chapter of Gen. verse the 18. Iacob dying, speaketh, *Q eternall, I haue understood thy situation.* And at the 35. of Gen. 18. it is layd of Rachel dying; and as her soule was in departing. Which perpetuity cannot be sayd of soules in Beastes, for they perish with the body. At the 31. of Deut. 16. God speaking to Moses, *Behold, thou shalt keepe with thy Fathers.* Which doth fully make good, that the soules haue their repose after death. Ne

uer did man in his right wits call  
the estate of some horse after  
death, a sleepe. At the 47 of Genes.  
Jacob calleth his life in this world,  
and that of his fathers a pilgri-  
mage, and acknowledgeth himsele  
a stranger in the world. The A-  
postle to the Hebrews, chap. 11. 14.  
declareth, that *they which say such  
things, declare plainly that they seeke a  
countrie, that is to say, a celestiall one,*  
as it appeareth by the 16 verse. Ie-  
sus Christ at the 22. of S. Matthew  
to the same purpose (and to prooue  
the Resurrection) alleageth the  
words of God himsele, at the 30  
of Exodus; *I am the God of Abraham,  
the God of Isaac, the God of iacob: for*  
(saith hee) *God is not the God of the  
dead, but of the living.* But what  
moveth these men to perswade,  
that the immortalitie of the soule  
is not found at all in the bookes of  
of the Law of God, except it bee  
because

and not  
of the  
soul

because they themselves doubt of it? or because they endeavour to make the Sacred bookes contemptible, as failing in a point, without the which, the same that is called Religion, is a meere fallacy and imposture, and all the service of God, is a superfluous toyle and care.

John Lubbert chap.  
26 p. 324

To the same purpose they adde, that the resurrection of bodies, the finall Iudgement, *Paradise* and *Hell*, are not contained evidently in all the old Testament, whereby it appeareth, that the whole study of these men, is to reade onely the writings of their doctors, incoppying forth their reasons, without the paines of comming to the source, which is, to finger over the leaves of the Scriptures, for when should wee have done collecting together the passages of the old Testament, which speakes of these things

things: the very Psalmes alone  
 might suffice; and consider with  
 me some passages among the rest.  
 Psal. 16. 12. Thou shalt shew me the  
 path of life, in thy presence is the ful-  
 nesse of joy, and at thy right hand there  
 is pleasure for evermore. And at the  
 17. Psal. 16. I will behold thy presence  
 in righteousness, and when I awake up  
 after thy likeness, I shall be satisfied  
 with it. Gods face is not to be  
 seene with satiety, but after the last  
 alarme of the resurrection. And in  
 the 49. Psal. 16. God shall redeeme  
 my soule from the Tyranny of Hell,  
 (meaning of death) When hee shall  
 take me vnto him. And at the 73.  
 Psal. 23. Thou shalt guide me with thy  
 counsell, and after that receiue me with  
 glory. At the 34. Psal. 6. Into thy hands  
 I commend my spirit, for thou hast re-  
 deemed me, O Lord thou God of truth.  
 At the 50. Psal. 3. 4. 5. Our God shall  
 come, bringing a consuming fire before  
 him

him, and a mighty tempest shall be stirred vpon round about him; hee shall call the heauen from aboue, and the earth, that hee may judge his people, saying, Gather my Saints together vnto me, &c. At the 102. Psal. 26. The heauens shall perish, but thou shalt endure. The Prophet Daniel at the 12. 2. Many of them that sleepe in the dust of the earth shall awake, some to euerlasting life, some to shame and euerlasting contempt. At the 26. of Esay 19. Thy dead men shall liue, together with my dead body shall they arise; awake and sing yee that dwell in the dust. At the 65. chap. verse 17. Behold, I create new heauens, and new earth; and the former shall not be remembered, nor come into minde. Iob at the 19. the 25. 26. 27. Spoke amply of the comming of his redeemer vpon the earth, and of his resurrection, after his body shall be deuoured with wormes, and of the

affi

assurance hee hath to see God one day with his eyes. The Prophet *Esay*, at the 66. pronounceth this of reprobates : *Their worme neuer dieth, and their fire shall neuer bee extinguished.* Baalam desiring to dye the death of the iust, At the 23 of *Num.* 10. Accompteth the death of the wicked to bee wretched and wofull. These passages as I conceiue are expresse and punctuall concerning *Paradise*, the resurrection, the torment of the damned, and the eternall judgement.

They offer yet more; that in the Booke of the old Testament, no mention is made of the Creation, nor of degrees of Angels, nor of the Creation and essence or being of deuils; which neuerthelesse are points clearely found to bee therein, as in *Iob* the 2. the 1. And at the 38.7. The Angels are called  
R 2 the



the sonnes of God, whereupon it followeth, that God is their Father, & that he hath formed them, and when the Scripture saith. *Let the Angels doe worship to him, Psalme the 97.7. And let them execute his commandement. and obey his word Ps. the 103.20.* It presupposeth that God created them, for if God had not created them: injustice would have beene layd to his charge, for vsurping an Imperiall Dominion ouer the workemanship of another power. Yea this alone, wherein the Scripture affirmeth, that there are Angels, is sufficient to proue, that God created them, for it is impossible that they should create themselves.

As for the degrees of Angels, it is a meere vanity for any man to distill and consume the braine therein. It concerneth neither faith nor morallitie.

And

And for the essence of devils, the olde Testament sufficiently doth determine it in saying, that there are devils. (Hee that confesseth there is a Sunne, presupposeth that the Sunne hath a being) and there is no necessity that wee should bee skilled in the knowledge of their nature. As for their fall, seeing God hath made nothing but what is very good, the 1. of *Genes.* 31. It followeth that these evill spirits in the beginning were good, and consequently that they are lapsed from their integrity, how, and by what occasions, or by what degrees they are fallen, are matters which God hath not revealed, for they are not reputed necessary to saluation.

They also report many Histories, which they say are not found written in the old testament. As for that *The Magicians which withstood*

Du Perron  
an livre  
côte le Roy  
de la grand  
Bretagne  
pag. 776.  
2. Tim. 3. 8.

Hebr. 12. 21

Hebr. 9. 4.

Iude 9.

Moses were called *Iannes and Iambres*: That *Moses* being at the foot of the mountaine, spake, *I exceedingly feare and tremble*. The placing of the Censer in the Arke of the Covenant, and the combat of *Michdel* the Archangell with *Satan*, for the body of *Moses*. Which are not rules either of good beliefe or good life: but only histories of things happened, wherein consisteth not the substance of our salvation.

As much may be sayd of some Ceremonies practised in Israel once or oftner, but not ordinary, or indifferent customes in their nature, as washing of the feet before they went to eate of the *Paschal-Lambe*: which was a custome that the Jewes ordinarily observed before the repast. And the mixture of water with blood, for the purification of the People, (as the Apostle

intima-

intimateth to the Hebrews, at the 10. chap.) which was not a law in the ancient Church, but a Ceremony practised once by *Moses*.

They also object unto us some depraved and lewd customes: as the pardon of a capitall malefactor at the feast of Easter, though he be a murtherer, a custome contrary to the law of God, *Nu. 35. 31.* and some other scrupulous and vaine observations, as was that custome of not journeying above two miles on the Sabbath; grounded upon an act of *Ioshua* passing Iosh. 3. 4 over Iordane, when hee kept the people at distance from the Arke of the Covenant, being remooved from thence about two thousand cubits by measure. Wee are well contented that our adversaries uphold their Traditions, with such poore and vnworthy examples.

Some of them thinking to bee

R 4

more

more subtile, object unto us that in the bookes of the old Testament, three things are wanting which are necessary to salvation.

1.

Vide Thomam par. 3. q. 70. & in eâ Vasquez & de Valentia.

There is not found (say they) a remedy in the old Testament, that God hath provided to purge and cleanse the feminine sexe from originall sinne, for none are circumcised but the Males. I answer that our adversaries themselves doe not beleve, that originall sinne was taken away by vertue of circumcision: but by the faith of the Parents applyed to the children by forme of impetration, and by the merit of him that circumciseth. For they hold that circumcision did not justifie, nor conferre grace, but only that it was a signe of grace, and not necessary to salvation.

The Iesuite *Vasques* speaking of Children, that under the law of  
*Moses*

Moses dyed without being circumcised, saith, *If a Child died without any Sacrament, there was no hurt therein, for it was not conducible and necessary to salvation.* It is certaine that remission of originall sinne is necessary to salvation. Wherefore I say that originall sinne was remitted and forgiven, aswell to the Male as to the Female people of Israel, by vertue of the alliance which God had contracted with Abraham, saying, *I shall be thy God, and to thy posterity after thee. Ge. 17. 7.* for women were also of the posterity of Abraham.

The Circumcision of the Males was sufficient to signifie that all the people were taken into confederation with God, to discern Gods people from the other nations. And for this, there needed no such particular signe or token in the Women. The Iewes writing of  
their

Vasquez in Thom. partem 3. Disp. 163. cap. 2. *Neque incommo- dum aliquod erat pueri sine Sacramento de- cedere, cum illud ad salutem non esset necessarium.*



their Traditions, speake nothing of such a signe or Sacrament, nor have our adversaries produced any.

2.

They say in the second place, that the Israelites to be saved were to beleieve, that the sacrifices were not sufficient of themselves to expiate the sinnes, but that they drew their vertue from the death of Iesus Christ: and that those which did eate of the Paschall-lambe, were to have respect to Iesus Christ, and to understand the signification of this Lambe. Now (say these men) they could not learne this from the bookes of *Moses*, nor from the Prophets; therefore they learnt it by the unwritten Tradition.

In speaking thus they falsifie the words of the Apostle Saint *Peter*, who at the 10. of the *Acts* 43. saith, that *To Iesus Christ all the Prophets give witnesse, that through*

his name whosoever beleeveth in him,  
shall receive remission of sinnes. And  
they contradict Saint Paul, who at  
the 26 of the Acts 22. Saith of him-  
selfe, that he speaketh no other things  
then those, which the Prophets and  
Moses did foretell should come to passe.  
They also abuse themselves to  
thinke, that it was then necessary to  
every one of the faithfull, to have a  
cleere insight and vnderstanding  
of the sacrifices of the Law, and  
of the Paschall Lambe: for the  
faithfull are not bound to beleve  
of Iesus Christ, more then that  
which God by his Word hath re-  
vealed unto them. If any one a-  
bout the time of Moses, offering  
sacrifice according to the Law,  
were not instructed in the doctrine  
of the death of our Redeemer, but  
only beleaved, that God through  
the meanes which hee knoweth to  
be most agreeable and convenient,  
will

will forgive vs our trespasses, it were rashnesse to goe about to exclude such a man from salvation, and it is certaine, that then the faithfull were not without instruction, as touching this point, for they were prompted by the Scriptures, to expect this seed of the Woman, which should crush the head of the Serpent, and the seed of *Abraham*, wherein all Nations should be blessed.

3.

Cardinall *Perron* is aduised of a third Tradition, not written in the old Testament, which neuertheless (if we could beleue it) was necessary to saluation. He supposeth that it was necessary for the Iewes to beleue, that the fire of their sacrifices (after the captiuitie) was descended from Heaven, and that the same continuall fire which was vpon the Altar, was conserued by miracle, during the trans-

miga-

migration. Whereupon I say that this miraculous conseruation of the fire being but a Iudaicall fable, the Iewes were not bound to beleue it. The charge of the Sacrificers, was to put the fire vpon the Altar, as it is sayd, *Leuit.* 1.7. The sonnies of *Aaron*, *Nadab*, and *Abihu*, did sinne, not because they placed strange fire vpon the Altar, but in putting into their Censers, the fire which they tooke from else-where, and not from off the Altar. *Leuit.* 10.1. Looke vpon the 8. of the *Apoc.* 5. Moreouer, put the case this fable were admitted for true, yet is it not a rule of Religion, nor a doctrine of Faith, but only a meere History, whereof whosoever had bin ignorant, had not incurred eternall damnation.

And admit that vnder the old Testament, the Church had vn-written Traditions, it should not there-

*Hac de re  
vult Rabbi  
Shelomo in  
ca. 1 Aggai.  
Talmud Tra-  
batu Inkapin  
1. fol. 21.  
Rabbi Mo-  
se Ben  
Meymon  
tractat. de  
עלוה  
תמיד*

therefore follow, that it was lawfull for the Church of *Rome* to forge new ones, and to equall them in authority to the writings of the Prophets and Apostles.

## CHAP. XX.

*An answer to our Adversaries affirming, that wee receive many Traditions contained in the Scripture.*

**O**Vr Adversaries upbraid us, in that we who reject traditions, are neverthelesse constrained to admit of many. Ye beleeve (say they) that these bookes are canonical: ye allow of baptizing such as are Heretikes, and the baptisme of little infants: yee beleeve the proceſſion of the holy Spirit from the Father and the Sonne, and the translation of the Sabbath to the Dominicall day, and the perpetuall virginity

virginity of *Mary* the mother of Christ: yee beleêve that women ought to sing in the Church: yee grant the words of Consubstantiation, of Trinity, of Person, and of Sacrament, which are not found in the holy Scripture.

I have already said, that we reject not all unwritten Traditions; but only those which adde something to the doctrine of salvation, contained in holy Scriptures. For answer to their objection, that wee receive this unwritten Tradition, to wit, *These bookes are canonicall*; to say so much of the bookes, is not to adde to the canonicall bookes. And speaking in that manner, we are so farre from adding to Scripture, that on the contrary, it is a declaration that nothing is to be added thereunto, and that it is the perfect rule of our faith. Yet to have a complete certainty of the sacred-



sacrednesse of these bookes, there must be a stronger testimony then this Tradition. An illiterate man not instructed in the knowledge of God, receiveth the testimony of the Church of his owne countrey, which telleth him that these books are canonicall, as a probable testimony, and which hee should not willingly contradict: but then hee beginneth to have of it a divine testimony, and of soveraigne efficacie, when the Spirit of God by the Doctrine contained in this Scripture, hath enlightened his spirit, and inflamed his heart with a secret vertue, whereof it is in vaine to dispute with those that feele it not: the which cannot serve for a Law to another but serveth to every one of the faithfull in particular, to assure his conscience. It is also to bee considered, that the testimony of shewing such and such bookes

bookes to bee canonicall, might proceed as well from an hereticall as from an orthodox Church. The Apostles received the holy Scripture from the Pharises and Sacrificers, who were enemies to Iesus Christ. Whence it appeareth, that the testimony which the Church affordeth to the Scriptures, is not of supreme authority and indubitable, but invalid. It is by faith that we beleeve, that the contents of the Scripture are the word of God; which faith is not given by the Church, for it is an effect of the Spirit of God.

Touching the other points, I speake of them in generall, that if they bee Doctrines and Rules of the Christian faith, not contained in the Scripture, we are not bound to beleeve them. But when every one of these points shall be examined asunder, some will bee found

S

con-

contained in the Scripture, others are not Doctrines, nor Lawes or Rules of the Christian faith, nor things requisite or necessary to salvation.

I am astonished to behold how our Adversaries dare to insert the Baptisme of little infants, amongst the unwritten traditions, seeing that their selves disputing against the Anabaptists, prove it by many passages of Scripture. *Bellarmino* in his eighth Chapter of the first Booke of Baptisme, bringeth these proofes of Scripture, that Baptisme succeeded Circumcision, which was applied to little infants. That Iesus Christ at the ninth of Saint *Matthew*, saith, *Suffer the little ones to come to me &c.* That in the sixteenth of the *Acts*, *Lydia* is baptized by Saint Paul with all her house. And that in the same Chapter, the same Apostle baptizeth all the family

of the Goaler. That Saint *Paul* baptized the family of *Stephanus*, 1 *Cor.* 1. 16. If these proofes are bad, Why doe they make use of them? If they be good in their mouthes, Why should they not be good in ours?

As for holding Baptisme of Heretikes to bee good, wee account not this article as necessary to salvation. *Agrippine* a man of holy life and Doctrine, and Saint *Cyprian*, Saint *Firmilian*, Saint *Denis*, *Alexandrine*, and Saint *Basil* have dissented in this point, from the Church of Rome; yet neverthelesse they are held for Saints by our Adversaries. Yea more, many Councils approved by the Church of Rome ordaine, that some Heretikes should be re-baptized, by name the Paulianists, the Samosetanians, the Montanists, the Eunomians, the Sabellians, the Eucratites, &c. as is

to be seene at the nineteenth Canon of the first Councell of Nice. At the eighth Canon of the Councell of Laodicea. At the seventh Canon of the first Councell of Constantinople. And in the Epistle of Saint *Basil* to *Amphilochius* at the 47. Canon.

Yet this question shall be found decided in the Scripture by all probability. For Circumcision did still continue among the Israclites, of the ten idolatrous races, who were no more circumcised, when they were converted to the true Religion. The custome of circumcising the Samaritans againe that were ranged into Iudaisme, (whereof *Epiphanius* speaketh in his booke of measures and weights) practized upon *Symmachus* a Traducer of the Scriptures, was invented afterwards. The same reason is for Baptisme.

Con-

Concerning the procession of  
of the holy Spirit from the Father  
and the Sonne, it is to be seene in  
the Councell of Florence, that the  
Latines defending themselves a-  
gainst the Greekes upon this que-  
stion, doe alledge Scripture: but  
this controversie was devised, and  
is sustained with animosity, to  
strengthen the Schisme, and it is  
an easie matter to accord them  
therein. For those who say that  
the holy Spirit proceedeth from  
the Father by the Sonne, doe say  
also that it proceedeth from the  
Father and the Sonne. In a matter  
that passeth our capacities, it is bet-  
ter to say little then too much, and  
rather to be ignorant then to con-  
test.

The change of the Sabbath, and  
observation of the Lords day, are  
plainely enough collected out of  
the Scripture. The Apostle to the



Colos. 2. 16. saying, *Let no man judge you in meat or in drinke, or in respect of an holy day, or of the new Moone, or of the Sabbath dayes,* forbiddeth to condemne any man that doth not observe a distinction of meats, and keepeth not the new Moones, nor Sabbaths. And by the placing of new Moones and the Sabbaths in the same ranke, he sheweth cleerely, that as Christians were not obliged to keepe the new Moones, so were they no more strictly bound to keepe the Sabbaths. At the first Chapter of the *Apoc.* 10. is mention made of our Lords day. Vpon which passage the Iesuite *Ribera* speaketh thus, *Wee see here that in the time of the Apostles, the solemnity of the Sabbath was changed to the Lords day.* This is the first day of the weeke whereon the Christians made their solempne assemblies, to celebrate the holy Supper, and to

con-

*Ribera in  
cap. 1. Apo-  
cal. Vultemus  
hic etiam  
tempore  
Apostolorum  
Sabbathi so-  
lemnitatem  
mutatam esse  
in Domini-  
cam diem.*

contribute their almes: as is to be  
 seene at the 20. of the *Acts* 7. and  
 in *1 Cor.* 16. 2. as *Thomas* and *Lom-  
 bard* have declared in their Com-  
 mentaries upon this Epistle: and  
 after them one *Estius*, speaking  
 thus; *The Church from that time be-  
 gan to call it the Lords day, because on  
 that day the Lord was raised from the  
 dead. For it is so called by Saint Iohn  
 at the first of the Apocalypse Where  
 fore it is not to be doubted but that the  
 name and institution of the Lords day  
 ought to be fastened upon the Apostles.*  
 Neverthelesse, let us grant that no  
 mention is made of this in the  
 Scripture, what availeth it against  
 us, who affirme that all the Do-  
 ctrines of the Christian faith are  
 contained in the Scripture? For  
 the observation of our Lords day  
 is not a Doctrine, but a Law of Ec-  
 clesiasticall government.

The perpetuall Virginity of the

S 4

bleſſed

*Estius Com-  
 ment in 1.  
 ad Corin.  
 cap. 16. Es-  
 clajia iam ab  
 illo tempore  
 cepit vocare  
 diem Domi-  
 nicam. Quod  
 in ea resurre-  
 xisset Domi-  
 nus à morte.  
 Sic enim ap-  
 pellatur à Io-  
 hanne Apo-  
 stolo. Apocal.  
 1. us proinde  
 dies Domini-  
 ca nomen &  
 institutionē  
 ad Apostolos  
 referendam  
 esse non sit  
 dubium.*

ἡ δὲ τοιαύτη  
παρέχειται  
βενεφίμω-  
μένῃ τῷ  
ζαίμῳ ἔργῳ  
μὴ ἀπαρ-  
τησιμένῃ  
μαεσίᾳ. ἡ  
μοῖς ὅτι καὶ  
πρὸς τοὺς  
πρὸς τὸ εὐσε-  
βεῖας πα-  
ραλυμαί-  
νει τὸν λό-  
γον, &c.

blessed Virgine, is beleev'd in our Churches by way of decencie: though it bee not a Doctrine of faith, nor a point necessary to salvation. *Basil* in his Homily of the Nativity of Christ, saith, *That if it were otherwise, yet would it bee nothing prejudiciall to our salvation.* Howsoever, *Helvidius* had not his perfect senses about him, to move so impertinent a question, and call into doubt a matter, which were better supposed to be true, then argued on either side.

As for singing of Psalmes in our Churches, as well by men as by women, it is no Article of the Christian faith, but an Ecclesiasticall policie and custome, which neither addeth to, nor substracteth from the Doctrine of faith. And this custome is not practised in all our Churches; for there are Churches which doe assemble secretly,

to av  
did  
Emp  
ches  
ing,  
able  
postl  
deth  
Psal  
Song  
loft  
Sex.  
14.1  
deth  
Chu  
they  
prea  
and  
be a  
them  
com  
hou  
hou

to avoid persecurion, as heretofore did Christians under the Pagan Emperours. These poore Churches have not the liberty of singing, yet are they not the lesse amiable in the sight of God. The Apostle to the *Colos* 3. 16. commandeth us to exhort one the other by Psalmes, Hymnes, and Spirituall Songs. Hee wrote this to the *Colossians* without distinction of Sex. The same Apostle in *1 Cor.* 14. 14. and at *1 Tim.* 2. 12. forbiddeth women to teach in the Church, but not to sing. For seeing they partake of the prayers and preaching, why not of the praises and actions of thanksgiving? If it be a seemely thing and religious in them, to chant forth the glorious commendations of God in their house at home, why not also in the house of God?

The termes of *Consubstantion*  
and

and *Trinity*, are words, but no Rules nor Doctrines: and these words as they adde nothing to the Scripture, so they import nothing that is not contained in the Scripture in other termes. The terme of *Person* is found in *Heb. i. 2*. for this word *hypostasis* in Greeke signifieth *person*.

They have but little modestie who blush not to demand a passage of Scripture, where the word *Sacrament* may be found. The Apostles writing in Greeke, regarded not to provide themselves of a Latine word. Surely these men speak as properly as if they enquired whether this very word *horse* is found in *Virgil*. In the Latine vulgar translation the word *Sacramentum* is rehearsed some dozen of times, and signifieth a *mystery* or *secret*. Whence it commeth, that the mystery of the great Whore, the

the fig  
and t  
dream  
Apoc.

T  
which  
wee f  
the w  
thing  
callet  
ration  
brance

A pro  
fect  
stin  
the

W  
novel  
Trad  
they a

the signification of the seven stars,  
and the Sense or exposition of the  
dreames are called Sacraments.

*Apoc. 3. 1. and 17. 7. Dan. 2. 18.*

Touching the Holy Supper,  
which wee call *Sacrament*, herein  
wee follow the custome, and by  
the word we vnderstand no other  
thing, but that which Iesus Christ  
callerh a memoriall or commemo-  
ration, saying. *Doe this in remem-  
brance of me.*

## CHAP. XXI.

*A prooffe of the sufficiency and per-  
fection of the Scriptures, by the Te-  
stimony of God himselfe, speaking in  
the Scriptures.*

**W**Ee haue offered to your  
vnderstandings, both the  
novelty, and falshood of *Romish*  
Traditions, and have proued that  
they are neither Diuine, nor Apo-  
stolicall



stolicall. It is therefore to be concluded, that we ought entirely to adhere to the word of God, contained in the Holy Scriptures: for in two wayes, when the one is blocked vp, there remaineth but the other that is passable. Our aduersaries themselves aide vs in this point. The Popes hauing made so many decrees, and decretals, and extravagants; yet they dare not call these decrees *the word of God*. Yea, they produce no other booke then the Scripture, that beareth this title of *the word of God*, or of the *Testament* or *Covenant of God*. This single prooffe may suffice, vnlesse we would embrace the word of men for a rule of faith.

Our aduersaries againe tell vs that the Holy Scripture cannot testifie of it selfe, and when it is called Holy and Divine, *It is more to be credited then* Titus Livius

Bailo Testis  
du 1. traité  
de son Cas-  
trisme.  
Bellarm. lib. 4

or Mahumets Alcoran. But let them know that this is Gods true Prerogative, to be Iudge and witness in her cause, who being the party offended, will not forget at the last day to be Iudge of those that have offended him. Harken to that of Iesus Christ, speaking at the 8. of S. Iohn 14. *Though I beare record of my selfe, yet my record is true, and worthy to be beleened.* For God is not therefore to bee the lesse beleued, because there are so many incredulous and vnbeleeuings; and the perversity of man shall neuer despoile God of his right. It is a *non sequitur*, and an vnjust inference that because of the malice and depravednes of man, the dominion of God should suffer dimunition. Therefore wee will not feare to alledge the Scripture, for prooffe of the perfection of the Scripture; Wee know that the authenticke Testi-

*de Verbo  
Dei, cap. 4.  
§. Quarta*

Testimony which God giueth to his word, can be no way taxed, or iustly suspected.

The Apostle *Saint Paul*, in the 2. to *Tim* 3. 15. speaketh thus to his disciple *Timothy*. *From thine infancie thou hast knowne the holy Scriptures, which are able to make thee wise vnto saluation, through faith which is in Christ Iesus.* Now what need we seeke any further then to bee so instructed, as that wee may be able to attaine vnto saluation by our beleefe in Iesus Christ?

To shife off this passage of *Saint Paul* to *Timothy*, our aduersaries tell vs, that *Saint Paul* speaketh not in that place, but onely of the bookes of the old Testament; and yet at that time the greatest part of the new was written. But I am contented to grant what they say, for it maketh against them: being assured that if the sole bookes of  
the

the old Testament can make a man wise to saluation, much more, and with stronger reason shall the old and the new coupled together, make vs wise to saluation. The Holy Scripture neuer saith, that vnwritten Traditions can make vs wise to saluation. The Apostle had neuer sayd that the Scripture can make vs wise to saluation, if it instructed vs but by halfes, and if it were needfull for vs to seeke the other part of our instruction, in another word that is vnwritten.

Where they say that *Timothy* could not learne out of the olde Testament, the immortality of the soule, nor *Paradise*, nor the resurrection, &c. It hath bin formerly confuted. Of the resurrection of Iesus Christ, and of his death, the Prophets speake most clearly, and all the sacrifices lead thereunto. And when these things were  
lesse

lesse plainly and expressely set  
downe, yet God required not of  
our forefathers (before the com-  
ming of Christ) a greater know-  
ledge, then that which was revea-  
led vnto them.

There are those who play the So-  
phisters vpon this word, *οργιμα*,  
vsed by the Apostle, and doe ren-  
der it *to instruct*, and not *to make*  
*wise*. Wherein their owne Bible  
teacheth the contrary, for at the  
19. *Psal.* 7. There is in the Greeke,  
*σοφίζουσα νήπια*, which is the vul-  
gar translation *Sapientiam præstans*  
*parvulis*, that is to say, *giuing wise-*  
*dome to the simple*. And at the 119.  
*Psal.* 98. *ὡς πρὸς τοὺς ἐχθρούς μου ἐσοφισμένης*,  
Where *Pagnin* rendreth it, *et me*  
*sapientiore reddidisti inimicis meis*,  
*Thou hast made mee wiser then mine*  
*enemies*. But vpon the point it  
commethall to one: for it sufficeth  
vs to bee instructed to saluation,

Sain

ε'σθ' το 18. an  
Grec. Phau-  
rini lexicon,  
σοφίζουσα  
νῆπια  
ἐν τῇ  
σοφίᾳ  
τοῦ  
σοφισ-  
τοῦ.

Sain  
tal'  
instr  
suffic  
and  
vatio  
tion  
thing  
make  
why  
cien  
tion  
in let  
nor,  
one  
one  
spiri  
tenti  
God  
more  
feare  
gift  
2.  
a Co

Saint *Paul* speaketh not of any cur-  
tal'd or halfe instruction. Hee is  
instructed to salvation, who hath  
sufficient instruction to bee saved:  
and whosoever is not wise to sal-  
vation, is not instructed to salva-  
tion; so are they one and the same  
thing. But if the Scripture could  
make *Timothy* wise to salvation,  
why should it not be as well suffi-  
cient to make others wise to salva-  
tion? For if any man profit there-  
in lesse then *Timothy*, the reason is  
not, because it is more perfect for  
one then for another; but because  
one bringeth to it more light of  
spirit, more affection, and more at-  
tention then another: and because  
God conferreth his knowledge  
more abundantly upon those that  
feare him, and humbly crave the  
gift of understanding.

2. The Apostle Saint *Paul* at  
1 Cor. 4. 6. limiting the power of

T

the



מִי יֵשֶׁבֶת  
בְּיָמָיו  
וְעַתָּה.

Tehan. Iau-  
bert. pa. 306.

the Pastors of the Church, saith,  
*Let no man thinke above that which  
is written, there it is, above that  
which is written, and not above  
that which I have written. And  
whosoever imputeth to Beza, that  
he translated it, above that which I  
have written, is a detracting Ca-  
lumniator.*

3. The same Apostle at *Act. 26. 21.*  
protesteth, *Hee never taught any  
thing, save onely such things as the  
Prophets and Moses had foretold  
should come to passe.* He then confi-  
ned his preaching to the Scrip-  
tures. And he shall be a good Mi-  
nister of Christ, who (after the ex-  
ample of Saint *Paul*) shall bee able  
to say, that hee never taught any  
thing, except those things which  
*Moses* and the Prophets and Apo-  
stles (disciples of the Prophets)  
have taught. If it be moreover ob-  
jected, that Saint *Paul* being re-

strai-

strained to the writings of the Prophets, it shall follow, that the writings of the Apostles ( who have written since the Prophets ) are unprofitable. I will answer that the Apostles have written the same things that the Prophets have written, for as much as concerneth the substance of salvation, but they have added thereunto much more cleerenesse and light.

4. Yet the same Apostle at *Act.* 20.27. speaketh to the *Ephesians*, *I have not shunned to declare unto you all the counsell of God.* Whereupon it followeth, that the essentiall things of faith, which *Salmeron* formerly told us were added since the Apostles time, and not taught of them either by mouth or by writing, are not of the counsell of God. Of which additions in matters of religion of the greatest importance, we

have already vouched many examples, especially out of the confession of our Adversaries themselves.

It would bee impertinent to reply, that by the same reason it should bee said, that the Gospel of Saint *Iohn*, and the *Apoc* not of the counsell of God, they were not then written. Saint *Paul* said, he had the counsell of God.

bookes containe not which is not found in bookes of the new Testament, and which the Apostles have not taught by mouth and by writing.

5. At *Deut.* 4. 2. and 12. 3. God speaketh thus, *Ye shall not adde to the word which I command you, neither shall you diminish ought from it.* Hee doth not say, you shall not change or alter any part, or you shall not teach any thing to the contrary: but you shall adde nothing, and diminish

diminish nothing. As to diminish  
& detasse something from the Law  
of god, is not to foist in a contrary  
commandement: so also to adde doth  
not signifie to impugne. Put the  
case it were not forbidden to adde,  
and that it should bee spoken thus:  
*I shall change nothing of my word,*  
the Pope would still be culpa-  
ble of having infringed this re-  
solvment, by attributing to himsele  
the power of changing the Lawes  
and Ordinances of God, and of  
dispensing against the Apostle. In  
the bookes of the houely prayers  
of our Lady (according to the cu-  
stome of Rome) the ten Com-  
mandements of God are placed in  
the entrance. The third is couched  
in these termes, *Remember that thou*  
*keepe holy the Sabbath and festivall*  
*dayes.* Can any thing bee more  
plainely added to the Commande-  
ment of God? Therefore if it

have already vouched many examples, especially out of the confession of our Adversaries themselves.

It would bee impertinent to reply, that by the same reason it should bee said, that the Gospel of Saint *Iohn*, and the *Apocalypse* are not of the counsell of God, because they were not then written, when Saint *Paul* said, he had declared all the counsell of God. For these two bookes containe not any doctrine which is not found in the other bookes of the new Testament, and which the Apostles have not taught by mouth and by writing.

5. At *Deut.* 4. 2. and 12. 3. God speaketh thus, *Ye shall not adde to the word which I command you, neither shall you diminish ought from it.* Hee doth not say, you shall not change or alter any part, or you shall not teach any thing to the contrary: but you shall adde nothing, and diminish

diminish nothing. As to diminish & defalse something from the Law of god, is not to foist in a contrary commandement: so also to adde doth not signifie to impugne. Put the case it were not forbidden to adde, and that it should bee spoken thus: *You shall change nothing of my word,* yet the Pope would still be culpable of having infringed this restraint, by attributing to himselfe the power of changing the Lawes and Ordinances of God, and of dispensing against the Apostle. In the bookes of the houely prayers of our Lady (according to the custome of Rome) the ten Commandements of God are placed in the entrance. The third is couched in these termes, *Remember that thou keepe holy the Sabbath and festivall dayes.* Can any thing bee more plainly added to the Commandement of God? Therefore if it



were prohibited to adde to the Law of *Moses* (without which was then no Doctrine of salvation) there is no colour or appearance that at this time the Law of *Moses*, the Prophets, the Evangelists, and Apostles are not sufficient, and that it is lawfull to adde unwritten Traditions thereunto. And let it not seeme strange, that the bookes of *Moses* alone were then sufficient unto salvation: for whosoever will examine the books of *Ioshua*, of the *Iudges*, & of the *Prophets*, who did set forth their writings afterwards, shall finde that they adde nothing to the Doctrine of salvation, which is contained in the bookes of *Moses*: onely they adde some confirmatory examples of the promises and menaces of God, some histories of the chastisements, judgments and deliverances of the Church, some Prophecies and future

ture events, some particular expofitions of that which the law of *Moses* fpake in generall, and some commaundements made to some particular one, which were not generall Lawes nor perpetuall in the Church. As for the Oracles which God gave amongst the Cherubins, they were not Doctrines nor Canons of Religion, but answeres upon future fuccesses, or upon the estate of the present affaires, of peace or warre. It is true that Iesus Christ and the Apostles have since given a more ample instruction: but I say that whilst the Church had no other divine bookes, but those of *Moses*, they were sufficient to salvation: for the Church ought to be contented with that measure of knowledge which God hath revealed. But in succeeding ages, if God revealeth something more then he had done before, and pre-

senteth him selfe more obviously to humane understandings; this falleth out necessary for those, unto whom Gods pleasure is to have him selfe manifested.

That *Moses* hath not distributed unwritten Traditions to the people, see his owne testimony at *Deut. 31. 24.* in these words, *And it came to passe, when Moses had made an end of writing the words of the Law in a booke, untill they were finished, that he commanded the Levites, which bare the Arke of the Covenant of the Lord, saying, Take this booke of the Law, and put it in the side of the Arke &c.*

6. After the death of *Moses*, God gave to *Ioshua* no other precept or document then this very booke, as hee him selfe speaketh to *Ioshua* in the first Chapter: *Be strong and courageous, that thou mayest observe to doe according to all the Law, which*  
Moses

Moses my servant commanded thee ;  
turne not from it to the right hand or  
to the left, that thou mayest prosper  
whithersoever thou goest. This booke of  
the Law shall not depart out of thy  
mouth, but thou shalt meditate therein  
day and night. Surely God in this  
Law of Moses, commandeth to o-  
bey the Soueraigne sacrificing  
Priest, as also the Levites, and the  
Iudges, not when they should adde  
to the Law of God, but when they  
should teach this Law : as it is said  
at the 17. of *Deut.* 9. and 11. Where  
also the Kings are commanded to  
haue the booke of the Law of God  
alwayes before their eyes, and to  
read therein all the dayes of their  
life, *verse* the 18. and the 19.

7. None of our aduersaries durst  
yet deny, that the doctrine of the  
Gospell is sufficient to salvation,  
or gaine-say that the Gospell is  
found whole and entire in the new  
Testa.

Testament. Otherwise the title were false, and we should be forced to change the inscription, and set it downe *part of the Gospel*, untill the Pope doth publish the second part; or else bee compelled to seeke the other part of the Gospel in the unwritten word, which is not to be found. For our aduersaries would never suffer it to bee compiled and reduced into one body, nor doe they divulge any booke which is called *the word of God*, except the Holy Scripture.

Jehan Lau-  
bert. p. 308.

Some answer, that the bookes of the Gospell, which are in the new Testament, doe containe all the Gospel but implicitly, that is to say, after an involved and imbroyled manner, the force of conscience hath extorted those words from them, for if the service of Images, adoration of Reliques, Pardons of one hundred thousand

yeeres

yeeres, single life of Priests, succession of the *Pope* in the Apostleship of Saint *Peter*, restraint of reading the Scripture, &c. are contained in the bookes of the new Testament, they must bee lurking after an inveloped and obscure manner, for no man could euer descry them to bee therein. Those that extract oyles and salts, out of the stones, would idly imploy their knowledge therein. For to speake in generall, without any specification, that the Scripture approoueth Traditions, is but a mockery, under this vaile or shaddow, there is neither tyranny, nor idolatry, nor bartering traffique, but may abound, and bee practised in the Church, presupposing without prooffe, that these are the Traditions which the Scripture meaneth, for the *Pope* so iudgeth of them, who cannot erre in the Faith, though



though all these Traditions tend onely to his profit.

8. I affirme the same of the title of the whole Bible, being called *the Testament or Covenant of God*, which Title must be changed, if the Scripture be but a part of Gods Testament. It were deluding of the World, to call *contract of marriage* a parchment that containeth but the moyetic of the claves of the contract: or to call *Testament*, that which is but a part of the disposall of the last will.

9. Towards the conclusion of the *Apocalips*, the Lord Iesus speaks as followeth: *I testifie unto every man that beareth the words of the prophecy of this booke; If any man shall adde unto these things, God shall adde unto him the plagues that are written in this booke. Vpon which passage the Councell of Frinly speaketh thus:*

Concilium  
Forojulienſe

*In the Apocalips, Iohn the Apostle*  
under

under  
tested  
prosecu  
If any  
shall ad  
written

10.

the 20.  
saith.

see mi  
Christ,

haue li  
which

speake

which o

ten, bu  
that die

be suff  
true fai  
attaine

11.

Mat. 3  
doe ye

of God

under the name of one booke, bath protested concerning the whole series or prosecution of both Testaments saying; If any man adde to these things, God shall adde to him the plagues that are written in this booke.

*Nam in Apocalypsi Iohannes Apoc. solus sub vniu libri appellatione de tota vtriusq; Testamenti se in contrarium ostendens, Si quis appauerit ad hac, apponet Deus omnes plagas scriptas in libro hoc.*

10. The Apostle Saint Iohn at the 20. Chapter of his Gospell. 31. saith. These things are written, that yee might beleue that Iesus is the Christ, and that beleuing, yee might haue life through his Name. Vpon which passage, Cyrill of Alexandria speaketh in this maner. All things which our Lord bath done are not written, but those things onely, which they that did write them, haue beleued to be sufficient, so the end, that shining in true faith, workes, and vertue, wee may attaine to the Kingdome of heauen.

*Cyrrill. lib. 12. in Iohā. cap. ultimo. Non igitur omnia quae fecit Dominus conscripta sunt, sed quae scribentes iam ad mores quae ad dogmata putauerunt sufficere, ut recta fide & operibus ad virtute rutilantes, ad regnum caelorum perueniamus.*

11. Our Lord Iesus at the 15. of Mat. 3. spake to the Pharisees, why doe ye transgresse the commandment of God by your Tradition? Observe here

here that hee saith not yee contra-  
dict, but ye transgresse the comman-  
dement of God by your Tradition. For  
indeed the Pharisaeicall Traditions  
were for the most part simple ad-  
ditions to the Law of God, having  
appearance of devotion, & things  
no otherwise forbidden, but as  
God forbiddeth to adde to his  
word: as to fast twise in a week  
to lengthen out their fringes and  
Phylacteries of their garments, to  
wash themselves at returne from  
market, scrupulously to cleane their  
pots, and to accompt their pace  
vpon the Sabbath.

12. The Apostle to the Colossians  
chap. 2. 8. Beware lest any man spoyle  
you through Philosophy and vaine dis-  
ceit, after the Tradition of men. And  
that our aduersaries may not come  
here to distinguish humane Tra-  
ditions, from those which the  
Church of Rome will have to be

imbr

imbra  
licall  
chiefe  
dition  
that a  
Rome  
obser  
dinar  
stin  
touch  
cause  
be hu  
ture,  
ching  
tary a  
in tha  
haue  
flesh.

13  
phesi  
faith  
Apo  
on th  
Apos

embraced for divine and Apostolicall, the Apostle specieth, and chiefly condemneth certaine traditions, found to be amongst those that are taught by the Church of Rome: to wit, service of Angels, observation of Feasts, and the ordinance of those, who vsing a distinction of meats did say, *eat not, touch not, tast not*. And this not because they thought the meates to be hurtfull or polluted in their nature, but (as the Apostle saith) *teaching these doctrines through voluntary deuotion, and humblenesse of spirit, in that they no way spare the body, nor haue they respect to the fulnesse of the flesh*.

13. The same Apostle to the Ephesians 2.chap.20. groundeth our faith upon the Prophets and the Apostles. *Being built* (saith he) *upon the foundation of the Prophets and Apostles*. If our faith be grounded upon

upon the unwritten word, it is be-  
houefull there be another founda-  
tion then the Prophets & Apostles.  
For if our adversaries say that S.  
*Paul* understandeth the Church to  
be grounded upon the word of the  
Apostles aswell written as unwri-  
ten, they oblige themselves to say  
the sama of the doctrine of the  
Prophets, and also to forge unto us  
Prophetical Traditions unwritten,  
which were never mentioned or  
spoken of about Saint *Pauls* time,  
moreouer we have formerly heard  
our adversaries maintaining, that  
there are more things essentiall in  
Religion, then the Apostles have  
taught by mouth or writing.

14. At the 16. chapter of Saint  
*Luke* 26. the wicked rich man be-  
ing in hell, requesteth *Abraham*,  
that one amongst the dead should  
be sent to his brethren, to give  
them advertisement, and warne  
them

them of  
should  
ment;  
answere  
Prophets  
Which  
ought to  
the Do  
phets, w  
gogues  
pecting  
Christ  
rich ma  
ved un  
ring th  
no oth  
bookes  
Chri  
in his  
Abrah  
zarus,  
and th  
them,  
raised

them of their duties, least that they should tumble into the like torment; to whom *Abraham* maketh answer, *They haue Moses and the Prophets, let them hearken to them.* Which is cleerely to say, that they ought to content themselves with the Doctrine of *Moses* and the Prophets, which was read in the Synagogues every Sabbath without expecting other revelation. For *Iesus Christ* speaketh of the unhappie rich man, as of a man that had liued under the old Testament, during the time that the Church had no other Doctrine, but that of the bookes of *Moses* and the Prophets.

*Chrysostome* doth so understand it in his Commentary upon *Galat. I.* *Abraham* being required to send *Lazarus*, answereth, *they haue Moses and the Prophets, if they hearken not to them, neither will they beleeeve the dead raised up to life.* Now *Iesus Christ*

ὁ μὲν ἐν  
ἀβραάμ  
ἀξιόμενος  
παραίτη-  
τον ἀζαρόν  
ἐλεῖν, ἔ-  
χουσι μω-  
σαίαν καὶ τὰς  
προφητίας,  
εἰ μὴ ἐ-  
κείνων ἀ-  
κούουσιν ὁ-  
δὲ νεκρῶν




ἀνισταμέ-  
 νων ἀκέ-  
 σασι. πῶ-  
 τα ὅ αὐτῶν  
 ἐναζει λέ-  
 γοντα ὁ  
 θεός,  
 δίκης ὅτι  
 καὶ νεκρῶν  
 ἐγειρομέ-  
 νων ἀξιο-  
 πείρας ἐστὶ  
 βύλεται  
 ταῖς ῥα-  
 φάσι.

bringeth in Abraham speaking thus, to declare that hee would have more faith ascribed to the Scriptures, then if the dead were called backe to life.

15. At Gal. 1.8. Though we or an Angel from heaven preach any other Gospel unto you, then that which wee have preached unto you, let him be accursed. The vulgar translation of our Adversaries interpreteth this passage as we doe: *Licet nos aut Angelus de caelo evangelizet vobis, praterquam quod evangelizavimus vobis, anathema sit.* Consider now that this translation which the Councell of Trent declareth to be onely authenticall, rendereth it *praterquam* not *contra*, that is to say, other then, but not contrary. For though this word *prater* sometime signifieth *contra*, yet *praterquam* cannot be so taken; and *praterquam quod* can import nothing else, but other then that. So though the Pre-

position

positi  
 signifi  
 Adver  
 not th  
 his C  
 derstan  
 ing, T  
 clare  
 pervers  
 little o  
 if they  
 ver so  
 him: ?  
 preach  
 preach  
 preach  
 never  
 Ter  
 scripti  
 speaki  
 first p  
 not to  
 Chap  
 is to k

position  used by the Apostle, signifieth sometimes *contra*, yet our Adversaries translation admitteth not this exposition. *Chrysostome* in his Commentary upon *Gal. 1.* understandeth it the same way, saying, *The Apostle saith not, if they declare things contrary, or if they pervert all, but if they preach never so little other then we have preached, or if they have altered any thing, be it never so little. And Theophilact* after him: *The Apostle hath not said, if they preach onely things contrary, but if they preach other then that which wee have preached, that is to say, if they adde never so little more thereunto.*

*Tertullian* in his booke of Pre-  
scriptions at the eighth Chapter,  
speaking of the Scripture: *In the*  
*first place wee beleewe, that wee ought*  
*not to beleewe other then this.* And at  
Chap. 14. *To know no other then this,*  
*is to know all.* And at Chap. 29. *If*

ἔκ εἴπω,  
 εἰν ἐναν-  
 τία χατα-  
 γέλλωσιν ἢ  
 ἀναβέπω-  
 σι τὸ πᾶν  
 ἀλλὰ καὶ  
 μικρόν π  
 οὔαγγελί-  
 ζων) παρ'  
 ὁ οὔηγη-  
 λιστὰ μεθα,  
 καὶ τὸ πυ-  
 ρὸν ὡς  
 κινήσωσι.

Theoph. in  
Ep. ad Gal.  
Neq; enim si  
contraria so-  
lū praeceve-  
runt inultis,  
sed si Evan-  
gelisaverint  
prater id  
quod ipsi e-  
vangelisavi-  
mus, hoc est,  
si plūculum  
quippiam ipsi  
adiecimus.  
Tertull. de  
praefer. ca. 8  
Hoc prius  
credimus, non  
esse quod oī-  
es credere  
debeamus.  
Et cap. 14.  
Nihil ultra

*Scire omnia  
scire est.*

*Et cap. 29.*

*Et si Angelus  
de celo aliter  
evangelizave-  
rit ultra quā  
nos, anathe-  
ma sit.*

*an Angel from heaven preach other  
then, &c.* And truly the reason is  
plaine, for if our Adversaries con-  
fesse, that Saint *Paul* hath preached  
all that is necessary to salvation, as  
well by mouth as by writing, it  
followeth that hee not onely for-  
biddeth here to teach contrary to  
that which he hath taught, but also  
that he forbiddeth to adde there-  
unto.

It is objected, that *Paul* himselfe  
hath added to that which hee did  
preach, when he wrote more Epi-  
stles after that to the Galathians;  
and that Saint *Iohn* after him wrote  
the *Apocalypse*, and are not there-  
fore accursed. The vanity of this  
objection answereth it selfe; for  
nothing can appeare wherein Saint  
*Paul* in his last Epistles, or Saint  
*Iohn* in the *Apocalypse*, have added  
to the Doctrine of salvation,  
which Saint *Paul* had preached by  
mouth,

mouth  
and w  
in the  
Evang  
pistle.

In  
disput  
demne  
then, c  
had p  
soever  
of the  
vation  
as Go  
unto?  
to rehe  
thers,  
fle co  
contra  
hath t  
for wh  
to tha  
preach  
ctrine

month, and digested into writing; and which was already contained in the bookes of the Apostles and Evangelists, written before this Epistle.

In summe, what availeth it to dispute, whether the Apostle condemneth those that preach *other then*, or *contrary* to that w<sup>ch</sup> St. Paul had preached, seeing that whatsoever is other then the Doctrine of the Gospel concerning our salvation, is also contrary, in as much as God forbiddeth to adde thereunto? It is an unnecessary worke to rehearse the passages of the Fathers, who affirme that the Apostle condemneth those, who taught contrary to that which he himselfe hath taught: no man denieth it; for whosoever preacheth contrary to that which Saint Paul taught, preacheth also other then the Doctrine of the Apostle: and addeth

contrary things thereunto ; contractions being also additions to the Scripture.

Our Adversaries being repulsed from this refuge or starting hole, finde out another, and say that Saint *Paul* condemneth those who taught *other then*, or *contrary* to that which hee had taught concerning the Doctrine of the Gospel : but Saint *Paul* himselfe hath not set downe all in writing which hee hath taught : this is that which they say without proöfe or reason. For who told them that Saint *Paul* was tender, and forbare to booke downe all the Doctrine of the Gospel in writing ? Did hee it in spleene, or was it of forgetfulnesse, or fearing lest the people might become too expert in the Doctrine of the Gospel ? Surely they that speake thus, are bound to open unto us some particular points, that  
make

make a  
Gosp  
not set  
vocati  
dulger  
succes  
fleshi  
service  
Priest  
the sup  
Masse  
tory  
of the  
hath  
this i  
must  
ther p  
of ou  
Sai  
it: fo  
unto  
any  
or his  
perta

make a part of the doctrine of the Gospel, which Saint *Paul* would not set downe in writing. Is it invocation of Saints, or papall Indulgences, adoration of reliques, succession of the Pope in the Apostleship of Saint *Peter*, religious service of Images, single life of Priests, prayer in a tongue which the supplicant understādeth not, or Masses to free soules out of Purgatory? no Christian will beleve it of these, unlesse it bee such a one as hath a mind to bee deceived: And this inconsiderate presupposition must be countenanced by some other prooffe, besides the testimony of our adversaries.

Saint *Augustine* gave no credit to it: for he expoundeth this passage unto us by way of paraphrase. If any man whether it concerneth Christ or his Church, or any other thing appertaining to faith, or government of life

August lib. 3  
contra lue-  
ras Petilia-  
ni cap. 6.  
Si quis sine  
de Christo, se-  
ve de eius  
Ecclesia, seu  
de quacunque  
alia re qua



pertinet ad  
fidē vitamq;  
nostram, non  
dum si nos,  
sed quod Pau-  
lus adiecit, si  
Angelus de  
caelo vobis  
annuntiaverit  
praeterquam  
quod in scrip-  
tura legali-  
bus et Evan-  
gelicis acce-  
pisti, anathe-  
ma sit.  
ὁ ὃ πᾶν  
ἀνὸς καὶ ἀν-  
θρώπων ἐν  
ἐξουσίᾳ κα-  
ταβαρύν-  
των ἀνθρώ-  
πων ἐν τῇ  
καὶ κατὰ αὐ-  
τὸν.

life (I speake not, if any of us; but that which Paul hath suggested, if an Angell from heaven) declare unto you, other then you have received in the Scriptures, concerning the Law and the Gospell, let him be an Anathema. And Chrysostome in his exposition upon the 1. chap. to the Galathians, Saint Paul preferreth the Scriptures before Angels descending from heaven, and that most justly. And a little after. Wherefore he denounceth, that if any man preach unto you other then I have preached unto you, &c.

To conclude, how should those poynts before recited have beene preached by Saint Paul, considering that in his Epistles there is found a flat condemnation of their doctrines?

If beside the holy Scripture there be some other word of God, it were fit our adversaries should lay them in view fairely above board,

board,  
all strife  
from th  
ly they  
of Rom  
cially  
spect e  
owne c  
lish the  
necia  
verlari  
when  
have  
compe  
essenti

board, for the better avoidance of all strife and controversie arising from them. But they cannot. Only they would have the Church of Rome to be beleev'd, and especially the Pope; who is circumspect enough not to pronounce his owne condemnation, nor to abolish the Traditions that are so beneficiall unto him. Yea our adversaries themselves refute this, when they say that the Apostles have not taught by mouth, nor composed in writing all that is essentiall to Christian Religion.

CHAP.

## CHAP. XXII.

*Whether to ground a Doctrine, it be lawfull to use words equivalent to those that are found in the Scripture, or to use consequences and Arguments.*

**S**OME smattering and unquiet Iesuites perceiving themselves weake in the combate, by the pressure of the evidence of truth, have thought vpon a cavilling and impertinent course, whereby to entangle the disputation at the very entrance, and to prevent ever comming to the true scanning or examination of the doctrine.

Their cunning sleight is alwayes to question and interrogate, instead of keeping themselves to a regular and methodicall argumentation; and as soone as wee open our mouthes, they call to us *Shew*

me

me that n  
the Scrip  
but a fyl  
we use t  
consequ  
and say  
Horses  
breake o  
duced u  
quote f  
thew or  
the bod  
answer  
of the  
Matthe  
pound  
say, she  
eth thi  
by tha  
(thoug  
deride  
logism  
and an  
to reg

met that which you say, word by word in the Scripture. Now if there want but a syllable, or if it happen that we use these words, *that is say*, or *by consequent*, they fall into laughter and say that a Coach drawne by Horses is a consequent, and so breake off, alledging they have reduced us to consequences. If we quote some passage of Saint *Matthew* or of *Esay*, they aske whether the booke bee Canonickall: if wee answer, yea; they require a passage of the Scripture that saith, Saint *Matthew* is Canonickall. If wee expound one passage by another, they say, shew mee a passage that alloweth this passage to bee expounded by that. If we make an argument (though it be demonstrative) they deride and jeere it, saying, that syllogismes are but humane discourse, and an invention of *Aristotle*, unfit to regulate our faith.

But

But those of our adversaries who are better stored with knowledge, as *Thomas, Bellarmin, Baronius, Perron, Salmeron & Vasques* rejecteth this wrangling Philosophy & forward reasoning, which carpeth at syllables, and is made for nothing else but to bring forth nothing, and to brave and swagger in the speed of running away.

Now, what an unjust case it is, that those who attribute to the Church of Rome the power not only of adding to the Scripture, but also to alter that which God hath ordained in the Scripture, and who hold that their Church hath no obligation to the Scripture, should use such rigor against us, to bind us precisely to the words and syllables of the Scripture: though wee change nothing in the substance?

It were an easie matter for vs to  
pro-

proce  
fame  
word  
you say  
God n  
take b  
struct  
of the  
tell t  
and c  
giving  
joyn  
us, t  
to th  
so to  
insul  
the p  
fet t  
I  
Faic  
use  
that  
shal  
to v

proceed against them after the same wise : replying to the first word they offer us , *Shew mee what you say in as many words in the word of God written or unwritten*, for they take both for the rule of their instruction. And if they make use of these words *therefore* and *then*, to tell them, these are your reasons and consequences ; and in stead of giving satisfactory answers, to injoyne them that they proove unto us, that wee are bound to proove to them what they demand : and so to breake off with laughter and insultation, this were the way (as the proverbe hath it,) to counterfet the fooles with mad-men.

If in handling points of the Faith, it be not permitted to make use of other wordes besides those that are found in the Scripture, it shall not be suffered to preach, nor to write commentaries, nor to conferre



terre the passages of the Scripture together: for this collation cannot bee made without imploying some other words, which forme the comparison, and shew the resemblance. It shall not likewise be suffered to recite the Creed, nor to say there are but foure *Evangelists* in the new Testament: for the Scripture speaketh not this in so many words.

Moreover by this pedanticall cavilation, neither *Charles* nor *Anthony*, nor any particular man, shall bee obliged to beleieve in Iesus Christ, nor to obey him: For the Scripture neither speaketh of *Charles* nor *Anthony*. But the duty of particular men is drawne by necessary consequence, from the generall rules that are in the Scripture.

So our adversaries beleieve that *Pope Urbane* is lawfull successeur in

in the  
which  
by con  
Maxim  
lawfull  
Peter.  
dition  
why sh  
from t  
Wh  
the pri  
are Tr  
ture n  
shoul  
lables  
found  
were  
there  
the S  
on o  
quire  
say,  
Chr  
trifi

in the supremacie of Saint *Peter*, which neverthelesse they derive by consequence of this generall Maxime, *that the Bishops of Rome are lawfull successors in the Primacy of S. Peter*. If from an imaginary Tradition they draw consequences, why should not wee draw them from the holy Scripture?

When I say that *Purgatory*, and the primacy of the Bishop of *Rome* are Traditions, whereof the Scripture maketh no mention, how should I shew this in so many filables, seeing I hold that it is not found therein at all? for if there were found a passage that saith, there is no such thing as *Purgatory*, the Scripture should make mention of *Purgatory*. These men require the same, as when I should say, that nothing is spoken of Iesus Christ in *Virgils Æneades*, some trifling Sophister urgeth mee to shew

shew in the *Aeneades*, a passage affirming that Iesus Christ is not therein mentioned.

This peevish wrangling, no lesse injurious then troublesome, taketh from the Christians, all meanes of proving to a lew, by the Prophets, that Iesus is the Christ, for the name of Iesus Christ is not found in the Prophets; yet certaine it is, that the thing it selfe is therein explained in equivalent termes.

To be short, in such jugling Theology, it is impossible to prove by Scripture, that an *Ape* or *Cat* is not to be adored: for this is not found *totidem verbis* in the Scripture; but it is drawne from necessary consequence of passages, wherein God alone will be worshipped.

If I say that the soule is immortal, and that God governeth the World by his providence; will these venerable Doctors take mee by

by the  
syllabic  
Indeed  
words,  
lent sp  
in this  
things a  
will. E  
lesh no  
God. M  
selfe p  
stand, a  
sure. E  
If  
descen  
med w  
it not  
intelli  
these  
Lik  
ture t  
foun  
telling  
ven,

by the throte, to shew them this  
 sillabically in so many wordes?  
 Indeed it is not found in the same  
 words, but in some other equiva-  
 lent speaking of the life eternall;  
 in this manner: God maketh all  
 things according to the counsell of his  
 will. Ephes. 1.5. And a sparrow fal-  
 leth not the ground without the will of  
 God Matt. 10.29. And God him-  
 selfe pronounceth: My counsell shall  
 stand, and I will accomplish all my plea-  
 sure. Esay 46.10.

If the Scripture saith that God  
 descendeth, or runneth, or is infla-  
 med with choller, or sleepeth, shall  
 it not be lawfull to use plaine and  
 intelligible words in expounding  
 these figures?

Likewise I find not in the Scrip-  
 ture the word *Trinity*, but I have  
 found the word *three*; Saint *Iohn*  
 telling us that there are three in hea-  
 ven, the Father, the Word, and  
 the

*the Holy Spirit.* 1. Iohn 5. 7.

I find not in the Scripture, *totidem verbus*, that the soule of the thiefe was not in *Limbo*. But I find that Iesus Christ assured him, *Thou shalt this day bee with mee in Paradise.*

I find not in the Scripture in the same termes, that the Saints know not our hearts, but I find there, how God alone knoweth the hearts of men. 2. Chron. 6. 30.

There is no mention made of single life of Prelates, in the same words, but there it is sayd. *Let a Bishop bee husband but of one wife.* 1. Tim. 3. 2.

Furthermore Iesus Christ disputing with the devill, *Matth. 4. 11.* told him; *It is written, thou shalt worship the Lord thy God, and him only shalt thou serve.* Which is a passage of the 6. of *Deut. 13.* *Thou shalt feare the Lord thy God, and shalt serve him*

him, and swore by his Name. To which passage, the Lord joyneth another of the 1. of Sam. chap. 7. 3. *Subject your hearts to the eternal God, and serue him alone.* Iesus Christ made no scruple or difficulty to speake the same thing in sundry phrases.

At the 18. *Acts* 28. It is related that *Apollos* a Jew, demonstrated by the Scriptures of the old Testament, that Iesus was the Christ, though it bee not therein expressed in so many words.

And S. Peter at the 10. Acts 43. speaketh thus. To Iesus Christ give all the Propheys witnesse, that through his name, whosoever beleueth in him, shall receive remission of sinnes. Yet this is not found among the Propheys in expresse words, but in equivalent termes, and by necessary consequence. Shall we then bee rebuked, if wee alledge the Scripture



ture after the same wont and forme as Iesus Christ and the Apostles have done?

The Apostle *S. Paul* in the 2. to *Tim.* 1. 13. commanding us to hold fast the forme of sound words, doth not bind us to sillables, for soundnesse and purity of doctrine, may copiously and in full sense dwell vnder the signification of severall sorts of words, as health of body, may be clothed vnder another habit. It is so taught by *Hierome* vpon the 1. chap. to the *Galat.* Let us not thinke that the Gospel consisteth in the bare words of the Scripture, but in the true meaning and signification: not in the superficies, but in the very marrow, not in the leaues decked with words, but in the roote of solid reason.

The Fathers and ancient Councils were ignorant in such kind of importunate and unmanerly diuinity, when they defined by the

Scrip.

*Ne putemus  
in verbu  
scripturarum  
esse Evange-  
lium, sed in  
sensu: non in  
superficie, sed  
in modula:  
non in sermo-  
num folijs,  
sed in radice  
rationis.*

Script  
cell,  
with  
Cou  
Nest  
migh  
Moth  
TH  
shew  
shall i  
thana  
of th  
cell:  
couch  
the s  
Scrip  
G  
of hi  
calls  
at Ea  
And  
the  
faith  
them

Scripture in the first Nicene coun-  
cell, that the Sonne is consubstantiall  
with the Father. And when the first  
Council of Ephesus decreed against  
Nestorius, that the Virgin Mary  
might and ought to bee called the  
Mother of God.

ὁμοῦτις.

Μοῦνη.  
Παρά.

The Arians pressed Athanasius to  
shew them this word consubstan-  
tiall in the Scripture: to whom A-  
thanasius answereth in his booke  
of the decrees of the Nicene coun-  
cell: Though the very words be not so  
couched in the Scripture, yet they have  
the sence and understanding of the  
Scripture.

καὶ ὁμο-  
ούσιος ἐστὶ  
τῷ πατρί.  
ἐν τῇ αἰδή-  
σει, καὶ ἐν  
τῇ χάριτι.  
διὰ τὸ  
ἐκ τοῦ  
πατρὸς  
γεννηθῆναι.  
καὶ ὁμο-  
ούσιος τῷ  
πατρί.

Gregory of Nazianzen at the end  
of his Sermon touching Cyprian.  
calls the verbalists *ἡμεῖς τὰς συλλαβὰς καὶ  
λέξεις*, hunters after syllables and words.  
And in his 37. Oration, which is  
the fifth concerning Theology, he  
saith that the law of the letter is to  
them a shadow or cloake for impiety.

καὶ ὁμο-  
ούσιος  
τῷ πατρί.  
ἐν τῇ αἰδή-  
σει, καὶ ἐν  
τῇ χάριτι.  
διὰ τὸ  
ἐκ τοῦ  
πατρὸς  
γεννηθῆναι.  
καὶ ὁμο-  
ούσιος τῷ  
πατρί.

μερὲς τοῦ  
λέγοντος  
ὅτι καὶ ὁ  
καὶ ταῖς  
φωναῖς, καὶ  
μὴ οἰο-  
μεῖν τὰ δὲ  
αὐτῶν ὁ-  
μιλοῦντων.

Non potest  
aliquid certū  
esse certitudi-  
nis fidei, nisi  
aut immedi-  
ate continen-  
tur in verbo  
dei, aut ex  
verbo dei per  
evidentem  
consequentiam  
deducatur,  
&c. N. 9; de  
hoc principio  
vel Catholici  
vel heretici  
dubitant.  
Salmer. pro-  
leg. 9. prima  
quingena  
Can. 7.

Non tantum  
divinam au-  
thoritatem  
habent, & fi-  
de ea tenenda  
sunt quae in  
Scripturis  
expresse con-  
tinentur, sed

Ambrose in his booke concer-  
ning the Faith, written against the  
Arians, chap. 5. How doe you say that  
consubstantiall is not in the divine  
Scriptures? as if consubstantiall were  
any thing else but, I am issue of the Fa-  
ther, and the Father and I are one.

We learn out of Photius, his Biblio-  
theca, that Theodoret composed an  
expres treaty vpon this subject, the  
inscription whereof is, Against  
those who affirme that wee ought to  
relye on the words, without having re-  
gard to the matter signified.

Touching this point, we have the  
most learned of our adversaries on  
our side. Bellarmine in his 3. booke  
of justification, chap. 8. Nothing can  
bee sure in certainty of Faith, unlesse it  
bee contained immediatly in the word  
of God, or drawne from the word of God  
by some evident consequence.

Salmeron in his 9. Prolegomenon :  
Not onely the matter which is imme-  
diatly

diatly co-  
divine a-  
lowed w-  
that are c-  
& evide-  
bath bin-  
that gre-  
thing is  
manner  
therein  
litter all  
tained v-  
from i-  
now it i-  
tickes  
geth F-  
factio-  
that h-  
in the  
from  
le  
whetk-  
so as i-  
Scrip-

diarily conteined in the Scriptures, hath  
 divine authority, and ought to be al-  
 lowed with faith; but also all things  
 that are drawn from thence by necessary  
 & evident consequence, which doctrine  
 hath bin derived unto us from Greg.  
 that great Divine. And a little after, a  
 thing is sayd to be in the Scripture two  
 manner of wayes; partly because it is  
 therein contained expressely, and in the  
 litterall sence: partly because it is con-  
 tained virtually therein, and is drawne  
 from thence by necessary consequence,  
 now it is lawfull to dispute with Here-  
 tickes both these wayes. Hee bring-  
 geth Purgatory, merrius, and satisf-  
 actions for examples: wordes  
 that him selfe confesseth not to be  
 in the Scripture, but may be drawn  
 from thence by consequence.

Jesuite Vasquez: It importeth not  
 whether the word be in Scripture or no,  
 so as that which it signifieth be in the  
 Scripture. Iansenius Bishop of Gant,

etiam ea  
 non quae  
 illa necessaria  
 & evidenti  
 consequentia  
 deducuntur,  
 quae doctrina  
 a magno illo  
 Theologo  
 Gregorio ad  
 nos derivata  
 est. Et Paulo  
 post: Dupli-  
 citer aliquid  
 esse in Scrip-  
 tura dicitur,  
 aut quia est  
 expresse in eo  
 contentum, et  
 in sensu liti-  
 ralis: deinde  
 omne quod  
 virtute in eo  
 contentum est,  
 & necessaria  
 consequentia  
 extrahitur.  
 Atque his  
 duobus modis  
 agere licet in  
 haereticis.  
 Vazq. in 1.  
 Partem.  
 Thomae To-  
 mo 2. Disp.  
 110. cap. 1.  
 §. Quarto.  
 Nihil vobis  
 hanc vocem  
 non esse in  
 Scriptura si  
 vox id signi-

first quoted  
Scripture do  
not

affirmeth the same at the 107. Chapter of his Harmony.

Our confession is frivolously objected unto us, which saith in the 5. Article, that *the Scripture is the Rule of all verity, containing all that is necessary for the service of God and our salvation, to the which it is not lawfull to adde, diminish, or alter.* For if these Novice Doctors afforded themselves the leasure to read the following lines, they should there find, that *wee avow the three Creeds, to wit, the Apostles, the Nicene, and the Athanasian.* Which notwithstanding are not found in the Scripture, in such and so many words. And in the Article following, wee approve of that wh<sup>ch</sup> hath bin determined by the ancient councils, touching three persons in one individuall essence, yet the determinations of Councils are not found to bee in the Scripture in the same termes.

Our

Our c  
more to  
Council  
verities  
nowritte  
interrupt  
should e  
ry word  
so many  
word, or  
ten.

Whe  
bring o  
expositi  
suddenl  
this past  
such pr  
and ext  
poundi  
wherein  
Elders  
of Rom  
shall be  
as we h

Our confession confineth us no more to the Scripture, then the Councell of Trent bindeth our adversaries to the word written and unwritten. Yet they would not be interrupted thereupon, nor that we should enioyne them to shew euery word spoken by them, to be in so many syllables in the written word, or in that which is not written.

Whereas they suffer us not to bring one passage of Scripture, for exposition of another, unlesse wee suddenly bring a third, that saith this passage expoundeth that. By such proceeding they take away and extingnish all meanes of expounding Scripture by Scripture: wherein also they contradict the Elders and Doctors of the Church of Rome, who grant that Scripture shall bee interpreted by Scripture, as we have mentioned in my former



mer booke of the Iudge of Controversies, *Chap. 4.* For the exposition of these words, *This is my body*, we allegethe the passage of the Apost. saying, *This Bread which we breake, Is it not the Communion in the body of Christ?* Likewise, *When you shall eat of this Bread, or drinke of this Cup, you shall declare the death of the Lord.* Hereupon these youngsters require a passage which saith, that these two last passages are the exposition of the first. Wee answered that it is not needfull, for it is sufficient that these three passages speake of the same thing. For to understand the Doctrine of the Eucharist, it is be-hoovefull to collect together all that the Scripture maketh thereof mention; seeing that passages so comparatively united, doe manifest and interpret one the other.

These new Disputants in rejecting all syllogismes, and all arguments,

ments,  
ble. Fo  
banish t  
vinity:  
address  
mits an  
School  
Saint P  
Aristo, a  
Philoso  
very me  
doe ma  
manner  
sible to  
words c  
constrai  
or the m  
so much  
ture.

Wha  
norant,  
ons are  
should b  
rence n

ments, are obstinate and unexcusable. For by what reason can they banish the use of reason from Divinity? It were fitter for them to addresse themselves to the Thomists and Scotists, who are full of Schoole brambles, and doe subject Saint *Paul* to the positions of *Aristotle*, and clothe Divinity with a Philosophicall habite. Yet these very men who forbid us to dispute, doe make arguments (after their manner) to the which it is impossible to give answer, by the sole words of the Scripture. For we are constrained to say, *I deny the major, or the minor*, which are words not so much as touched in the Scripture.

What man, but a senselesse, is ignorant, that when two Propositions are soddered together as they should bee, the conclusion or inference must necessarily follow?  
And

And this is not an invention of *Aristotle*, but a worke of God, and a naturall impression; for peasants make good arguments, though ill accommodated.

If of two propositions in an argument, one bee drawne from the Scripture, the other be knowne by the sense, and allowed by the Adversary, the conclusion shall follow of necessity. As for example; I speake according to Scripture, that *every man is a lyer*. To this proposition, I adde another well knowne by the sense, and confessed by the Adversary, *Philip is a man*, whereupon the conclusion that followeth, *therefore Philip is a lyer*, cannot bee denied, but by some witleffe Idiot, and such a one as will not stick to contradict himselfe, in denying that which necessarily followeth, upon the proposition which he hath confessed.

And

And  
thought  
no certa  
two pro  
out a fo  
clusion  
in that  
lyer: ju  
ned in  
man to  
They  
them  
part of  
eth, th  
that by  
deth to  
the A  
the re  
prove  
Vpon  
12. D  
neth  
posit  
of Se

And to the end it may not bee thought, that this Conclusion hath no certainty, but by vertue of the two propositions, I say that without a formall syllogisme, this conclusion *Philip is a lyer* is contained in that proposition *every man is a lyer*: just as one crowne is contained in ten, though there bee no man to say it.

*Thomas* ought to have instructed them hereupon, who in the first part of his Summe Quest. 1. sheweth, that *Theologie is disputative*, and that by the Articles of faith it proceedeth to shew some other thing: as when the Apostle in 1 Cor. 15. disputeth of the resurrection of Iesus Christ, to prove the resurrection to bee common. Vpon which place *Vasquez* in the 12. Disputation Chap. 2. maintaineth, that in Theologie if one proposition be taken out of a passage of Scripture, and the other known by

Quest. 1.  
art. 8.  
Theologian  
esse argumē-  
tativam, ex  
articulis  
fidei procedere  
ad aliquid  
aliud ostē-  
dendum: Si-  
cut Apo-  
stolus 1. Cor 15.  
a resurrectione  
Christi  
argumentatur  
ad communem  
resurrectionem  
probandam.

by naturall light, a conclusion may bee drawne from thence, which may serve for a definitive position in the faith.

It is true (say these men) that humane reason may be deceived; and they say true. The same may bee said of the sight and of the hearing. But would they dig out their owne eyes, under colour that their eyes doe sometimes deceive them? Under the pretext that reason is sometimes abused, shall they withhold us from the use of reason? Are there no good consequences, and necessary? Because some are evill, shall they reject those that are good? If they will have it so, when the Doctors read to us some passage of Scripture, May not we tell them, *Perhaps it is not there as you reade it, you must not believe your eyes, for the sight of a man may often be deluded and mistaken?*

There.

There  
king arg  
not dece  
clusion  
which I  
proposi  
Scriptur  
by the f  
and is a

The  
tants of  
stave t  
ons, and  
call m  
make a  
cond p  
substan  
owne co  
is in t  
the v  
them

It v  
our I  
word

Therefore the manner of making arguments, where reason is not deceived, and whence the conclusion cannot bee denied, is that which I have said, by joyning to a proposition drawne from the Scripture, a second that is knowne by the sense, or by a naturall light, and is allowed by the Adverfary.

The way to put these Disputants of our age to a *non plus*, to flave them off from interrogations, and keepe them to a fyllogifticall method: for then they shall make an argument, wherein the second proposition shall bee thus in substance: *You are obliged by your owne confession to say nothing but what is in the Scripture totidem verbis*, the which ought to bee denied them.

It would be easie for vs to touch our Beliefe, in termes extracted word by word out of the Scripture



ture, fastning one passage to another without knot or connexion. The language indeed would ill cohere, having neither the word *for*, nor *then*, nor *wherefore*, nor all that serveth to dispose its discourse into parts, and to shew the prosecution of the reason. But in doing this, we should close up the mouthes of these harebrained spirits, who take it in indignity, and are offended if a word *for* used which is not in the Scripture.

In one thing they speake reasonably. But If (say they) *It be permitted to make use of consequences, why shall it not bee lawfull for us to doe the same?* This cannot bee contradicted, but on condition that they obtrude not unto us *non sequiturs* for consequences, drawing all things out of all things, like so many Chymists. You may see some patterns of their consequences:

Christ

Christ  
many th  
present  
Christ  
ought t  
served,  
ted. Ch  
fore the  
of Iesus  
hath sa  
fore th  
erre. C  
shall loo  
heaven  
loose  
soules  
made n  
fore i  
Likew  
is neith  
the wo  
a fire  
soules  
prove

Christ hath sayd, *I have to tell you many things, but you cannot for the present beare them away*: Therefore Christ hath taught that Saints ought to be invoked, images to be served, and the Trinity to be painted. Christ hath sayd, *doe this*: therefore the Priest sacrificeth the body of Iesus Christ in the Masse. Christ hath sayd, *tell it to the Church*: therefore the Church of Rome cannot erre. Christ hath sayd, *All that you shall loose on earth, shall bee loosed in heaven*: therefore the Pope can let loose vnder ground, and release soules out of Purgatory. God hath made man after his owne image: therefore images ought to be adored. Likewise, *Sin against the holy Ghoast is neither pardoned in this world, nor in the world to come*: therefore there is a fire of Purgatory, to purge the soules. Consequentes that would provoke laughter, were it not that  
Y there,

thereby the word of God is troden under foot, and the service of our Lord utterly depraved.

### CHAP. XXIII.

*Testimonies of the Fathers, touching the perfection of the Scripture.*

**A**S the authority of the word of God contained in the holy Scriptures, is not supported by the authority of men, so also its perfection hath no want of their testimony. Iesus Christ spake at the 5. of Iohn 24. *I seeke not testimony from men.* To beleeve that the word of God is perfect, because men affirme it, is to kindle a lampe to light the noone day; for God is not to be therefore trusted, because men say the word it must bee so. The word of God is as forcible alone, as in company: yea being a-  
lone

long it better guardeth its owne authority. How grosse then and absurd our adversaries should shew themselves, in attempting to prove the insufficiency of the Scripture, out of the Fathers, seeing that to defend her sufficiency by warrant of the Fathers, is to derogate from her authority.

But before we listen to the ancient Doctors in this question, give us leave to protest, that we alledge them not to defend the Scripture, but by way of their justification: for they are made the advocates of error, contrary to their owne intention. They are alledged to prove the insufficiency of the Scripture, whose actuall perfection and absolute sufficiency they exalt above all, upon all occurrences and tracts concerning the doctrine of salvation.

*Clement Alexandrinus* in the sixt

Y 2

booke

ἡ δὲ νῦν αὖτε  
 γραφὴς λέ-  
 γομεν.

Scriptum esse  
 doceat Her-  
 mogenis offi-  
 cina. Si non  
 est scriptum,  
 timeat va il-  
 lud, adjicien-  
 tibus aut de-  
 strahentibus  
 destinatum.

booke of his Stromata, Wee say no-  
 thing without the Scriptures.

Tertullian in his booke against  
 Hermogenes wrote before hee be-  
 came a Montanist Hereticke, in his  
 22. chap. *The shop of Hermogenes*  
*declareth to us that it is written, but in*  
*case it be not written, let that woe, de-*  
*nounced against those which adde or*  
*diminish, be a terrour vnto them.* But  
 when hee afterwards slid away in-  
 to heresie, he betooke himselfe to  
 maintaine his doctrine by vnwrit-  
 ten Traditions. For in his booke  
 of Monogamy, which he compiled  
 being an Hereticke, at the 2. chap.  
 hee transmitteth vs to Tradition,  
 alledging these words of our Lord:  
*I have many things to tell you, but you*  
*cannot carry them away at this time.*

which is the passage that our ad-  
 versaries ordinarily produce for  
 their Traditions.

Saint Hippolytus, *There is but one*  
 God,

Hippol. tome  
 3. Biblioth.  
 Patru, pag.  
 20 & 21.  
 Edit. Col.

God, w  
 meanes,  
 Even a  
 wisdom  
 obtaine  
 and prec  
 us that  
 wards C  
 it no wa

Sai  
 ning c  
 Gentil  
 spired  
 the tri  
 his bo  
 nation  
 rate a  
 writte  
 ding  
 A  
 offic  
 thing  
 tures  
 S  
 201

God, whom wee know not by other  
meanes, but by the sacred Scriptures.  
Even as he that would exercise the  
wisdom of this age, cannot seeke and  
obtaine it, but by reading the opinions  
and precepts of Philosophers: so all of  
us that would practise true Piety to-  
wards God, can learne and comprehend  
it no way else, but by the holy Scriptures.

Saint Athanasius in the begin-  
ning of his oration against the  
Gentiles, The holy and devinely in-  
spired Scriptures, are sufficient to cause  
the truth to bee understood. And in  
his booke of our Saviours Incar-  
nation, Are you so inordinatly despe-  
rate as to relate things that are not  
written, and to keepe your understand-  
ing at such distance from true piety?

Ambrose in his first booke de  
Officijs cha. 23. How can wee alledge  
things, not found to be in holy Scrip-  
tures?

Saint Hilary in his second booke

Y 3

against

Vnus Deus  
est, quem non  
aliunde agno-  
scimus quam  
ex S. Scriptu-  
ra. Quod-  
modum. n. si  
quis vellet sa-  
pientiam hu-  
ius seculi  
exercere, non  
aliter hoc co-  
sequi poterit,  
nisi dogmata  
Philosopho-  
rum legat: so-  
quicunq; vo-  
luerit victa-  
ri in Deum  
exercere, non  
aliunde disci-  
mus quam in  
Scriptura di-  
vinam.

Athan.  
αὐτὶς πρὸς  
μὴ δὲ εἰς τὴν  
αἰσχρομίαν  
θεῖον νόμον  
γὰρ αὐτὸν  
θεὸς τὸν  
τὸ αὐτὸν  
αὐτὸν πα-  
τερίαν.

Ambros.  
Qua in scrip-  
tura sancta  
non reperti-  
mus, ea quae  
admodum v-



suppare pos-  
seamus?

Hillar. To  
admirer, fide  
tantum secu-  
dum ea qua  
scripta sunt  
desiderantē.

Basil. ei 38

παυ ο κ

κκ πιστως

αμαρτια

οτιν, (οις

οισιν ο α-

ποστολ)

η ο πισις

εξ ακοης,

η δ ακοη

δια ρημα-

τος θεου παυ

το κκ τοις

τ θεωπονδ-

ευχαρισ

υκ κκ πισ-

ως ον, α-

μαρτια

οτιν.

Idem, πα-

υργ. εχ-

πρωσις πι-

σως, και

ιστηνα-

πισ και-

νοια, η

αδελφω,

against Constantius, I doe admire thee,  
O Emperour Constantius, shewing  
thy desire, that men should beleeve,  
according as it is written.

Basile is excellent heereupon to-  
wards the end of his Ethicks,  
which are among his Aschericks:

If (saith hee) all that is not of Faith  
be sinne, - as the Apostle speaketh, and  
faith commeth by hearing, and hearing  
from the word of God, all that is without  
or beside the holy Scripture divinely  
inspired (not being of faith) is sinne.

And againe in his Treatise concer-  
ning Faith: It is a manifest revolt  
from the faith, and a capitall crime of  
pride and presumption to reject any  
thing that is written, or to bring in any  
thing unwritten. See also the same  
Father amongst his more compen-  
dious rules in the 95. definition.

Saint Cyrill of Ierusalem is no  
lesse expresse. This good man in  
his fourth Catechisme instructeth  
the

people in  
divine and  
the least  
without th  
red to be b  
safer, e  
through  
no consid  
you thes  
prosse, o  
out of th  
grity of  
signes o  
ted, b  
divine  
And  
book  
mit of  
hath n  
solure  
book  
Cyril  
a 91



τοῖς ἀλη-  
θοῦς ἔχουσιν  
καὶ ἀλλοτρι-  
εῖναι.

Theod.

Dialog. 1.

ἐγὼ γὰρ μὴ  
καὶ περὶ τοῦ  
μακρῆς θείας  
χρησθῆναι

Et Dial. 2.

Οὐ γὰρ ἔ-  
πος εἰμὶ  
πρατὸς  
ὥστε φάναι

πᾶσι γνη-  
μὲνον πα-  
ρὰ τῇ θείᾳ  
χρησθῆναι.

Corysost.

πάντα σα-  
φῆς καὶ ἐν-  
δεία τὰ  
ἐν ταῖς

δείασι χρη-  
σάμενοι, παρὰ  
τὰ τὰ ἀ-  
ναγκαῖα

δύλα.

Et in Plā. 95

ἐὰν πᾶσι  
χρησθῶν λέ-  
γηται, ἡ

ture is sufficient to make those wise,  
most approved, and of able understand-  
ing, who are therewith educated and  
instructed.

Theodoret in his first Dialogue  
intituled *De Immutab.* Bring not hu-  
mane reasons to me, for I beleieve not in  
any thing, but the holy Scriptures.  
And in his second Dialogue, I am  
not so rash as to affirme any thing,  
wherein the sacred Scripture is si-  
lent.

Chrysostome upon the second E-  
pistle to the Thessalonians the se-  
cond Chapter, *All things that are in  
the divine Scriptures are cleere and  
sincere, every thing that is necessary, is  
therein plaine.* And upon Psalm. 95.  
*When any thing is spoken without the  
Scripture, the very cogitations of the  
hearers are lame.*

The same Father in his third  
Homily upon the second to the  
Corinthians, calleth the Scripture

an

an exa-  
square  
Bellarm  
doth n  
is the  
that it  
of all t  
S  
Chap  
The t  
forge  
call T  
and te  
stroke  
God.  
cah l  
straye  
from  
bring  
Scrip  
tion  
boun  
the  
T

an exact ballance, the rule and square of all things. He saith not as *Bellarmino* ( falsifying this passage ) doth make him, that the Scripture is the most exact rule of all; but *that it is the ballance, square, and rule of all things.*

διανοια τ  
 α χρσατ  
 σαζει.  
 α χρσν  
 ζυγν α-  
 πατων χ  
 παμορα.  
 χ, κανονα.  
 Bellay, de  
 verbo dei lib.  
 4 cap. ix.

Saint Hierome upon the first Chapter of the Prophet Aggay, *The things which they invent and forge of themselves, as by an Apostolical Tradition, without the authoritie and testimony of the holy Scriptures, are stricken and dashed by the very sword of God.* And upon the Prophet Micah l. i. c. i. *The Church of Christ is not strayed out of its limits, that is to say, from the holy Scriptures.* So as to bring any thing from without the Scripture in the Doctrine of salvation, is to wander out of the bounds that God hath prefixed to the Church.

Hieron. Sed  
et alia que  
absque au-  
thoritate et  
testimonio  
scripturarum  
quasi tradi-  
tione Aposto-  
lico spem  
reperimus,  
percuris glan-  
dium Dei.  
Ecclesia  
Christi tra-  
nsmisit o-  
gresso de finibus suis, id est  
de Scripturarum  
sanctis.

The same Father against *Helvidius*

Hieronymus ad  
Heliod. V.  
hac qua scri-  
pta sunt non  
negamus, ita  
ea qua non  
sunt scripta  
tenemus.  
Natum De-  
um esse de  
virgine cre-  
dimus quia  
legimus :  
Mariam nup-  
tisse post por-  
tum non cre-  
dimus quia  
non legimus.  
August. E-  
vangelista re-  
fatur multa  
Dominum  
Christum es-  
se dixisse et so-  
cisse quae non  
scripta sunt :  
et illa sunt  
autem quae  
scriberantur,  
quae saluti  
gradientium  
sufficere vi-  
debantur.

Vbi de re ob-  
scurissima  
disputatur,  
non ad iurari-  
um divina-  
rum scriptu-  
rum certu-  
clarisq; do-

ctus, As wee deny not that which is  
written, so wee reject that which is not  
written. Wee beleeve that God is borne  
of a Virgine, because we reade it : but  
we beleeve not that shee was joyned in  
marriage after her childe-birth, be-  
cause we reade it not.

We have the life of Saint An-  
thony ( which some attribute to A-  
thanasius ) speaking, τὰς γραφὰς ἱκανὰς  
ἵνα οἱ διδασκάλου, that the Scrip-  
tures are sufficient for our instruction.

Saint Augustine in his 49. Tre-  
atise upon Saint Iohn, The Evangelist  
testifieth that Iesus Christ both did and  
said many things that are not written :  
but we have chosen the things esteemed  
necessary to salvation, which have been  
written.

In his second booke De merito  
peccatorum & remiss. Chap. 36.  
When a matter of greatest obscurity  
and darknesse is disputed, without the  
assistance of the divine Scriptures eni-

dent

dent and most certaine direction, humane presumption ought to suppress itselfe. The knowledge that some impute to Saints concerning our cogitations, the *Limbus* for the Fathers, and that for little infants, are matters very obscure, yet concerning these points have we no passage in the word of God.

In the 142. Epist. chap 9. By the single Scripture alone, you may fully know the will of God. And if it bee supposed, that this Epistle was not written by Saint *Augustine*, but by *Pelagius*, yet it is manifest, that *Augustine* never reprehended him for speaking in this manner.

Also in his booke of nature and grace, Chap. 61. A *Pelagian* reciting to him some allegations of the Fathers, he answereth. I owe my approbation and consent onely to the Canonick Scriptures, without refusall or excuse.

The

argument, co-  
hibere se de-  
ber humana  
presumptio.

Per sola  
scripturas po-  
tes plenam  
Dei intelli-  
gere voluntate  
sem.

Sola Canonici  
da debet fieri  
ulla recusatio  
one consensu.



The same Doctor in his booke of the Vnity of the Church, disputeth against the *Donatists*, who affirmed that the true Church was on their side. *Augustine* to know which is the true Church, will have the question determined by the Scriptures alone; not by the Histories and humane Testimonies, whereof the *Donatists* make their use & best advantage. These are his words in the 2 chap. *What shall we doe then? shall wee seeke the Church in our wordes, or in the words of her head, our Lord Iesus Christ? I conceive that we ought rather to seeke it in the words of him, who is the truth it selfe.* Diametrically opposing our adversaries, who will have the Scripture notified and receiued by the Testimony of the Church: He on the other side will have us take notice, and embrace the true Church, by the Testimony of the Scrip-

*Quid ergo  
facturi su-  
mus? in ver-  
bu nostru eā  
qua situri, an  
in verbu ca-  
pitu sui, Do-  
mini nostri  
Iesu Christi?  
Puto quod  
in illius poti-  
us verbu eā  
quare de-  
bemus quā  
verum est.*

Scripture. And in the 3. chapter  
 persuing this discourse: *But as I*  
*began to say, let us not heare it spoken,*  
*I say this, thou sayest that, but let vs*  
*heare, this saith the Lord. There are*  
*assuredly bookes of the Lord, to whose*  
*authority we both subscribe, therein we*  
*both beleue, to them are we both sub-*  
*ject, that is the place where wee are to*  
*seeke the Church, there we debate our*  
*cause.* This pious Doctor spake  
 not as too many doe in these daies  
 that the Scripture is not judge, that  
 it is a dumbe Rule, that it is ambi-  
 guous, that it containeth not all  
 things necessary to salvation, that  
 the faith of the Church regulates  
 the Scripture, and not on the con-  
 trary; he would have the questi-  
 on of the Church decided by the  
 Scripture alone. Wherevpon he  
 addeth. *Let us despise and cast from*  
*us those allegations, which we make one*  
*against another, and are not taken from*  
*the*

*Sed ut discere  
 caperem, non audiamus  
 hac discere, hac dicere,  
 sed audiamus, Hac dicit  
 Dominus. Sunt certe  
 libri domini quorum  
 auctoritati utrique  
 consentimus, utrique  
 credimus, utrique  
 seruimus. Ibi  
 quarimus Ecclesiam  
 ubi discutamus  
 causam nostram*

*Aufmerksamkeit  
 ergo illa de  
 medio qua  
 aduersus nos  
 inuicem quod  
 ex diuina*

*Canonici  
libris sed ali-  
unde recita-  
mus.*

the divine Canonickall bookes, but from  
else-where. For (urging further) I  
desire that the Church bee proved,  
not by humane documents or instru-  
ctions, but by divine Oracles. He cal-  
leth humane instructions, all that  
is alledged without the Scripture.  
Can our adversaries by this course  
ever proove, that the Church of  
Rome is onely the true Church, ra-  
ther then the *Gracian*, or the *Syri-  
an*? and that the *Pope* is *Saint Pe-  
ters* successour, in the charge of  
head of the vniversall Church?  
At the last, after many passages of  
Scripture called to mind, and utter-  
ed in defence of it, turning his de-  
signe towards the *Donatists*, hee  
summoneth them to proove their  
positions by Scripture. Read us  
that in the Law, the Prophets, the  
Psalmes, the Gospel it selfe, or writings  
of the Apostles, and wee shall beleue.  
Obserue directly how we proceed  
with

*Cap. 6.  
Legere nobis  
hoc de Lege,  
de Prophetis,  
de Psalmis,  
de ipso Euan-  
gelio, de A-  
postolice lre-  
re, legite, et  
credemus.*

with o  
upon th  
Saints,  
tion of  
Pope in  
in the m  
files, E  
them.  
this, t  
of the  
dema  
us to T  
the un  
mouth  
say, t  
who c  
Tradi  
moda  
dued  
Th  
satisf  
ing u  
book  
Saint

with our adversaries; for we call upon them. *Reade us invocation of Saints, Images of the Trinity, adoration of Reliques, or Succession of the Pope in the Apostleship of Saint Peter, in the writings of the Prophets, Apostles, Evangelists, and we will beleene them.* But they are so neiled at this, that (following the example of the *Donatists*) they censure this demand to be vnjust, remanding us to Tradition, which they call the unwritten word, taught by the mouth of the Church, that is to say, the *Pope* and a few Prelates, who dominere by means of these Traditions, which are all accommodated to their profit, and subdued to their power.

This holy personage cannot be satisfied with long enough insisting upon this sub<sup>j</sup>ct, and if this booke were not to bee found in all *Saint Augustines* workes, or that it were

## Cap. 12.

*Legat mihi  
hoc in scrip-  
tura sancta,  
& non sit a-  
nathema.*

## Cap. 15.

*Legant hoc  
nobis de scri-  
ptura sancta,  
& nos cre-  
demus.*

## Cap. 16.

*Remota igitur  
talibus  
Ecclesiam  
suam demon-  
strent si pos-  
sunt, non in  
sermonibus  
& rumoribus  
Afrorum, non  
in concilijs  
Episcoporum  
suorum, non  
libere quorum  
libet disputa-  
torum, non in  
signis & pro-  
digijs fallaci-  
bus, quia etiam  
contra ista  
verbo Domini  
preparati  
& caute red-  
diti sumus,*

were without a title, our adver-  
saries would say, that Calvin, or Beza  
had contrived it to their humour.  
For he addeth. *Let Donat read mee  
that in the holy Scriptures, and he shall  
be no Anathema.* Likewise, let them  
read us that in the holy Scriptures, and  
we will beleue it. And a little after,  
rejecting the proofes of the Dota-  
nists, who alledged miracles for  
themselves, and the Councells of  
their Bishops, and the beleefe of  
the people, saith, *Such like matters  
being layd aside, let them demonstrate  
and proue their Church if they be able,  
not in discourses and rumors of A-  
fricans, nor by the Councells of their  
Bishops, nor by the writings of such and  
such disputants, nor by cheating signes  
and miracles: for against those devi-  
ces we are armed and prepared with  
the word of God: but by the ordinan-  
ces of the Law, by the predictions of  
the Prophets, by the Canticles of the*  
Psalmes,

Psalmes  
himselfe  
aking  
say, by  
the holy  
Bu  
difficul  
there v  
ture, a  
and - c  
sense c  
alledg  
of our  
make  
preter  
partie  
Chur  
any j  
leavin  
ry on  
are pl  
is faic  
cleer  
ring

Psalmes, by the words of the Sheepeheard himselfe, by the preachings, and paines taking of the Evangelists, that is to say, by all the Canonickall authorities of the holy Bookes.

But as concerning another difficulty proposed, to wit, that there was obscurity in the Scripture, and that there was difference and disagreement touching the sense of the passages which were alledged, hee doth not in manner of our adversaries, who strue to make the Church infallible interpreter: for in so doing, one of the parties should be judge; and the Church should not bee subject to any judgment; but he averreth that leaving the obscure passages, every one may make use of those that are plaine, presupposing that what is said obscurely in one passage, is cleerly manifested in others. Assuring withall, that there is no other

Z

way

sed in pra-  
scripto Legi,  
in Prophe-  
tism prae-  
dictum in  
Psalmis  
cantibus, in  
ipsis pastoris  
verbis, in  
Evangelista-  
rum prae-  
dicationibus &  
laboribus:  
hoc est, in  
omnibus Ca-  
nonicis sanc-  
torum libro-  
rum authori-  
tatis.



Cap. 4.  
*Hec etiam  
 prae dicitur atq;  
 propono, ut  
 quaque aper-  
 ta & mani-  
 festa deliga-  
 mus, quae si in  
 S. Scriptura  
 non inueni-  
 tur, nullo  
 modo essent  
 unde aperire-  
 tur clausa, &  
 illustraretur  
 obscura.*

Lib. 2. de  
 doct. Chri.  
 cap. 9. In his  
 qua aperte  
 posita sunt in  
 Scriptura in-  
 veniuntur illa  
 omnia qua  
 continent fi-  
 dei moreque  
 vivendi.

*Sed nunc nec  
 ego Nica-  
 num nec tu  
 debes Arimi-  
 nensem san-  
 ctuam praeiudi-  
 caturus pro-*

way to avoyd doubtfulness and difficulty. I propose this (saith he) to the end we may chuse the passages, that are most cleere and manifest; the which being not found in the holy Scriptures, there should be no further meanes to open things that are shut up, and explaine the obscure.

For (as he speaketh in another place) In matters that are plainly set downe in the holy Scriptures, are found all things that concerne the faith and good manners. As Basil hath it in his *Breviores Regulae*, at the 267. Answer. The matter that seemeth to be obscurely mentioned in some passages of the Scripture divinely inspired, are interpreted by that which is more cleerly set downe in other places.

Hee in his third booke against *Maximian*, Chap. 14. dispute th thus against an Hereticke; Now I ought not alledge the Nicene Councell, nor thou the *Ariminensian* by way of pre-judging.

judgi-  
 rity of  
 of thi  
 ther,  
 son to  
 thori  
 not p  
 comm  
 party  
 Or  
 mie,  
 holy S  
 them  
 worth  
 fwer  
 of o  
 whic  
 that  
 ture.  
 proc  
 sage.  
 pear  
 him  
 thing

judging. I am not bound to the authority of that; nor thou to the authority of this. Let one thing be opposed to another, one cause to another, and one reason to another reason, and this by authorities of the Scriptures, which are not particular to such and such, but are common witnesses to one and the other party.

Origen in His Homily upon Ieremie, It is necessary that we bring the holy Scriptures to witnesse, for without them our opinions and reports are not worthy to be beleev'd. Bellarmine answereth, that Origen speaketh only of obscure questions, concerning which, he thinketh it behoovefull, that they be taught by the Scripture. But besides that, the whole proceeding of Origen in this passage, maketh the contrary to appeare: the Cardinall deceiveth himselfe, if hee thinke that the things easie to bee understood (as

Z 2

that

*ferre Concill-  
um: Nec ego  
huius autho-  
ritate nec  
tu illius deti-  
neris. Scrip-  
turarū autho-  
ritatibus non  
quorūcūque  
propys, sed  
utriq; com-  
munibus te-  
stibus, res cū  
re, causa cum  
causa, ratio  
cū ratione  
concordet.*

*Necesse nobis  
est sanctas  
Scripturas in  
testimonium  
vocare. Siquis  
quippe nostri  
& enarratio-  
nes sine his  
testibus non  
habent fidē.  
Bell. lib. de  
verbo Dei nō  
scripto, cap.  
11. fol. 2.*

that God hath created the world, and that Iesus Christ is dead for us ) have not as much need of the authority of the Scripture, as those that are obscure: but on the contrary, it is not necessary to penetrate into the knowledge of many obscure things ; and God hath not deemed it requisite to satisfie curiosity therein. Moreover, *Bellarmino* speaking in that manner, condemneth a great number of Traditions in the Romish Church, which are most obscure ; as the Tradition of *Limbus* for the Fathers, and that for little infants. The Tradition that the Saints know our thoughts, and behold all things in Gods face. The Tradition of accidents without subject in the Eucharist. The Tradition that the Virgine *Mary* is crowned Queene of heaven ; which are things wherein mans understanding



Cell lib. de  
verb. Dei nō  
scripto cap.

II. S. tertio.  
Erat Constā-  
tinus magnus  
Imperator,  
sed non mag-  
nus Ecclesie  
Doctor.

Andrad. lib.  
2. Defens.  
Fidei Trid.  
initio. Non  
adversus im-  
prudētes ho-  
mines tantū  
Arianū qui  
Salcucia con-  
venerunt, istā  
Constantini  
orationem  
artificia.

doubts from the words divinely inspi-  
red. This holy discourse displeaseth  
Bellarmine, for he saith, That Con-  
stantine was a great Emperour, but  
no great Doctor of the Church, and  
that he understood not the secrets of re-  
ligion. And Andradius affirmeth,  
that these words of Constantine  
pleased none but the hereticall  
Arians. But who was he among  
the Ancients that ever blamed this  
Emperour for speaking so? Yea,  
doe not all the Historians magnifie  
his prudence and sage manage-  
ment of affaires in this Councell?  
And verily this Councell hath  
followed his counsell, and refuted  
not the Arians by other strength  
of Argument, then by the holy  
Scripture.

It is evident by this passage, that  
Constantine untill then had allow-  
ed no other instruction but by the  
holy Scriptures, and that no man  
taught

taught  
with C  
men be  
ing tha  
ture is  
on of  
If t  
salvati  
or for  
did re  
taine  
much  
son in  
ages  
lesse  
new  
ther  
Bella  
Bar  
pow  
of  
saic  
the  
not

taught after the fashion of the *Romish* Church at this time, wherein men begin with Tradition, in saying that the authority of the Scripture is founded vpon the Tradition of the Church.

If then in matters necessary to salvation, these Doctors for three or foure ages after the Apostles did reject all Traditions not contained in the holy Scriptures, much more and with stronger reason it standeth, that after so many ages transacted, there should be lesse probability of cause to make new additions. For when shall there be any cessation of adding? *Bellarmino* in his 3. chapter against *Barkley*, perceiuing that the Popes power to depose Kings, is destitute of all Testimony of antiquity, saith, that hee judgeth not soundly of the Church of Christ, who admitteth nothing but what he readeth expressly

*Non recte de Ecclesia sentit qui nihil admittit nisi quod expresse in veteris Ecclesie scriptis*



aus factum  
esse legis.  
Quasi Eccle-  
sia posterioru  
temporis aus  
deserit esse  
Ecclesia, aus  
facultate non  
habuerit ex-  
plicandi &  
declarandi,  
constituendi  
etiam et in-  
bendi qua ad  
fidē et mores  
Christianos  
pertinens.

to haue beene done or sayd in the an-  
cient Church. As if the Church of the  
latter time, had either discontinued  
and left off to be a Church, or had not  
the faculty of explicating, or declaring,  
constituting, and ordaining matters  
which concerne the faith, and man-  
ners of Christians. Whence it fol-  
loweth, that the Church of Rome  
is not yet compleate and finished  
in her perfection, seeing that pre-  
cepts touching the faith, and rule  
of moralitie may be added there-  
unto; as indeed there are yet ma-  
ny that are hot in the forge, and  
freshly hammered upon the anvile  
of avarice, and ambition. But this  
Cardinall ought to consider, that  
seeing this Tradition touching the  
Popes power to depose Kings, maketh the Pope King of Kings; It is  
not just or reasonable, that the  
Pope should be judge thereof, nor  
that he should bee permitted with-  
out

out re  
ther  
Trad  
God  
temp  
himse  
By  
suite  
misb  
Chur  
it is  
time  
ceed  
rauld  
yet  
Iudg  
Israe  
F  
Bull  
of th  
cing  
Luth  
in th  
Rom

out rendering accompt to any other person, to introduce such Traditions without the word of God, whereby to enveagle the temporall wealth, and to make himselfe the monarch on earth.

By this very doctrine the *Iesuite* equalleth in authority the *Romish* Church of this time, to the Church of the Apostles time. Yet it is the Church of the Apostles time, which regulateth the succeeding ages. And those first Heraulds of grace in Iesus Christ, are yet seated vpon the twelue thrones Iudging the twelue Tribes of Israel.

From this source proceeded the Bull *Exurge*, which is at the end of the last *Lateran* Councell, placing this amongst the heresies of *Luther*, when he sayd that, *It is not in the power of the Pope, and Church of Rome, to establish Articles of faith.*  
Hence

Syn Flor.  
Sess. vii.  
Romana Ec-  
clesia confessi-  
tate regente  
in eo suo par-  
ticula illam  
ex filioque  
Symbolo ap-  
ponere licu-  
it.

Hence also proceeded the remon-  
strance, which the council of *Fla-  
rence* published; that the Church  
of *Rome* had just power to add to  
the Creed.

### CHAP. XXIIII.

*How the Texts and Passages of the Fa-  
thers, which our adversaries alledge  
for the unwritten Traditions, ought  
to be understood.*

**S**EEING that in matter of Chri-  
stian faith, and the points ne-  
cessary to salvation, the *Fathers*  
doe unanimously cleave to the  
sole word of God, contained in  
the Holy Scriptures, it were a  
strange thing if after this, they  
should seeke to ground themselves  
upon Traditions, and to surmise  
in matter of salvation, another word  
unwritten. Certainly the Doctors  
who should destroy that which  
they

they  
be be  
they  
them  
N  
them  
neces  
wee h  
that w  
dition  
is a T  
son.  
are T  
ters o  
vation  
ments  
policy  
proof  
have b  
ent Ch  
And  
one of  
to Idol  
other

they have built vp, ought not to be beleevd; by no meanes should they bee credited, who credit not themselves.

Now for the better purging of them from this blame, it would be necessary to remember that which wee have formerly spoken, to wit, that we reject not all sorts of Traditions; for the Scripture it selfe is a Tradition, which is one reason. A second is, because there are Traditions which are not matters of Faith, nor necessary to salvation, but customes, and reglements, touching Ecclesiasticall policy: which wee willingly approve, when wee see that they have beene received in the auncient Church by a generall consent. And *Satan* having alienated any one of these customes, and turned it to Idolatry, or converted it to any other end unpractised before, wee doe

Three sorts  
of good  
Traditions.

I.

2.

doe not beleue that in deserting  
such a custome, Christian Religi-  
on is a whit impaired ; but it were  
wisely done to barge up that gate  
against the devill. A third is, be-  
cause there are also Doctrines  
taught in the Scripture, which are  
there not found in the same termes  
as the Ancients propose them, but  
are therein found in equivalent  
words, or are deduced from thence  
by necessary consequence. If any  
man will call these doctrines *Tradi-  
tions* wee will not quarrell him  
thereupon, provided that he al-  
low such Traditions to be botto-  
med with the Scripture, and there  
to be found in substance.

I say then, as often as the Fathers  
mention and give way to Tradi-  
tions, their meaning is of those three  
sorts afore recited : that is to say,  
either of the Scripture it selfe ; or  
of customes and reglements of

Ecclesi-

Ecclesiasticall policy, and of matters not necessary to salvation; or of occurrences contained in the Scripture, yet not there found in the same words, as the auncients propose them, but in substance and by consequence, to proove the which wee have employed the Chapter following.

CHAP. XXV.

*A prooffe of that which went before.*

SOME doe object *Irenaeus* unto us (who wrote about the end of the second age) that in his 3. book 4. chap. disputing against Hereticks that gave no admission to the Scriptures, laboureth to convince them by *Traditions*, that is to say, (as he expoundeth himselfe) by the succession of the doctrine left from hand to hand, in the Churches erected



*Quid autē si  
neq; Aposto-  
li Scripturas  
quidem relin-  
quissent nobis  
nonne oportet  
sequi tradi-  
tionis quam  
tradiderant  
iis quibus  
committēbant  
Ecclesias?*

erected by the Apostles. *What?* (saith he) *If the Apostles had not left us the Scriptures, would it not have beene needfull to follow the order of Tradition, which they delivered to those, unto whose trust they committed the Churches?* And to good purpose he sayd it: for if wee had not the holy Scriptures, wee should have beene constrayned to have recourse vnto weaker meanes, and of lesse certainty. And it behooveth that when he speaketh in that manner, it bee to such as are refractary and averse from the Scriptures, but not to vs who cordially embrace them, and set up our last rest upon them. Moreover from the time of *Irenaeus*, the succession was but short, and the memory of things taught by the mouth of the Apostles fresh, of the which the remembrance would bee razed and put out, if we had not the writings of

of the  
ation  
corrup  
ny Ch  
mouss  
varian  
tion,  
minat  
stian  
tainty  
Bu  
trines  
to be  
ditio  
Is it  
of Im  
the  
or th  
such  
touch  
ing t  
Chri  
the  
not

of the Apostles. For the continu-  
ation of time, and the subversion,  
corruption, and schisme of so ma-  
ny Churches, which then unami-  
mously concurred, and are now at  
variance, boasting of their succe-  
ssion, maketh this search and exa-  
mination impossible to the Chri-  
stian people, and full of uncer-  
tainty.

But at length what are these doc-  
trines which *Irenaeus* would have  
to bee taught and learned by Tra-  
dition, if we had not the Scripture?  
Is it invocation of Saints, service  
of Images, adoration of Relickes,  
the Communion under one kind;  
or the Romish Indulgences? no  
such matter; it is the doctrine  
touching the Creation, and touch-  
ing the nature and office of Iesus  
Christ contained most cleerely in  
the Scripture: which appeareth  
not only for that heerein bee skir-  
misheth

miseth and contendeth against the Hereticks, erring in these poynts; but also in that he sayth, that wee ought to seeke these things by Tradition, if wee have not the Scriptures; acknowledging that these things are taught by the Scriptures. Assuredly *Irenaeus* by Tradition, intendeth not to speake of any addition to the Scripture, but hee speaketh of the succession from hand to hand, whereby the doctrine of the Gospell was trayned on to his time: and in this very place speaking of certaine barbarous people, that had received the Gospell by Tradition without Scripture, he interpreteth the articles of this Tradition, which are the articles of the Apostles Creed.

Also it is not amisse to have the Reader advertised, that *Irenaeus* in these same bookes, which hee hath written against the Hereticks, treateth

teth  
cont  
which  
proof  
Soules  
feet an  
He ho  
of the  
glory,  
And t  
Law,  
becau  
Law v  
who h  
by wr  
was lo  
his La  
T  
the k  
ought  
one th  
errou  
they  
with

teth concerning Traditions not contained in the holy Scriptures, which the Church of Rome approoveth not. Hee teacheth that Soules separated from the bodies have feet and hands, and a corporall figure. He holdeth that the Soules issuing out of the bodies mount not up to Celestiall glory, but into a terrestriall Paradise. And that Before the publication of the Law, no law was given to the Fathers, because they were just: and the Law was not ordained for the just, who had no need to be admonished by written letters. But when justice was lost in Ægypt, then God gave his Law unto the people.

The same Father teacheth, that the kingdome of Iesus Christ ought to endure no longer then one thousand yeeres, which is an error of the Chiliafts: and that they shall then feast themselves with delicate Wines, and exquisite

Aa

Viands

Iren. lib. 2.  
cap. 62. *Planimè Domini dicitur animas charitate corporis, in quo etiam adaptantur, custodire eundem.*  
Et cap. 63. *Per hac manifestissime declaratur esse et perseverare animas, et non de corpore in corpus transire, et habere hominis figuram.*  
Iren. lib. 5.  
cap. 5. & lib. 5. cap. 31.  
Iren. lib. 4.  
cap. 30.  
Iren. lib. 5.  
cap. 33. & 34 & 35.

Iren. lib. 1.

cap. 57.

*Ecclesia non  
invocationi-  
bus Angelicu  
faciens ali-  
quid, sed mi-  
di, patet &  
manifeste in-  
vocationes diri-  
gens ad Do-  
minum, &c.*

Viands. So litle certaintie there is in men, as soone as they start aside from the sacred Scripture. With what conscience can our adversaries alledge *Irenaeus* in the behalfe of Traditions, seeing his are so distastfull to them? Hee also condemneth *Invocation of Angels*, and the haughtinesse of *Victor Bishop of Rome*, as *Eusebius* recordeth it in the 5. booke of his history, chap. 25.

They serve also their turnes upon the testimony of *Clemens Alex.* to backe their Traditions. *Euseb.* in the 6. book of his Ecclesiastical history, chap. 11. remembreth one passage of him, where he reporteth that his brothers importuned him to teach them the Traditions which he had heard by the ancient Priests. But he maketh no mention whether these Traditions were matters not contained in the Scriptures. Now the Reader may here

note

note, u  
pisme  
shoule  
the m  
the E  
Crow  
ed w  
them  
carcat  
fraug  
gant  
pose  
Corin  
among  
doe i  
his T  
the G  
were j  
That  
God  
from  
tation  
death  
passe

*αἱ ἐν τῇ  
ἐκκλησίᾳ  
ἀρρέον  
ἀποστολῇ  
ἔχον  
καὶ τὰ  
ἐκδοθέν*

note, upon what groundworke Pa-  
pisme is built: our adversaries to  
shoulder it up, doe scrape together  
the most excrementall scumme of  
the Fathers, like to the carraine-  
Crowes that forsake trees beautifi-  
ed with delicious fruit, to cast  
themselves downe upon noysome  
carcasses. Observe this *Clement* full  
fraught with his idle and extrava-  
gant Traditions, fitting to his pur-  
pose, this passage of the 1. to the  
*Corinthians*: Wee declare *Wisedome*  
among the perfect, as our adversaries  
doe in like manner. Listen then to  
his Traditions. Hee holdeth that  
the *Greeks*, that is to say, the *Pagans*  
were justified and saved by *Philosophy*.  
That there are foure persons in  
God. That the Angels are fallen  
from their purity by their coha-  
itation with Women. That the  
death of *Iesus Christ* did not come to  
passe by the will of God. That afflicti-

*Strom. lib. 5.*

*Clem. Alex.  
Strom. lib. 1.  
pag. 137.*

καὶ τοῖς κα-  
θ' ἑαυτῶν  
ἐδικαίουν  
ποτὶ καὶ τὴν  
φιλοσοφίαν  
τοῦ Ἑλλη-  
ναι.

*Plurima de  
iustitiae boni-  
tate lib. 1.*

*Strom. pag.  
131. & seq.  
edit. Comelli-  
niana. et li. 6.*



Idem lib. 2.  
 Strō. pa. 173.  
 ἡ τοῦ θεοῦ  
 ἡ δὲ τοῦ θεοῦ  
 ἡ δὲ τοῦ θεοῦ  
 ἡ δὲ τοῦ θεοῦ  
 ἡ δὲ τοῦ θεοῦ  
 Strōm. lib. 3.  
 pag. 193.  
 Strōm. lib. 4.  
 pag. 217.  
 Strōm. lib. 5.  
 pag. 252.  
 Strōm. lib. 6.  
 pag. 270.

Strōm. lib. 6.  
 pag. 276.  
 ἡ δὲ τοῦ θεοῦ  
 ἡ δὲ τοῦ θεοῦ  
 ἡ δὲ τοῦ θεοῦ

ons doe not seize upon us through Gods will and command, but that he no way hindereth it, and by his simple permission. That God is a body. That the Apostle Saint Paul exhorted the Christians to read the bookes of the Grecians, of the Sybills, and of Hystaspes. That Christ had foretold to the Iewes which should be converted, that their sinnes should bee pardoned them within two yeeres. That Christ hath preached to the Iewes which were in hell, and that the Apostles also descended into hell to preach to the Gentiles, to worke their conversion. And in the same sixt booke of his Stromata, speaking of a sage or wise man in this present life, saith, he is not subject to any passion or alteration, and that hee is without joy, or feare, or confidence; to be short, hee maketh him a God in the shape of man, and calleth such a man

man  
 to bee  
 Math  
 strong  
 bath  
 the Pa  
 they n  
 And  
 rall, a  
 Are  
 our a  
 proo  
 Scrip  
 them  
 the a  
 Trac  
 shou  
 A  
 wro  
 In th  
 hee  
 ten  
 Bap  
 tim

man a *Gnosticke*: and will have him to bee skilled in Musicke and in the Mathematicks, in Logicke and Astronomy. Hee affirmeth that God hath given the *Sunne and Moone* to the Pagans to worship them, to the end they might not bee without a Religion. And speaketh of gods in the plural, as if there were many of them. Are these the Traditions which our adversaries obtrude upon us to proove the insufficiency of the Scripture? or if these displease them, why doe they relye vpon the authority of one that coyneth Traditions, whereof the memory should be buried for everlasting?

At the same time *Tertullian* wrote his booke *de Militia Corona*. In the 2. chap. of the same booke, hee fileth up a long list of unwritten Traditions, which are, that in Baptisme the Christians of his time renounced the Divell, and his

*Stron. lib. 6.  
pag. 284*

pompe, and his Angels : that they were plunged three times into the water : that they tasted the miscelane or hotchpot of milke and hony : that they made conscience of washing themselves seven dayes after : that they participated of the Sacrament of the Eucharist in the assemblies made before day, and would not receive it from any hand but of those that did preside : that they made offerings ( so they called the gifts which the people did present ) for the defunct, upon the day of the Nativity, one day every yeere. By the day of Nativity hee understandeth that day, whereon the memory of Martyrs was yeerely celebrated, as also whereon Offerings were made, and Almes given in memory of them.

Furthermore hee addeth the Tradition wherein they accompt it a foule sinne to fast upon the

Lords

Lords  
kneeling  
they  
in pu  
marke  
with  
summ  
If thou  
of the  
thou  
tende  
custon  
faith  
(  
then  
this  
Tra  
a m  
con  
saic  
wh  
tha  
fai  
va

Lords day, and to pray that day kneeling; and the custome (when they trample and walke abroad) in putting on their shooes, to marke themselves in the forehead with the signe of the Crosse. summing all vp with this saying; *If thou expostulate the legall condition of these disciplines and others the like, thou shalt not find it. Tradition is pretended to thee which increaseth them, custome which confirmeth them, and faith which observeth them.*

*Harū et ceterarū eiusmodi disciplinarū si legem expostules Scripturarū, nullam invonies. Traditio tibi præstatur auxiliatrix, consuetudo confirmatrix, et fides observatrix.*

Our Adversaries doe shrowd themselves in the protection of this last passage, to establish their Traditions. Yet can there not bee a more proper passage alledged to confirme the same which I have said concerning the Traditions which the Fathers have handled, that they are not Doctrines of faith, nor matters necessary to salvation, but onely Ceremonies, and

Customes, and Lawes of Ecclesiastical policie, which the Church of Rome hath forsaken for the most part, and regardeth them no more. For all the Traditions of *Tertullian* are but Customes and Ceremonies; whereupon hee calleth them *Disciplines*, and there is nothing therein which concerneth the Doctrine of faith, or is necessary to salvation. And concerning the question which he discusseth in this booke, whether a Christian souldier at a day of muster, when all the souldiers were crowned with a Lawrell, did better in chusing rather to suffer martyrdome, then to put the crowne upon his head, contenting himselfe to hold it in his hand; I say it is not a point of faith, but an opinion wherein *Tertullian* had but a few to second him. For the other Christians accused this souldier of temerity, and

and to have drawne persecution upon his companions in a thing in different, saying, That there was nothing in the Scripture that obliged him to it. But *Tertullian* defendeth the action of this souldier by Tradition.

When we alledge some passages of *Tertullian* expresse against invocation of Saints, and against Transubstantiation; our Adversaries on the other side, alledge the words of *Hierome* against *Helvidius*, *I have nothing more to say of Tertullian, but that he was not a man of the Church:* that is to say, he was an Hereticke. Whilst hee was Orthodoxall, hee condemned Traditions, as it hath formerly appeared unto us. But being turned Montanist, he falleth into much admiration of Traditions, vouching the words of our Saviour; *I have yet many things to deliver to you, but you cannot for*  
she



the present bearethem away. Which is the ordinary language of our Adversaries. Now, it doth not import us, whether he hath written the booke of the souldiers crowne, being an Hereticke, or being yet Orthodoxall; seeing the Traditions which he bundleth together, touch not the Christian faith. Neverthelesse, it is certaine that he was then an Hereticke: For in this booke he maliced and repined at the Catholikes, because they taught, that it was lawfull for any man to save his owne life, without exposing it to martyrdom; and because they rejected the prophecies of *Montanus*, who stiled himselfe the holy Ghost. Hereunto those words of *Tertullian* at the second chapter, seeme to have relation, *It remaines that they who have rejected the prophecies of the holy Ghost, doe intend to decline and refuse*

*Plane super-  
est ut etiam  
Martyria re-  
cusare medi-  
ventur qui  
prophetias e-*

use martyrdomes. Alas, I know their <sup>in dē Spi-</sup>  
 Pastors who are Lions in peace, and <sup>ritus sancti re-</sup>  
 Harts in battle. The same hath like- <sup>spuerunt, &c.</sup>  
 wise beene observed by *Pamelius*. <sup>Nos & pa-</sup>  
 So then, these Gamesters have lit- <sup>stores corn in</sup>  
 tle reason, but lesse honesty to bor- <sup>pace leones, in</sup>  
 row the weapons of an Here- <sup>prælio cervos.</sup>  
 ticke.

There are found some other passages of *Tertullian*, wherein by Tradition, hee understandeth the Doctrine of the Gospel contained in the holy Scriptures. But we willingly imbrace this Tradition.

To this passage of *Tertullian*, we may compare another of *Basil* much alike, in Chap. 27. of his booke *De Spiritu Sancto*, where hee makes a long recapitulation of unwritten Traditions. Harken to his words: Some of the precepts and lessons which the Church observeth, and are preached unto us, we have by written instruction; some others we doe receive

serve by way of mystery, having beene  
conveighed unto us by the Tradition  
of the Apostles. Both of them have like  
force in matter of piety, and no man  
that hath insight (be it never so little)  
in the Ecclesiasticall Lawes will con-  
tradict it. For if we will reject the un-  
written Customes, as having but little  
vertue, we shall endamage the Go-  
spel at unawares, especially in matters  
that are commodious and proper: or  
rather we shall reduce preaching to a  
simple and bare name. As for exam-  
ple, (that I may make mention of the  
first and most common): What writing  
hath taught us to marke those with the  
signe of the Crosse, who have put their  
trust in the name of Iesus Christ? What  
Scripture hath taught us to turne to-  
wards the East in prayer? Which is he  
of the Saints that hath left unto us by  
writing the words of the invocatio, whē  
the Bread of the Eucharist, and Cup of  
benediction are shewed? For wee con-

tent

sent not our selves with that whereof  
the Apostle or the Gospel maketh men-  
tion, but wee adde other things before  
and after, (as having great vertue in  
the mystery,) which we were taught by  
unwritten instruction. But by what  
Scripture doe wee blesse the water of  
Baptisme, and the oile used in the Un-  
ction, especially that wherewith we bap-  
tize? Is not this a Tacite and mysticall  
Tradition? Hee addeth the triple  
plunging in Baptisme, and the re-  
nouncing of the devill and his an-  
gels. Also the custome of standing  
at prayer the first day of the week,  
and from the Paschall unto Pente-  
cost, to shew that wee are raised up  
again with Christ, and doe seeke  
the things that are above; and be-  
cause seven times seven dayes sig-  
nifieth the eternity. And (to make  
short) he inserts the belcefe in God  
the Father, Sonne, and holy  
Ghost, amongst the Traditions;  
saying,

saying, That these unwritten things are of semblable authority with the written, and match them in vertue, and that the Fathers have covered them with silence, as the more high and more venerable, of purpose to keepe men in more awfull observance by the obscurity: and that it is of these, as of a most sacred place, wherein onely the chiefe sacrificing Priest did enter.

This passage indeed doth ill accord with those excellent ones of *Basit*, in the which he hath formerly acquainted us, that all which is not of faith is sinne, and that faith is by hearing of the word of God, that whatsoever is without the verge of the Scripture divinely inspired, is not of faith, and consequently is sinne; and that to shew a forwardnesse in adding to the holy Scripture, is a flat revolt from the faith. By reason of this contrariety,

trariety  
these  
of his  
owne.  
of the  
to adm  
But C  
that  
doubt  
main  
Basils  
stile.  
logu  
the  
the  
Gem  
out  
com  
the  
of  
je  
pro  
up  
W

variety, Bellarmine supposeth that these questions, which make a part of his Aschetickes, were not Basils owne. For (saith hee) the Authour of these questions seemes unwilling to admit of unwritten Traditions. But Cardinall Baronius affirmeth, that To call this into suspicion or doubt, is a notorious sottishnesse. And maintaineth these bookes to bee Basils; as it is manifested by the stile. Saint Hierome in his Catalogue, and Photius in his Bibliotheca, put the Aschetickes amongst the Workes of Basil. Yea more, Gennadius composed Hamilies out of pieces of Basils Workes compacted together, amongst the which many were taken out of Ascheticks. Wherefore the conjecture of Erasmus is not improbable, who made a preface upon Basils booke, de Sanct. Spiritu. Wherein hee professeth, that having

Bellar. de A-  
mis. grat. lib.  
1. cap. 13 §.  
Respondco.

Baron. annal.  
tom. 3. anno  
361. § 52.  
Hec in dubio  
revocasse  
summa stul-  
titia fit.





award of the Scripture inspired by God, and hold the free suffrage & voice of the truth to be on their sides, whose doctrines shall bee found concurring with the divine words.

Neuerthelesse, let vs consider what benefit our adversaries can derive from this passage, about the which they make so much bruit and clamour. In the first place, *Basil* maketh a recitall of Traditions, which he affirmeth to bee of equall authority with the Scripture; yet amongst them there are many not approved by the Church of *Rome*, as prayer towards the *East*, and making conscience to kneele on the Lords day, and from the *Paschal* to *Pentecost*. Most especially it displeaseth our adversaries, that *Basil* in the *Eucharist*, putteth the consecration in the prayer or in the invocation (that is to say, in speaking to God) & not

ἐκείνοις.  
ἐκ τῆς ἡθελ-  
ποδὲς ἡ-  
μῶν διατυ-  
σάτω χα-  
ρὰ, καὶ παρ-  
οἷς αὐτῶν.  
καὶ τὰ  
δύματα  
συνοῦσα  
τοῖς δεῖοις  
λόγοις ὅτι  
ταῦτοι ἡξί-  
πάντως ἵ-  
αληθείας  
ἢ ψευδῶς.

in the bread. If they beleeve *Basil*, why doe they reject his Traditions? or if they beleeve him not, why will they oblige us to beleeve him?

In the second place, all these unwritten Traditions (except the last) numbred by the Authour of that booke, are but ceremonies and lawes of Ecclesiasticall policy, not necessary to salvation, but subject to mutability, and such as consequently make nothing to the purpose. For our dispute is not of Traditions that concerne not the Faith and Christian doctrine, but of those that concerne the doctrine of salvation, not contained in holy Scripture. Yet I cannot dissemble, that the author of this booke (be he *Basil*, or whater he bee) is greatly mistaken in his not onely equaling, but also preferring (both in height of dignitie, and

and profoundnesse of myſtery) certaine petty ceremonies, before the Sacred doctrine of our redemption, contained in the Goſpel. Can any man without unſufferable injury (not to uſe a more rigide exclamation) equall, ye preferre the Cuſtomes of ſtanding at prayer on certaine dayes, rather then kneeling? Of praying towards the *East*, rather then towards the *West*? And of giving a benediction to the water or oyle, before the doctrine of the incarnation of the Sonne of God, the benefit of his death, the juſtification by Faith, the election eternall, and the inter-nall ſeale of the Spirit of God? Can any man without impiety change any part or particle of theſe doctrines? But as for thoſe ceremonies, they have ſuffered alteration, and the *Romiſh* Church it ſelfe hath diſparaged and deba-

sed them. You see how preposterous and grosse our adversaries are, who instead of covering the faults of those graue *Fathers*, doe arme themselves with their drosse and refuse, as birds that liue on nothing else but caterpillers.

And touching the last unwritten Tradition, which is, that men ought to beleeve in God the Father, and in Iesus Christ his Son, & in the holy Ghost; Is it possible that *Basil*, where doe shine so many vertues and perfections, never saw this in the Scripture? For Iesus Christ saith, at the 14. of Saint *Iohn*, *You beleeve in God, beleeue also in me.* And in the 5. chap. 23. *To the end that all men should honour the Sonne, even as they honour the Father.* And as touching the holy Ghost, how oft times is hee called God? therefore when the Scripture bid-  
deth to beleeue in God, it coman-  
deth

deth  
Now  
that  
doct  
Scrip  
are th  
valen  
lingl  
ditio  
have  
divin  
stom  
rent  
ly no  
be re  
vere

T  
in an  
ged  
40.  
mee  
Tra  
ny  
Ch

deth to beleeve in the holy Spirit. Now to excuse *Basil*, we must say, that hee calleth Traditions the doctrines that are not found in the Scripture in expresse words, but are there in substance, and in equivalent words. And wee doe willingly entertaine such kind of Traditions. Only hee is mistaken to have entermingled this high and divine Tradition, amongst Customs and Ceremonies indifferent in their nature, as things equally necessary, and which ought to be regarded with like duty and reverence.

These words of Saint *Hierome*, in an Epistle to *Marcella*, are alleged unto us: Wee fast one terme of 40. dayes, at the time that wee thinke meete, according to the Apostolicall Tradition. This is but a ceremony, and not a doctrine of the Christian Faith: and we have else-

*Nos unam  
quadagesimā  
ex Apostoli-  
ca traditio-  
ne tempore  
nobis congrua  
iuciamus.*



*Au liure de  
la Nouveauté  
du Papiſme  
liure 7 en la  
5. Controverſe  
chap. 6. & 7.*

where ſhewed, that in the ages neereſt approaching to the Apoſtles, the Chriſtian Church faſted but forty houres: And that this faſt was arbitrary, and diverſly practiſed.

The ſame Hierome againſt the Luciferians, makes the Hereticke ſpeake thus. Knoweſt thou not that it is the cuſtome of the Churches to impoſe hands upon thoſe who are baptized, and ſo to invoke the holy Ghoſt? Doeſt thou aſke me where this is written? I anſwere, in the Actes of the Apoſtles. And if there could not bee found authority of Scripture for it, the cuſtome generally obſerved in this point ſhould ſerve inſtead of a commandement: for many other things in like manner which are kept in uſe by Tradition in the Churches, have uſurped the authority of the written Law: as in baptiſme to plunge the head three times; and being come ſoorth of the waſhing place, to taſte the conjunction  
of

of mi  
infan  
faſt  
out  
dayes  
thing  
vatio  
is th  
to w  
I den  
to be  
dera  
read  
our  
hear  
Trac  
mak  
deſc  
Trac  
Rom  
anci  
thoſ  
put  
mon

of milke and hony, for a signification of infancy ; not to pray kneeling , nor to fast upon our Lords day , and through out the whole Quinquagesima or fifty dayes : with many other unwritten things , which mens indifferent observation doth challenge to it selfe. Such is the language of the Hereticke, to whom the Orthodox answereth ; I deny not the custome of the Churches to be so, &c. This passage is considerable. For I doubt not but the reading of such passages maketh our adversaries sicke at the very heart , seeing that the unwritten Traditions whereof the *Fathers* do make mention , as of Traditions descended from the Apostles , are Traditions which the Church of *Rome* hath rejected : and when the ancient *Fathers* do make recital of those unwritten Traditions, they put not invocation of Saints amongst them ; nor Images of the

Trinity ; nor service to the Images of Saints ; nor the Communion under one kind ; nor *Romish* Indulgences ; nor the forbidding to read the Scripture without speciall permission ; nor the *Limbus* for the *Fathers* , or that for little infants ; nor prayer in an unknowne tongue ; nor the assumption of the Virgine *Mary* bodily into heaven , or her Coronation in the Majesty of Queene of Heaven ; nor Masses without communicants ; nor the power of the *Pope* to give and take Kingdomes , and to release soules out of Purgatory , &c.

The Reader may note by the way, what little reason there is to insert the custome of standing in prayer (from *Easter* to *Whitsuntide*) amongst the Apostolicall Traditions, seeing that in the 20. chap. of the *Acts* 36. and at the 21. chap. 5.

the

the  
knee  
and  
6. and

As  
ching  
terna  
ring  
that  
book  
what  
*Luci*  
briefe  
astica  
which  
be ob  
receiv

And  
not b  
thers  
have  
custo  
mat  
with

the Apostle Saint *Paul* prayeth kneeling, betweene the Paschall and Pentecost, as appeareth by the 6. and 16. verses of the 20. chap.

As for *Hierome*, his opinion touching these ceremonies and externall obseruations, is farre differing from that of *Basil*, if it be true that *Basil* is the authour of that booke *de Sancto Spiritu*. For marke what he saith in his 28. Epistle to *Lucinius*. I thinke it expedient briefly to advertise thee, that Ecclesiasticall Traditions (especially those which offend not the Faith) ought to be observed, according as men haue received them from their auncestors. And that the custome of some should not bee subuerted by the custome of others contrarily practised. Hee will haue euery man to follow the custome of his owne Church (in matters not contrary to the Faith) without taking in ill part, that o-  
ther

Ego te breuiter illud admonendi puta-  
to traditiones Ecclesiasticas  
(præsertim quæ fides non  
officiant) ita obseruandas ut  
a maioribus tradita sunt,  
nec aliorum consue-  
tudinum, aliorum cōtra-  
rio more sub-  
verti.

August. E-  
pist. 118. ad  
Ianuarium.  
Cum Roman  
venio, scilicet no  
sabbato, cum  
hic sum, non  
ieiuno. Sic  
etiam in ad  
quam forte  
Ecclesiā vo-  
neri, et ieiunio-  
rem serva, si  
cuiquam non  
vult esse scan-  
dalo, nec quē-  
quam capi.

ther Churches have a contrary custome, which is as much to say, that hee accompteth these things indifferent in their owne nature. And it is the counsell that Saint Ambrose gave to Saint Augustine, saying. When I am at Rome, I fast on Saturday: but when I am here (meaning at Milan) I doe not fast. So at what Church sever thou shalt arrive, follow the custome of it, if thou desirest not to give occasion of dislike to any man, and that no man should scandalize or bee offensive to thee.

Pope Gregory the first about the yeere of our Lord 595. sending Augustine the Monke into England, (not to plant Christianity there, for that was brought in long before, but to establish the Popes authority, to which the Christians of that Iland were not then subject) was asked some questions by the

sayd

sayd A  
marke  
me faith  
Church  
Why is t  
served i  
nother i  
that u  
and sh  
forme  
Tour br  
the cust  
wherein  
your ed  
and goo  
the Ch  
France  
pleasing  
make c  
respect  
this p  
then a  
der,  
that t

sayd Augustine; amongst the rest, Interrogati-  
 ones Augu-  
 stini sub line  
 operu Gre-  
 goriij. Cur  
 cum una sit  
 fides, sunt Ec-  
 clesiaru con-  
 fuerutines ita  
 diversa? &  
 altera consue-  
 tudo missaru  
 est in Rom.  
 Ecclesia, atq;  
 altera in  
 Gallica so-  
 netur?  
 Resp. Nevis,  
 &c. Sed mi-  
 hi placet ut  
 sua in Roma-  
 na, sua in  
 Gallicanoru  
 seu in quali-  
 bet Ecclesia  
 aliquid inve-  
 nisti quod  
 plus omnia-  
 riu Deo pla-  
 cere possit  
 saluti eli-  
 gai.

marke but the third: There being but  
 one faith, why are the customes of the  
 Churches so differing and repugnant?  
 Why is there one custome of Masses ob-  
 served in the Church of Rome, and a-  
 nother in that of France? This Pope  
 that undertooke not to regulate  
 and shape other Churches to the  
 forme of his owne, answereth him,  
 Your brotherhood knoweth well what is  
 the custome of the Church of Rome,  
 wherein you may remember you had  
 your education. But I hold it requisite  
 and good, if you find any thing either in  
 the Church of Rome, or in that of  
 France, or in any other, which is more  
 pleasing to God Almighty, that you  
 make choyse of it with all diligence and  
 respect. To celebrate the Masse at  
 this present in France otherwise  
 then according to the Romish or-  
 der, were a flat rebellion: and all  
 that the Pope enjoyneth to the  
 Churches



**Churches (which he subje<sup>t</sup>eth to  
himselfe, by the assistance of Kings  
and Princes) is granted for invio-  
lable, and for an Apostolicall  
Tradition.**

More especially, our adversaries doe flourish insultingly with the words of *Chrysostome*, in his 4. Homily upon the 2. to the *Thessal.*

Hence (saith hee) it appeareth, that the Apostles have not taught all by Epistles, but that they have also taught many things without writing, and as well these things as those are worthy to be believed. I have already sayd

that although the intention of *Chrysostome* should bee to affirme, that the Apostles have taught many Doctrines and Articles of the Christian Faith, not contained in holy Scripture, yet would it not follow that these were the same poynts which they of the Romish Church advance and put forward:

25

ἐπὶ τῷ θυμῷ  
 δὴ λον ὅτι  
 ἔστι πάλαι  
 ἐπιτολῆς  
 παρὰ δὲ  
 σπυ, ἀλλὰ  
 πολλὰ καὶ  
 ἀρεθρως  
 ὁμοίως ὅ  
 καὶ αὐτὸν καὶ  
 τὰς τὰς ἐξ  
 ἀξιοπρεπείας

as invocation of Saints, succession of the Pope in the Primacy of S<sup>t</sup> Peter, Images, Indulgences, &c. But *Chrysostome* suffereth us not to doubt of his intention. He understandeth only the things that are not necessary to salvation: for as touching the Doctrines that are necessary to salvation, he affirmeth in the precedent Homily (being the third,) that they are all contained cleerely in the Scripture. Observe his words: *All that is in the divine Scriptures, is cleere and legall: all things that are necessary, are there in plainly couched. Nothing can be uttered more expressely.*

πάντα οὐ-  
κὴν ἐν βίβλῃ  
τῇ ᾧ ἐστὶν  
κατακείμενα,  
πάντα τὰ  
ἀναγκαῖα  
διὰ τὴν

The same Father in his third Homily upon the Epistle to the *Philippians*, speaketh of the commemoration of the dead in the Eucharist in these words: *It is not without reason that he hath ordained by the Apostles, that in the reverend mysteries*

a com-

a commemoration be made of the dead, acknowledging that thereby much gaine and good accrueth to them. But wee must take notice, that the prayer which the ancient Church did make for the dead, is rejected by the Romish Church of this time. For the Church of Rome prayeth only for the soules of Purgatory, to the end their torment may be aswaged, or consummated. But the ancient Church, prayed for the Prophets, Apostles, and Martyrs; and humbly besought that those for whom it petitioned, should bee raysted to salvation, or should rise earlier and at a better houre then the rest, or should be more superficially singed with the fire of the last judgement. Particularly, *Chrysostome* was of opinion that the Soules could not bee tormented without the bodies, as hee speaketh in his 39. Homily upon the 1. to the

the

the C  
passa  
the E  
jecte  
dead  
ment  
the f  
as th  
again  
T  
Qual  
(as w  
make  
unwr  
conc  
Fath  
him,  
whic  
are  
holy  
certa  
and  
they  
leeve

the *Corinthians*. And in the same passage, where his 3. Homily upon the Epistle to the *Philippians* is objected to vs, hee supposeth that the dead which are comforted by lamentations and prayers, are not the faithfull, but the infidells. So as this passage maketh altogether against the Church of Rome.

Though Saint *Augustine* be punctuall and excellent in this subject, (as we have seene) yet they would make him an advocate to plead for unwritten Traditions in matter concerning the faith. This holy Father hath beleevd, and we with him, that the necessary Doctrines which concerne faith and maners, are sufficiently contained in the holy Scriptures: And for some certaine Customes, Ceremonies, and outward observations, because they are generally received, he beleeveth they are derived from ancient

cient unwritten Tradition. It becommeth none to gaineſay this, but frantickes, or ſuch as are given to a contradicting humour, and are enemies to the peace. Good reaſon for it. To give you ſome inſtance ; *It is not commanded in the Scripture to celebrate annually the day of our Saviours Nativity, nor of the Paſchall, nor of the Lords Reſurrection, nor of Pentecoſt, which is the day whereon the holy Ghoſt deſcended upon the Apoſtles. For Saint Auguſtine in his 118. Epiſtle, bringeth theſe examples, where he ſaith, To ſtirre up diſſentions hereupon, for matters in their owne nature not neceſſary to ſalvation, but authorized by the generall cuſtome of ſo many ages, ſhould be (according to my judgment) & according to the truth, a deſpightfull perverſeneſſe, yea, a ſymptome of diſtraction, confounding all concord and quietneſſe. In like manner doth the Scripture* give

Aug. ad Ianuar. Epiſt.

118. Illa qua non ſcripta, ſed tradita euſtodimus, qua quidem toto terrarum orbe ſervantur, dantur intelligi vel ab ipſis Apoſtoli, vel plenarijs Concilijs, quoru eſt in Eccleſia ſaluberrima authoritas commendata atq; ſtatuta retine-i. Sicut quod Dominus paſſio, et reſurrexio, et aſcenſio in caelum, et aduentus de caelo Spiritus Sancti anniverſaria ſolemnitate celebrantur.

give  
ciſe  
ly Su  
nally  
to pl  
Euch  
chal  
the  
Apoſ  
houre  
gerall  
in the  
hereu  
from  
Chu  
or  
Chu  
neth  
is ne  
it bu  
moſt  
Chu  
in th  
chief

give no charge, touching the precise houre of administring the holy Supper. Iesus Christ occasionally performed it after Supper, to place and substitute the holy Eucharist immediatly to the Paschal Lambe. But it appeareth by the History of the *Acts*, that the Apostles were not obliged to this houre; and since that time, the generall custome was to celebrate it in the morning. I say, for a man hereupon to separate himselfe from the Communion of the Church, and to make a schisme, or trouble the peace of the Church in a matter that concerneth not the Doctrine of faith, nor is necessary to salvation; What is it but stubborne arrogance? It is most necessary not to molest the Church, for matters not necessary in their owne nature. If the mischief be not great for as much



as concerneth the Doctrine, yet is it of no small importance for what concerneth the manners, and the many inconveniences that ensue thereon. This is the same that Saint *Augustine* teacheth in his 118 Epistle to *Iannarius*, where he argueth the case, whether they bee well advised who appoint, that on Thursday before the Paschal, the holy Supper be twice solemnized, that is to say, in the morning & after evening repast. His answer is, *If the authority of the holy Scripture, prescribe what is to be done, wee are not to doubt but that wee ought to doe as wee reade, &c. As also if there bee any thing that the universall Church doth practise thorowout the world. For to dispute whether this should be done or no, is a meere lunacie. But in other matters (as that concerning the houre of the holy Supper) which doe vary according to the places*

*Quid horum sit faciendum, si divina Scriptura prescribit auctoritas, non sit dubitandum quin ita facere debeamus ut legimus, &c. Si similiter etiam si quid horum sit a per orbem frequenter Ecclesia. Nam hoc quin ita faciendum sit disputare, insolentissima insania est.*

places, he alloweth that every man should follow the custome of his countrey.

He speakerh of the same other-where. As in the second booke of Baptisme against the Donatists the seventh Chapter. *Which Custome* (not to rebaptize Heretickes) I beleeve to bee derived from Apostolicall Tradition, as many things are not found written in their bookes, nor the Councils of posterity after them. Nevertheless, because they are kept by the Catholike Church, it is beleeved that they were delivered by none but them.

And in his fourth booke chap. 24. That which the universall Church doth keepe, and hath not beene instituted by Councils, but hath alwayes beene preserved, is justly beleeved to have beene given for no other Tradition but Apostolicall. And in his fifth booke chap. 23. The Apostles have commanded nothing to that purpose, (spea-

*Quā confuso  
ratiōe credo  
ex Apostoli-  
ca traditiōe  
viventiū, sicut  
multa nō in-  
veniantur in  
libris eorū,  
nec in Con-  
ciliis posteri-  
orū. Et tamē  
quia per uni-  
versam custo-  
diuntur Ec-  
clesiam, non  
nisi ab ipsis  
tradita &  
commendata  
creduntur.  
Quod uni-  
versa tenet  
Ecclesia, nec  
Concilij in-  
stitutū, sed  
semper retē-  
rū est, hūc nō  
auctoritate  
Apostolicā  
instaurū re-  
stificandū cre-  
ditur.  
Apostoli quā-  
dā nihil ex-  
cuso praecep-*

runt, sed con-  
suetudo alia,  
qua oppone-  
batur Cypria-  
no, ab eorum  
traditione  
exordium  
sumpsisse cre-  
denda est. Si-  
cut sunt mul-  
ta qua uni-  
versa tenet  
Ecclesia, et ab  
hoc ab Apo-  
stoli praecep-  
ta bene cre-  
duntur, quan-  
quā scripta  
non repors-  
antur.

king of the re-baptizing of Hereticks)  
but we must beleewe that the other Cu-  
stome which was opposed against Cy-  
prian, tooke beginning from their Tra-  
dition. As there are many things which  
the universall Church observeth, and  
therefore are beleewed to be instituted  
by the Apostles, although they appeare  
not in writing.

In this Tract he speaketh concer-  
ning the Custome of not re-bapti-  
zing those, who have beene bapti-  
zed by Heretickes, which is no  
point necessary to salvation. For  
how many men are saved, that ne-  
ver heard discourse of this questi-  
on? If a man once baptized, bee  
re-baptized the second time, al-  
though his second Baptisme be su-  
perfluous, yet neverthelesse the  
fault not being in him that is re-  
baptized, he shall not be therefore  
debarred from salvation. Or, if  
the Baptisme of Heretikes be un-  
lawfull,

lawfull, yet hee that is converted from heresie to the true faith having received no other Baptisme, shall not be deprived of salvation, because it happeneth not by his default. It is not the privation, but the neglect and contempt of Baptisme, that impeacheth mans salvation. Saint *Cyprian* and his Predecessour *Agrippine*, and with them all the Bishops of *Africke*, have in this point beene of a contrary opinion to the Romish Church: and by expresse Councils have condemned the Doctrine held in that Church. Would our adversaries therefore exclude Saint *Cyprian* and his companions from salvation? Or doe they beleieve that hee failed in something necessary to salvation? Indeed Saint *Augustine* in the same chapter of his second booke against the Donatists affirmeth, that *This question of Baptisme*

*Nondū erat diligenter illa*

Baptismi  
quaestio per  
tractata.

was not yet well discussed and explained in Saint Cyprians time. But it is not credible that the Christian Church at that time, should be unresolved upon any point necessary to salvation.

Aug. lib. 1.  
de Baptismo  
contra Do-  
natistas. Iam  
non videar hu-  
manis argu-  
mentis id a-  
gere, & c. ex  
Evangelio  
profero certa  
documenta.  
Ida et lib. 2.  
ca. 14. Et li.  
3. ca. 7. Et li.  
4. ca. 4. et 23.  
Scripturam  
sanctam testi-  
monij non so-  
lum colligi-  
tur, sed plane  
ostenditur.

This is above all to be considered, that Saint *Augustine* himselfe (who telleth us that the Apostles wrote nothing as touching this matter, and that this Custome cometh by Tradition) doth not sticke to handle this question by the Scriptures, and bringeth many passages from thence, which he affirmeth to be certaine, and the proofes to be cleere. Whence it appeareth, that by *the things unwritten*, hee understandeth matters which are not in expresse termes in the Scripture, but are deduced from thence by good consequence.

These things serve for the clearing

ring  
ther,  
again  
of the  
hee h  
no ce  
out of  
serve  
when  
Chur  
a po  
and  
Do  
stom  
upon  
T  
to re  
ced  
thes  
und  
ther  
the  
der  
in t

ring of a passage in the same Father, at chap. 33. of his first booke against Cresconius, where speaking of the re-baptization of Hereticks, hee hath it thus: *Although there bee no certaine example vouch'd for this out of the Scriptures, yet herein we preserve the authority of the sacred word, when wee doe that which pleaseth the Church universal.* For he speaketh of a point not necessary to salvation, and of a Custome, but not of a Doctrine of faith. The which Custome neverthelesse, he groundeth upon the Scripture.

The same answeres may serve, to resolve all other passages produced out of the ancients. For by these Traditions, whether they understand the holy Scriptures themselves, and the Doctrine of the Gospel; or whether they understand Doctrines not contained in the Scriptures in expresse terms,



but drawne from thence by consequence ; or that they understand Customes, Ceremonies, and Laws of Ecclesiasticall policie allowed by the universall Church ; wee willingly embrace all these Traditions. For though we place this last sort of Traditions farre below the two first, yet no Ceremonie can be brought unto us, nor Law of Ecclesiasticall policie, which hath beene generally received by the universall Church of the first ages, but we also doe approve of them,

---

CHAP.

---

Three  
blame

TH  
an  
are ca  
that w  
lay,  
forehe  
Lent.  
Custo  
been,  
not o  
were  
Chur  
as if v  
and w  
here u  
much  
Chur  
these

## CHAP. XXVI.

*Three ancient Customes which wee are  
blamed to have forsaken.*

**T**Here are three Customes, and  
ancient observations, which  
are cast upon us for a reproach,  
that we have left them; that is to  
say, the signe of the Crosse in the  
forehead; prayer for the dead; and  
Lent. Our answer is, that these are  
Customes which have not alwaies  
been, and which the Apostles have  
not observed; and lastly, which  
were diversly practised in divers  
Churches, and in divers ages: so  
as if wee were to chuse what age,  
and what Church we ought to ad-  
here unto, we should find our selves  
much puzzled. The best is, the  
Church of Rome hath changed  
these Customes, and under a sha-  
dow

August. de  
verb. Domi-  
ni Sermon 8.  
Ne de cruce  
Christi cru-  
descent, in frō-  
re illam si-  
gas, ubi sedes  
pudori.

Efficat super  
ea crucis sig-  
naculum, vt  
per crucis  
virtutē om-  
nes comita-  
tus diaboli-  
tatis maligni-  
tatis effugi-  
antur contra  
accidentem  
vel sacrificiū  
aliquo modo  
preualeat.  
Et adiungit  
que l'encens  
fert aussi à  
chasser les  
diabols.

dow of keeping the words, hath wholly perverted the thing it selfe: having turned *the signe of the Crosse*, (*which was but a marke of the Christian profession*) into superstition and idolatry, into conjuration, preservatives, and spels, to repulse the Devils temptations, not onely of men, but of Iesus Christ: For, *In the Masse they make signes of the Crosse by a prescribed number, not onely upon the Bread not consecrated, but also upon the consecrated Hoste, for feare lest the assaults of the Devill should prevaile against it: as Pope Innocent the third doth teach, in his 2. booke of the mysteries of the Masse, chap. 58.*

It is the same concerning prayer for the dead, whereof the first mention is found to be some two hundred yeeres after the birth of our Saviour: which was made for the Saints, Apostles, Prophets, Martyrs, and for the faithfull, to the end

and they might bee raised at a better  
houre then the rest, or bee the  
more lightly scalded with the fire  
at the last judgement, and after  
some refreshment in the sleepe of  
peace, they might rise joyfully to  
everlasting Salvation. But the Pope  
hath changed these into prayers for  
tormented soules in Purgatory,  
taking this occasion to eclipse the  
perfection of the benefit of Iesus  
Christ, whose blood purgeth us  
from all sinne. 1. *Iohn* 7. and so to  
make a trade and trafficke, whereby  
to heape up riches innumerable.

The same abuse is crept into  
rent, which the Pope maketh use  
of, to advance his Empire, usur-  
ping thereby the power to mode-  
rate the Bellies, Kitchens, Markets,  
and Tables; to give dispensations;  
and to change fasting into a disfe-  
rence of meats, and an exercise of  
humility in matter of Merite and  
of

of satisfaction, as well for him that fasteth, as for another. And where as heeretofore this abstinence was free, and every man did fast before the Paschal as many dayes as hee thought good, and that these regulations were made by ordinances of the Bishops in every Church; the Pope hath thereto imposed a precise necessity, unless a dispensation bee obtained from him, or his Ministers. And lastly, he hath drawne to himselfe a power that hee had not formerly, but only in the Bishopricke of Rome, which was a particular Church.

This is our believe; that the things necessary to salvation ought not to bee abolished, by reason of the abuses which are, and may bee thrust into them, but we must take away the abuse, and returne to the fountaine, which is the word of God. But as for things not necessary,

ary, nor perpetuall, nor observed  
from the beginning, and without  
which the Christian faith may  
subsist in its integrity; when cor-  
ruption is infused amongst them;  
and the use is transformed into a-  
buse and idolatry, or tyranny, or  
superstition; it is prudently done  
to shave off the occasions of abuse,  
and firmly to shut this gate against  
the Devill.

CHAP.



## CHAP. XXVII.

*That the Traditions of the Romish Church of this time, have nothing in common with the unwritten Traditions mentioned by the Fathers.*

**I**T appeareth how weake, and how little to the purpose all is which our adversaries doe produce in the behalfe of the antiquity of their Traditions. For the unwritten Traditions which they have recited, are not Doctrines of the Christian faith that adde any thing to the Doctrine of salvation contained in the Scriptures, as we have proved: but customes, and ceremonies, and observations of Ecclesiasticall policy. Now, the Traditions of our adversaries are of another nature. They put foremost the Popes succession in the Apostleship and supremacy of S

Peter

Peter  
vpon  
all re  
main  
ded m  
also  
prete

Th  
catio  
vice  
of R  
that  
and  
God  
cles  
that  
hear  
them  
can h  
S  
of R  
fatis  
the I  
sure

*Peter* over the universall Church: vpon which Tradition they make all religion to depend. Yea they maintaine that the Church is founded not only vpon Saint *Peter*, but also vpon the Popes that are his pretended successors.

They stuffe our eares with Invocation of Saints, with religious service to Images, and with adoration of Reliques, which are Traditions that shake and totter the service and religious adoration due to God alone, and doe establish articles of the Christian faith, to wheet, that the Saints doe know our hearts, and that wee must imploy them for mediators, and that they can heare our prayers effectually.

So likewise doth the Church of Rome tell us, of superabundant satisfactions of the Saints, which the Pope gathereth into the Treasure of the Church, and distributeth

reth them amongst others by his Indulgences. This Tradition ushereth in three new articles of faith. The first is, that man by his punishments and afflictions, can satisfie God more then his sinnes doe merite. The second is, that God receiveth the satisfactions of another, for payment of our sinnes. The third is, that God hath established the Pope, to bee distributor of the satisfactions of another, and commandeth him to gather them together into the treasure of the Church. What is all this but a new Gospell? Certainly if these Traditions be true, the holy Scripture is a booke very imperfect in the principall materialls of Christian faith. For what is there more important then the remission of sinnes?

Also the Tradition of Monasticke vowes, layeth downe this

Doc-

Doc  
of fa  
form  
that  
and  
God  
Wo

I fa  
on u  
plea  
moie  
by t  
spea  
ons,  
to th  
metr

T  
ratio  
rehe  
ten T  
tion  
at thi  
Chur  
and

Doctrine, (which is a new article of faith,) to weet, that man can performe workes of supererrogation, that is to say, more good workes, and more perfect then those which God hath commanded in his Word.

I say as much of the Communion under one kind, wherein is impleaded the abridgment of the moiety of the Sacrament instituted by the Sonne of God. Not to speake of so many other Traditions, which are not only additions to the Scripture, but meerely diametrall contradictions to it.

This also is worthy of consideration, that when the Fathers doe rehearse some examples of unwritten Traditions, they doe not mention those of the Romish Church at this time, but others that the Church of Rome hath disesteemed, and observeth not : as prayer to-

Dd

wards

wards the East ; The prohibition of fasting on the Lords day ; The custome to pray standing on the same day, and from the Paschall to Pentecost ; The custome of tasting the milke and hony after Baptisme, and not to bee washed seven dayes after ; The prayer for the deceased Saints, to the end they may be ray-sed at a happyer houre, and in their sleep of rest they may find refreshment, with such like matters which the Church of Rome hath pretermitted, (because they served not the Popes turne) but hath invented others, that are more gaine-full, and better accommodared to the profit and exaltation of the Pope, and all the Roman Clergy.

**CHAP.**

## CHAP. XXVIII.

*Of the multitude of Traditions in the Church of Rome.*

**T**He saying of *Cornelius Tacitus* Ann lib. 3. In corruptisq; fima republ. ea plurima leges. is very true, that the worst and most corrupted Republicques are those, which have most lawes. For in the same proportion that vices waxe strong, the lawes also are multiplied: especially when the Lawes themselves become vices, and mischiefes are applyed for remedies.

If this bee true in humane affaires, much more in Divine, and in the Doctrine of salvation. It is certaine that in civill affaires posterity instructed by experience, hath often redressed the occurrences, changing them into better, and hath cured old evils with new lawes. But as for the Doctrine of



salvation delivered by God himselfe, this will admit of no alteration without infinite impiety. It is not for Subjects to adde to the lawes of their Sovereigne, nor for Men to presume to bee wiser then God.

It will be found that all the Traditions which men have added to the Scripture, are so many infringements of the Law of God, which under the colour of adding thereunto, doe overturne that which God hath established; and are so many artificiall meanes, through a glorious pompe, to dazell the eyes of the People, and to amuse them, whilst they are seduced; and lastly to enrich and exalt the Clergie. For the Prelates of the Church of Rome earnestly bent to their profit, have taken sufficient notice, that the Gospell in its simplicity could not serve to build up their Empire.

And

rha  
bee  
ma  
tite  
ret  
thi  
ned  
fus  
the  
ma  
diti  
trin  
the  
the  
Div  
the  
on,  
cau  
is n  
Ro  
A  
ma  
inv

And although this numberlesse rhapsody of Traditions should not bee woven by a fraudulent workmanship, yet the confounding multitude of new ordinances smothereth the old, and causeth that things necessary cannot bee discerned from superfluous, and that Iesus Christ is scarce knowne among the Saints: and the absurdity of many new inventions by their addition, doe call the ancient Doctrines into suspicion, and weaken their certainty. Especially when they make the true knowledge of Divine doctrine, to depend upon the authority of humane Tradition, and God to bee beleaved, because men have so ordained it, as it is now practised in the Church of Rome.

Add to this the inclination of man to worship his owne proper inventions, and to till and improve

that most industriously, which hee  
himselſe hath planted. For as the  
earth nourisheth nettles which her  
ſelfe hath produced, much better  
then good plants that are ſtrange  
and brought from farre: ſo the ſpi-  
rit of man is reſtleſſe in taking care,  
that the lawes be obſerved which  
he of himſelfe hath invented, much  
more then thoſe which Ieſus  
Chriſt hath brought from heaven;  
eſpecially when theſe new Doc-  
trines are gainfull to the projec-  
tors, and a prop to their dominion.  
Hence it commeth to paſſe, that in  
the Church of Rome, the doctrine  
of the Goſpel (which conſiſteth of  
rules few & eaſie) is a clasped book  
to the people, and the commande-  
ments of God are of little moment;  
but the Traditions (though toile-  
ſome, and almoſt innumerable) are  
moſt religiously obſerved, and  
with marvellous obedience.

A-

A  
ever  
miſh  
Trad  
the  
great  
to le  
very  
Cou  
Con  
ſure  
ſhou  
ficer  
ther  
to p  
that  
Cou  
wer  
thor  
con  
bein  
hav  
ture  
of

Amongst all the Religions that ever were in the world, the Romish in multitude of Lawes and Traditions beareth the Bell away, the number of them being so great, as scarce an age will suffice to learne them. And it had beene very requisite, that when the Councell of Trent did establish Commissaries to attend the censure of prohibited bookes, it should have established other Officers immediatly, to collect together the unwritten Traditions, and to put them in order: for seeing that by the authority of this Councell, the Romish Traditions were declared, to be of equall authority with the Scripture, it was convenient that these Traditions being digested into a body, should have beene annexed to the Scripture, to the end to have the body of Christian Religion entirely together.

D d 4

gether. But they gave their minds to be neglectfull in this point, for feare of affrighting the people with many myriads of Traditions, of prodigious length: as also lest the people should compare the vanity of these Traditions, with the sanctity and excellence of the holy Scriptures, which our Adversaries hinder to bee read, with all their might and diligence. Moreover, our Adversaries doe say, that the Pope and the Church of Rome can adde to the Creed, and establish new Articles of faith. Whereupon it followeth, that if Christian Religion may suffer yet more additions to bee matters essentiall, the Fathers did vainly labour to make a perfect body of the Christian Religion, seeing that it is as yet imperfect.

I (being moved with these considerations, which doe altogether hinder

hinde  
selfe,  
the T  
Rom  
negli  
into,  
endle  
with  
ned  
them  
the  
whi  
othe  
all  
Th  
dull  
and  
the  
of  
the

pe  
T  
fo

hinder them) did designe with my selfe, to make a collection of all the Traditions of the Church of Rome, and to lend helpe to their negligence. But being entred therinto, I perceived the labour to bee endlesse, and was overwhelmed with the multitude. It hath happened to mee, as to those that settle themselves in an evening to count the first starres that appeare, and whilst they are counting the first, others appeare, & then more, so as all their reckoning is interrupted. This labour increasing underhand, dulleth the edge of a mans desire, and so much the rather, seeing there is no man but is soone weary of gathering uselesse drosse together.

If I were disposed to make a perfect Catalogue of the Romish Traditions, it would bee necessary for me to decipher, and paint forth  
the



the infinite diversity of Masses; the Services and Suffrages of the dead, the Rubriques and Proviso's to supply the defects of the Masse, arising either from some defect in the person of him that celebrateth, or from the place, or from the time, or from something in the matter, or in the intention.

It would be needfull for me to insert all the lawes touching the administration of the Seven Sacraments, and the disciplines of the Romish Pontificallity, that direct the collation of the seven Orders. The Consecration of the Bishops, the Archiepiscopall garment, the benediction of Abbots, Abbesses, and Nuns; the Dedication of the Churches, the Consecration of the Altars, whether fixed or portable; together with the vessell and moveables of the Church and Churchyards: the reconciliation  
of

of the  
yards,  
sion of  
nest a  
an Her  
the Im  
Reliq  
Conf  
and  
tions  
Reco  
Maur  
of De  
singl  
nite  
Ord  
and  
Pop  
for  
the  
wh  
O  
El

of the Churches and Churchyards, in case of pollution, by effusion of blood, or by other dishonest act, or by the interment of an Hereticke: the benediction of the Images, Crosses, Corporalls, Reliques, Bels, and Standards: the Consecration of the Chryisme, and the Fonts; the Admonitions, Excommunications, and Reconcilements of Penitents on Maundy Thursday: the forme of Degradings and Exorcismes, the single and double shaving, the infinite variety of Monkes and their Orders, & of the divers priviledges and spirituall graces, which the Pope hath granted unto them.

It would likewise bee needfull for mee, to represent the lawes of the booke of holy Ceremonies, wherein the forme of the Popes Obsequies and Funerals, and of the Election and Coronation of a new  
one

one is prescribed. The submissions which the Kings owe in the Procession that is made at his Coronation, and at the Feast. The Coronation of the Emperor by the hand of the Pope, with his shamefull homages and submissions to his Holinesse. The benediction of the Knights of the Church. The benediction of the Rose on our Lords day *Latere*, and of the sword on Christmasnight. The Consecration of the *Agnus Dei*. The Creation of Cardinals. The power of Apostolike Legats. The Order of the Consistory, and of the Conclave, and of the Council when the Pope is resident there in person, or by his *Nuntio's*. The Papall Masse, and how the Pope receiveth the Communion. The Popes Habits, his Episcopall Miter, his Royall Crowne, and a thousand the like things, whereof the very names doe

doe terr  
Discipli  
passe the

It wo  
to adde  
ignomi  
busie an  
which  
determ  
of con  
hath no  
loath t  
infam  
under  
and re

Th  
to thi  
my s  
conc  
say,  
the  
of th  
Saci  
the

doe terrifie us, and the Lawes and Disciplines, for quantity, doe sur-  
passe the Bible in thicknesse.

It would have beene needfull,  
to adde a thousand villanous and  
ignominious precepts, touching  
busie and unchaste interrogations  
which the Confessors make, & the  
determinations touching the cases  
of conscience. But modesty hath  
hath not permitted it, and I was  
loath to staine my booke with such  
infamous rules, which teach vices  
under the shadow of examining  
and reprehending them.

Therefore to put some bounds  
to this trouble, I have contented  
my selfe to bring traditions which  
concerne the Doctrine, that is to  
say, which in some sort thrust at  
the Law of God, and the Doctrine  
of the Gospel, & that concerne the  
Sacraments, and the Orders, and  
the Ecclesiasticall charges, with  
some

some superstitions where the abuse is most grosse and apparant.

I have drawne all these Traditions from the publike practice, from the Councils approved by the Popes, from the text of the Masse it selfe, and from the Decrees, Decretals, and Extravagants of the Popes. And from some of the more famous Authors, as *Lombard* and *Thomas*, two Princes of the Schoole, *Bellarmino*, *Vasquez*, *Gregory* of Valence, *Tolet*, *Emanuel Sa*, that are Iesuites, *Navarre* the Popes Penitentiary: the three later I quote most often, because they maintaine their sayings by a multitude of other Doctors: so as under the name of one Authour, I alledge many.

All such as have hearts disposed to learne, shall here see with admiration, mixed with grieve, (as in a small contracted table) the whole  
massie

massie body of Papisme, varied  
with a hundred thousand colours,  
and shall be able thereby to profit.

For the simple recitall is enough  
for refutation, and to leade  
foorth into view the  
mystery of ini-  
quitie.

F F N F S.





